With leave — Tabled by the Member for Terra Nova
K Hawkey George
January 9, 2025.

[redacted]
Sent: Thursday, January 9, 2025 2:23:21 PM
To: Parrott, Lloyd <LloydParrott@gov.nl.ca>
Subject: Re: MOU (Dennis Browne to lead 'independent' review)?

That last sentence should have read "... he has not responded to my Dec. 19th, 2024 email".

On Thursday, January 9, 2025 at 02:20:54 PM GMT-3:30, Maurice E. Adams
[redacted]

Yes, you may read this in the house (Note that instead of "...CBC 'and' NTV...", it should have read "... CBC or NTV...").

While it is my recollection (and my email records show) that over the years Mr. Browne has responded to all or most all of my emails. However, he has not responded to my Dec. 19th, 2023 email.

Regards,

[redacted]

On Thursday, January 9, 2025 at 02:10:33 PM GMT-3:30, Parrott, Lloyd <lloydparrott@gov.nl.ca> wrote:

2 questions, can I read this in this house?

Did he respond?

Lloyd

[redacted]
Sent: Thursday, January 9, 2025 2:08:28 PM
To: Parrott, Lloyd <LloydParrott@gov.nl.ca>
Subject: Fw: MOU (Dennis Browne to lead 'independent' review)?

FYI

Below is a copy of an email I sent to Dennis Browne on the 19th Dec 2024.

You will note that in that email I include a partial quote from Mr. Browne which shows, rather than "independence", an existing pre-judgement that the MOU will "help out the province **in every way**" (emphasis added).

I think (and cannot find an email from Dennis Browne containing that quote) that it may have been my (I believe) precise reproduction from a CBC and NTV interview with Mr. Browne which motivated me to send the email that follows.

On this issue I did not receive a reply from Mr. Browne.

[redacted]

----- Forwarded Message -----
[redacted]
To: Dennis Browne <dbrowne@bfma-law.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2024 at 08:53:31 AM GMT-3:30
Subject: New Churchill Falls MOU

Hi Dennis,

Hope all is well with you and yours.

Given the importance/complexity of this MOU and your very early support for this MOU, I expect that you have obtained independent expert information/advice and that you have relied on such to conclude among other things that this deal "will help out the province in every way"?.

Specifically, can you explain what firm/solid barriers prevent NL, especially over the last 30+ years of this ageement, from having to sell much of its Churchill river energy to Quebec at much reduced prices? Where are the barriers to the the bottom? to fire sale prices? What and where are the de-escalator clauses?

Thanks Dennis,

[redacted]

"This email and any attached files are intended for the sole use of the primary and copied addressee(s) and may contain privileged and/or confidential information. Any distribution, use or copying by any means of this information is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please delete it immediately and notify the sender."