

THIRTY-SIXTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NEWFOUNDLAND

Volume 2

2nd Session

Number 18

VERBATIM REPORT

Friday, March 2, 1973

SPEAKER: THE HONOURABLE JAMES M. RUSSELL

March 2, 1973, Tape 431, Page 1 - apb

The House met at 3:00 p.m.

Mr. Speaker in the Chair.

MR. SPEAKER: Order please! I would like to welcome to the galleries today sixteen students from Grade XI of the French and English business education courses from Labrador City Collegiate, Labrador City, with their teacher, Mr. Brenda Haire. We welcome you here indeed and trust that your visit here is most interesting.

MOTIONS:

MR. SPEAKER: The honourable member for St. John's South. MR. R.WELLS: Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to move the following resolution: WHEREAS the laws of the Province Of Newfoundland do not provide for the instituting of civil actions against the Crown; AND WHEREAS the only recourse for a citizen having a cause of action which might lie against the Crown is to take an action personally against a minister and/or a civil servant, in the expectation that the Crown will make an ex gratia payment in the amount of any judgement obtained; AND WHEREAS it is not desirable that such actions must be taken against individuals, and not against the Crown; AND WHEREAS it is not always possible to affix civil liability upon an individual when the Crown may have been negligent or would be liable in contract; AND WHEREAS most other jurisdictions including the Crown in right of Canada have adopted Crown Liability Legislation; THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the government be requested to introduce the necessary legislation to allow civil actions to be taken against the Crown in right of Newfoundland.

MR. SPEAKER: The honourable the member for Labrador North:

MR. WOODWARD: Mr. Speaker, I give notice that I will on tomorrow

move the following resolution; WHEREAS the Government of Newfoundland

and Labrador by means of the Newfoundland and Labrador Power Commission

and the Newfoundland and Labrador Rural Electricity Authority produce

both hydro and diesel electric power for sale to consumers in the

province at rates which do not fully meet the cost of producing,

March 2, 1973, Tape 431, Page 2 -- apb

transmitting and selling this power; and WHEREAS some citizens of our province, those whose power is generated by diesel-powered generators, are being charged and pay greater rates than do their fellow Newfoundlanders whose electric power is produced from hydropowered WHEREAS this disparity in rates causes severe hardship to many citizens in different areas of the province, and in particular in Coastal Labrador and the Happy Valley-Goose Bay area and the great Northern Peninsula, all of which are subject to shorter days and lower temperatures, which together produces greater comsumption of electricity; and WHEREAS less than four percent of the generating capacity installed in our province (other than that installed on the Churchill River) is diesel powered, being thirty thousand kilowatts of a total capacity of 78,000 kilowatts; and

WHEREAS approximately one-quarter of the diesel power generated is produced in Labrador; and

WHEREAS individual consumers, industrial and commercial users in this area feel that the higher rates which they are forced to pay are discriminatory and a deterrent to development of significant areas of our province; and WHEREAS the Newfoundland and Labrador Chamber of Commerce have supported those consumers in their request that this discrimination be removed.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Government of this province be directed to take the steps necessary to introduce a uniform rate for electricity throughout the province whereby a consumer in Labrador or in the Northern Peninsula pays the same electricity rates as does the consumer elsewhere without regards to the method by which the power is generated.

HON. T. A. HICKMAN (Minister of Justice): Mr. Speaker, I give notice that I will on tomorrow ask leave to introduce the following bills:

A hill, "An Act To Amend The Legislative Disabilities Act."

A bill, "An Act Respecting the Establishment And Operation
Of Public Parks By The St. John's Municipal Council."

A bill, "An Act To Amend The Northern Labrador Social Services
And Rehabilitation Act."

A bill, "An Act To Clarify The Manner In Which The Provisions Of The Statutes Amendment Act, 1971 And The Statutes Amendment Act, 1972 Are To Be Implemented." A bill, "An Act Further To Amend The Agreement Ratified, Confirmed And Adopted By And Set Forth In The Schedule To The Commordore Mining Company Limited (Agreement) Act, 1968, And To Make Certain Statutory Provisions Relating To That Agreement."

HON. C. W. DOODY(Minister of Industrial Development): Mr. Speaker, I give notice that I will on tomorrow ask leave to introduce:

A bill, "An Act To Ratify, Confirm And Adopt An Agreement Made

Between Newfoundland & Labrador Edison Company Limited With Shaheen Natural

Resources Company, Inc. As Intervenor And To Make Provision Respecting Other

Matters Concerned With This Agreement."

HON. E. MAYNARD(Min. of Agriculture & Forests): Mr. Speaker, I give notice that I will on tomorrow ask leave to introduce;

A bill, "An Act To Establish The NewfoundLand Crop Insurance Agency."

HON. J. C. CROSBIE(Min. of Finance): Mr. Speaker, I give notice that I will

on tomorrow ask leave to introduce:

A bill, "An Act To Ratify, Confirm And Adopt An Indenture Made
Between The Government, Newfoundland Forest Products Limited, Bowaters
Canadian Corporation Limited, Bowaters Newfoundland Limited And Lundrigans
Limited And An Agreement Between Government And Bowaters Newfoundland Limited
And To Make Provision Respecting Matters Connected Therewith."

A bill, "An Act To Amend The Stephenville Linerboard Mill (Agreement) Act, 1972."

MR. CARTER: I beg leave to move the following resolution.

WHEREAS in decisions taken on the twelfth of April, 1972 and the thirtyfirst of January, 1973, the St. John's City Council granted approvalin-principle to the St. John's Development Corporation Limited for the
construction of an office, a hotel-office-shopping complex on Water
Street to be known as Atlantic Place, such approval involving the granting
of certain tax concessions and other commitments of the city to the developer
and

WHEREAS neither the orginal proposal nor the enlarged modified proposal approved in these two decisions was fully explained and properly and fully discussed in public forum, the first decision having been made three weeks

after the announcement and the second one week after the announcement giving the public a mere four weeks in which to become informed, organize their opinions and articulate a response; and WHEREAS ten interested organizations, including the Newfoundland Association of Architects and the Newfoundland Branch of the Atlantic Planners Institute, have severely criticized various aspects of the proposal; and WHEREAS the St. John's City Council is said to have ignored the recommendations of its own planning office with regard to the proposal and waved certain regulations which normally govern such constructions, contrary to the advise of its own planning office; and WHEREAS the final approval-in-principle was granted by a very narrow decision of council, the mayor having to cast the tie-breaking vote; and WHEREAS in letters and briefs to the council, through the public news media, in public meetings and by means of petitions, over four thousand citizens have expressed desire for the council to conduct public hearings concerning the plans and implications of the proposals; and WHEREAS council has clearly indicated that it does not intend to conduct such hearings or public discussions; and WHEREAS the magnitude of the proposed development and its location in Downtown St. John's indicate manifold and far-reaching effects on the economic and social conditions in St. John's and the province; and WHEREAS doubts have been expressed as to whether the full economic and social impact of the proposal have been properly and thoroughly investigated; and WHEREAS it appears in fact that no such study of the economic and social implications of the proposal was made and that not even such an elementary thing as a traffic study was undertaken; and WHEREAS there still exists serious confusion over the size of the tax concessions on the project; and WHEREAS opinions have been expressed that the project was given approval before adequate information concerning the financial aspects had been made available; and

WHEREAS evidence elsewhere suggests that a project of this type and size may result in a massive and catastrophic social dislocation especially in the area of housing brought on by escalating land values and the necessity to re-house large numbers of lower income families at great expense to the city and the province, and at great hardship to these families; and

WHEREAS the cost of road widening and road building which the proposal may inevitably entail will represent an expenditure of tens of millions of dollars on the part of the city and the province, which cost has not until now been considered much less calculated by the city and the province; and

WHEREAS it is the spirit of the Urban and Rural Planning Act that where such great costs, social and economic, are at stake, where there is such proliferation of confusion and misinformation, where there is such a widespread display of doubt and concern on the part of citizens, the voice of the people shall be heard and public hearings shall be held; and WHEREAS this legislature has responsibilities both to the City of St. John's and to the province as a whole to ensure that the rights of citizens shall not be suppressed and that such large expenditures of tax dollars as this proposal will entail directly and indirectly shall not be undertaken lightly or without full and proper consideration of all the likely ramifications; and

WHEREAS four thousand citizens of St. John's deserve at least as much of our concern and interest as relatively small groups.

BE IT RESOLVED that this House in recognition of its responsibilities for the protection of the interests of all Newfoundlanders, including the citizens of St. John's, immediately pursue one of the following courses of action in order to resolve the many outstanding questions respecting the development officially known as Atlantic Place.

Either (a) that this House appoint a select committee of its members to sit regardless of whether this House is sitting or not to inquire into and to make recommendations upon the planning, design, financial

arrangements, economic, social and traffic implication and such other aspects of the proposed development to be known as Atlantic Place as it may deem relevant to a full and fair assessment of the value of the proposed development to the immediate downtown area of St. John's and to St. John's as a whole and that the said committee be impowered to entertain suggested criteria for such an evaluation and suggested alternative design or development proposals and that the said committee be instructed to conduct open hearings and adopt other procedures and methods as it may deem expedient for the conduct of this enquiry or

(b) that this House request the Lieutenant Governor-in-Council to appoint a royal commission to enquire into and to make recommendations upon the planning, design, financial arrangements, economic, social and traffic implications and

such other aspects of the proposed development to be known as Atlantic Place as it may deem relevant to a full and fair assessment of the value of the proposed development to the immediate downtown area of St. John's and to St. John's as a whole; that the said committee be empowered to entertain suggested criteria for such an evaluation and suggests alternative design or development proposals and that the said committee be instructed to conduct open hearings and adopt such other procedures and methods as it may deem expedient for the conduct of the enquiry or (c) that this House deal with this situation legislatively so that it would require the City of St. John's to appoint a commissioner to enquire into and to make recommendations upon the planning, design, financial arrangements, economic, social, traffic implications, and such other aspects of the proposed development to be known as Atlantic Place as it may deem relevant to a full and fair assessment of the value of the proposed development for the immediate downtown area of St. John's and to St. John's as a whole and that the said committee be empowered to entertain suggested criteria for such an evaluation and suggests that alternative design or development proposals and that the said committee be instructed to conduct opening hearings and adopt such other procedures and methods as it may deem expedient for the conduct of the enquiry.

MR. MURPHY: Mr. Speaker, WHEREAS ..

AN HON. MEMBER: Point of order.

MR. MURPHY: All I want is to rise and ask; the opinion of this House - AN HON. MEMBER: (Inaudible).

MR. MURPHY: I am not allowed to speak?

MR. ROBERTS: No!

MR. MURPHY:) Oh, I see. I am sorry.

MR. SPEAKER: Is the honourable member speaking on a point of privilege or a point of order?

MR. MURPHY: I was just speaking on the petition. All I want is a ruling,

Mr. Murphy

whether this should be permitted in this House or not?

MR. SPEAKER: Order please! I shall accept the honourable member's resolution and I will take in under advisement and rule on it this afternoon.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS.

HON. DR. A. T. ROWE (Minister of Health): Mr. Speaker, I would like to table for the information of the House the reply to Question No. 74, of the Order Paper of Wednesday, 28 February, 1973. The answer to question one:

(a) "Hermitage, Hermitage Bay." (b) "this boat is used for transportation of district medical officers and as a medical clinic." (c) "MacCallum, Gaultois, Furby's Cove and Pass Island on a weekly basis, plus any emergency calls which occur." (d) "the motor vessel is owned by government."

(e) "two." (f) "\$11,439.36." (g) "\$1,820.99."

ORDERS OF THE DAY

MR. F. B. ROWE: Mr. Speaker, I would like to direct a question to the hon. (Acting) Premier. Has the hon. (Acting) Premier had an opportunity to find out from the Premier yet when the college that is to be built on the West Coast will be started?

MR. HICKMAN: Mr. Speaker, I have not been in communication with the hon. Premier.

MR. ROWE: Mr. Speaker, a supplementary question: Can the (Acting) Premier explain the discrepancy in the Throne Speech and the announcement made by the Premier, because in the Throne Speech there was no mention made of a community college?

MR. HICKMAN: Mr. Speaker, I am sure that the honourable gentleman from St. Barbe North is aware that throne speeches do not necessarily contain all of the government's policies.

MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Speaker, can the Minister of Justice tell us what is the government's policy with respect to the college or colleges on the West Coast?

Mr. Roberts.

There is some considerable confusion.

MR. HICKMAN: I will take that as notice, Mr. Speaker.

MR. W. N. ROWE: How much notice? You have already had a day,

MR. SPEAKER: The hon. member for Bell Island.

MR. NEARY: I would like to direct a question to the hon. Minister of Health. I wonder if the honourable minister could inform the House if Exon House is still under quarantine and if so, why it was necessary to implement a quarantine at Exon House?

DR. ROWE: I do not know the answer, Mr. Speaker, but I will have the information this afternoon.

MR. WOODWARD: Mr. Speaker, in the absence of the Minister of Highways,

I would like to direct a question to the (Deputy) Premier. Is there
anything to the rumour that is going around that the Department of

Highways have abandoned the stretch of road in Southern Labrador, between

Pinware and Red Bay, and that they will not be keeping this road open
or the snow cleared for the remainder of the winter?

MR. HICKMAN: You tie youself to a rumour?

MR. W. N. ROWE: Is there anything to the rumour?

MR. ROBERTS: It is more than a rumour, Mr. Speaker, by way of a supplementary question: A number of callers on the "Open Line Show" in Grand Falls, people calling from the Pinware, Red Bay Area in Labrador South this morning stated that they have been informed, the Department of Highways have said that they have abandoned any efforts to keep open the stretch of road between Pinware and Red Bay. That in itself is serious but it is more serious, Mr. Speaker, and the reason we asked the question is because apparently there are shortages of fuel at one end of that - the honourable gentleman knows the area. Red Bay is the most northerly community served by the road in the southern area. I realize, Your Honour, that I am treading

Mr. Roberts.

at least at the edge of what is in order but if the honourable gentleman could perhaps ask his officials in the department and give us the information, it would be helpful.

MR. NEARY: Mr. Speaker, I would like to direct a question - I am not sure if I should direct this to the (Acting) Premier or - AN HON. MEMBER: He is not the (Acting) Premier?

MR. NEARY: He is not the (Acting) Premier. Well if he is not, he must feel awfully deflated.

AN HON. MEMBER: (Deputy) Premier.

MR. NEARY: I would like to direct my question to the supposedly

(Acting) Premier, Sir. In view of the fact that two bishops and His

Grace Archbishop Skinner have come out in favour of the government

taking whatever steps necessary to get the parties involved in a labour

dispute at Bonavista back to the bargaining table, will the (Acting)

Premier please inform the House what action the government are now going to

take on the strike in Bonavista?

MR. HICKMAN: Mr. Speaker, the honourable gentleman's interpretation of what the three reverend and learned bishops have in mind is quite different.

MR. NEARY: Mr. Speaker, the hon. (Acting) Premier did not - what

I am getting at, Mr. Speaker, is what is the government's response
to this latest demand by three bishops that something be done to resolve
this dispute in Bonavista?

MR. HICKMAN: Mr. Speaker, the government have indicated to both parties that all the conciliation facilities of this government are available. The two parties have been urged to commence negotiations with a view to concluding a satisfactory agreement. The government do not have the legislative authority to -

MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Speaker, we are quite aware of the government's legislative

Mr. Roberts.

powers. Have the government had any response to their urgings?

MR. HICKMAN: I will have to direct that to my honourable friend.

MR. DAWE: Mr. Speaker, I do not know if those honourable gentlemen on the other side read the papers or not. I am sure if they did, they would be quite up-to-date on what is going on with regard to Bonavista. The government are doing everything possible as has been stated in the newspapers. A conciliation officer has been in touch with both parties as has been stated in the newspapers. What do you want? Can you not read?

MR. ROBERTS: Whether or not I read the newspapers is another matter but the honourable gentleman apparently cannot understand the question. The question again is: Have the government had any response to their urgings? It is a simple and civil question. I would like a simple and civil answer if the minister is capable of either.

MR. DAWE: Since it is not a matter of urgency, Mr. Speaker and since

I cannot understand the question, would the honourable gentleman put

it on the Order Paper?

MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Speaker, I would be delighted to try to make the minister understand. "You can lead even half a horse to water but you cannot make him drink." I shall try to put it on the Order Paper. It is a matter of urgency.

MR. WINSOR: Mr. Speaker, I would like to direct a question to the hon. (Acting) Premier

AN HON, MEMBER: President of the Council.

MR. WINSOR: Or President of the Council, I agree. The President of the Council, it sounds more official. Does he know or can he find out whether there has been a request from the people of Fogo, the combined Town Councils of Fogo Island, to have food supplies air lifted in to Fogo Island? I understand that because of a very severe ice blockage there,

Mr. Winsor

certain commodities and food are now running very short and to get it in by private aircraft, they have to pay at least twelve cents per pound and I think it will soon reach a stage where we may have an emergency there?

MR. HICKMAN: Mr. Speaker, I thank the honourable gentlemen for alerting me in advance, a few minutes ago, of his question. I am not in the position to indicate whether any formal requests have been made of government other than the honourable gentleman bringing it to the attention of the government this afternoon. I will certainly check and see. My understanding is that there are three icebreakers in the area. All three are stuck in the ice and are not functioning. What the Ministry of Transport in Ottawa is prepared to do to alleviate that sitatuion,

has not been communicated to either the people of Fogo or the Government of Newfoundland as yet but I would certainly undertake to find out whether or not any request has been made other than that of the hon. gentleman.

MR. E.W. WINSOR: A supplementary, Mr. Speaker. Should a request be made would the government provide transportation of emergency commodities? Would the government undertake to provide the same as they did at Fishers Island, I believe, and some other communities on the Northern Coast?

MR. HICKMAN: Mr. Speaker, obviously I cannot say what the policy of government will be but if an emergency situation does exist the government's record of working in conjunction with the Government of Canada and seeking relief is commendable and the same consideration will be given as was given in the other instance just cited by the hon, member.

AN HON. MEMBER: (Inaudible).

MR. HICKMAN: EMO have some responsibility and I propose to consult with them after the question period.

MR. NEARY: Mr. Speaker, in the absence of the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, I wonder if the hon. acting Premier, President of the Council or Minister of Justice, whatever hat he is wearing today, would inform the House if the government have received any protests from the tennants in the St. John's Housing Corporation Apartments about the increase in rents and if so, what action the government have taken on these representations.

MR. HICKMAN: To my knowledge no, Mr. Speaker.

MR. NEARY: To your knowledge no.

ORDERS OF THE DAY:

On motion of the hon. Minister of Community and Social

Development a bill, "An Act Respecting The Department Of Rural Development,"

read a first time, ordered read a second time on tomorrow.

On motion of the hon. Minister of Justice, a bill, "An Act
Further To Amend The Newfoundland Municipal Financing Corporation
Act," read a first time, ordered read a second time on tomorrow.

On motion of the hon, Minister of Justice, a bill. "An Act
Respecting Homes For Special Care," read a first time, ordered read
a second time on tomorrow.

On motion of the hon. Minister of Mines, Agriculture and Resources, a bill, "An Act To Amend The Forest Fires Act," read a first time, ordered read a second time on tomorrow.

On motion of the hon. Minister of Labrador Affairs. a bill.
"An Act To Amend The Health And Public Welfare Act." read a first
time, ordered read a second time on tomorrow.

On motion of the hon. Minister of Public Works. a bill.

"An Act To Amend The Expropriation Act, read a first time, ordered read a second time on tomorrow.

On motion of the hon. Minister of Health, a bill, "An Act Further To Amend The Newfoundland Medical Care Insurance Act," read a first time, ordered read a second time on tomorrow.

On motion of the hon. Minister of Provincial Affairs, a bill,
"An Act Further To Amend The Co-operative Societies Act," read a first
time, ordered read a second time on tomorrow.

On motion, the following bills were read a third time, ordered passed and title be as on the Order Paper:

A bill, "An Act Respecting Persons In An Intoxicated Condition In Public Places."

A bill, 'An Act To Amend The Wild Life Act."

A bill, "An Act Further To Amend The Maintenance Act."

A bill, "An Act Further To Amend The Criminal Injuries Compensation Act."

A bill, "An Act Further To Amend The Registration Of Deeds
Act."

A bill, "An Act Respecting The Registration And Regulation Of Collection Agencies."

A bill, "An Act Respecting The Guaranteeing By The Crown Of Certain Bonds, Debentures And Loans And Respecting The Making Of Certain Loans By the Crown."

A bill, "An Act Further To Amend The City Of St. John's Act."

On motion, a bill, "An Act Respecting The Termination Of Employment
Of Substantial Numbers Of Persons In Certain Industries," read a third
time, ordered passed and title be as on the Order Paper.

On motion, a bill, "An Act Respecting Unsolicited Goods And Unsolicited Credit Cards," read a third time, ordered passed and title be as on the Order Paper.

On motion, a bill, "An Act Respecting The Registration And Regulation
Of Automobile Dealers And The Salesmen Of Such Dealers," read a third
time, ordered passed and title be as on the Order Paper.

On motion, a bill, "An Act Further To Amend The Department Of Finance Act, " read a third time, ordered passed and title be as on the Order Paper.

On motion, a bill, "An Act Respecting The Department Of Municipal Affairs and Housing," read a third time, ordered passed and title be as on the Order Paper.

On motion, a bill, "An Act Respecting The Department Of Manpower And Industrial Relations," read a third time, ordered passed and title be as on the Order Paper.

On motion, a bill. "An Act Respecting The Department Of Tourism,"
read a third time, ordered passed and title he as on the Order Paper.

On motion, a bill, "An Act Respecting The Department Of Mines And Energy," read a third time, ordered passed and title be as on the Order Paper.

On motion, a bill, "An Act Respecting The Department Of Industrial Development," read a third time, ordered passed and title be as on the Order Paper.

On motion, a bill, "An Act To Amend The Department Of Health Act," read a third time, ordered passed and title be as on the Order Paper.

On motion, a bill, "An Act Respecting The Department Of Social Services," read a third time, ordered passed and title be as on the Order Paper.

On motion, a bill, "An Act Repecting The Department Of Public Works and Services," read a third time, ordered passed and title be as on the Order Paper.

On motion, a bill, "An Act Respecting The Department of Fisheries," read a third time, ordered passed and title be as on the Order Paper.

On motion, a bill, "An Act Further To Amend The Agreement Ratified, Confirmed And Adopted By And Set Forth In The Schedule To The Leitch Gold Mines Limited (Agreement) Act, 1964, And To Make Certain Statutory Provisions Relating To That Agreement," read a third time, ordered passed and title be as on the Order Paper,

On motion, a bill, "An Act To Amend The Crown Corporation (Local Taxation) Act," read a third time, ordered passed and title be as on the Order Paper,

On motion, a bill, "An Act To Amend The Employment Of Children Act, " read a third time, ordered passed and title be as on the Order Paper.

ADDRESS IN REPLY

MR. B. HOWARD: Mr. Speaker, first of all I would like to congratulate the member for St. John's South and the member for Grand Falls for their excellent speeches they made in moving and seconding the gracious Speech from the Throne.

I would also like personally, although the honourable member for Labrador South is not in his seat this evening, to welcome him to this House.

I would also like to congratulate the member for Port au Port for being raised to the high office of Deputy Speaker.

I would also like to congratulate those members who have recently received portfolios, to all of you I wish success.

Mr. Speaker, the government after having completed its successful first year in office have again committed itself to continue with the wise development of our province's resources and have broadened their plans for the continued expansion of our fisheries, the expansion of our tourist industry, the improvements of our roads, the promotion of an agricultural programme and many other areas of development.

The fishery is by far the most important industry in the District of Bay de Verde, most of the development in my district revolves around the fishing industry. The government's programme of continued expansion and improvement of the industry will provide a great incentive for the people of my district. The fishermen of Bay de Verde need financial assistance to obtain better boats and equipment. They need an adequate insurance scheme whereby their gear which may be destroyed or a loss by some Act of God will be replaced immediately. They need new slipways, improved docking and wharf facilities and additional fish plants and canneries. Speaking about slipways, wharves etc. but with great dismay I heard a few days ago that the federal government did not see fit to give to the people of Old Perlican in the District of Bay de Verde a breakwater which they have been seeking since 1966.

Last year after I wrote several letters to the minister in Ottawa in connection with

this project, I was advised that it was second on the priority list for the estimates. Unfortunately, for some reason or other it did not get through the estimates. I was assured at that time that it would be top priority to have this breakwater constructed over there this coming year. Apparently this is not so, Mr. Speaker, Old Perlican has been advised that this has not been brought down in the budget for this year.

When you look back to 1966, I believe, Mr. Speaker, there were about ten longliners, probably less, operating out of Old Perlican. Today or this season there will be thirty longliners operating out of Old Perlican and they have no adequate facilities. Right now they are trying to house these boats in a place not much bigger than the ninth floor of Confederation Building, which is ridiculous. Here we have a growing community, people who are fighting to live, people who have proven to Newfoundland and to Canada as a whole that they can survive without welfare or any other help. I think it is ridiculous that this breakwater will not be built this coming season.

Mr. Speaker, because Bay de Verde is primarily a fishing district we share the concern of both government and industry for the welfare of our fisheries. We are greatly concerned at the waste, over-exploitation and raping of our fishing grounds and stocks. all of which have taken their toll over the past ten years. Particularly we are concerned about the cold, piratelike manner in which this is done especially by foreign operators using undersized mesh, nighttime raids on inshore grounds, destruction of local gear, all committed in the name of progress.

My district is particularly attractive and vulnerable to the abuse because around the Peninsula of Bay de Verde are some of the richest inshore midwater and offshore fishing grounds in the country. According to recent base-line drawings, only a three-mile fishing limit exists. This probably explains what goes on to the North and East of Baccalieu, at night. The scene on occasion resembles a floating city, illuminated by the lights of foreign draggers.

In spite of this, however, we do not share the pessimistic views of the prophets of doom or gravediggers of our fisheries. True, there is an increasing scarcity, but we are not forgetting the cardinal law of economics which holds that scarcity and higher prices beget exploration and experimentation of new species and produces new materials. We are now more hopeful than ever that the concern and work of the government, the efforts of such organizations as S O F A, a governing common sense on part of operations will bring about the sensible programme of conservative management and control which will save our fisheries and preserve the stocks for this and future generations so that the total independent population of this old historic district will not be forced from their freedom to the slums of Toronto or elsewhere for existence.

We are also optimistic for the many new government programmes of rural and resource development because we do not wish ever again to become "hewers of wood and drawers of water." Our people in the district produce many, many brand new dollars (from the sea and the soil) which we wish to turn over and spread within the district to improve the services and quality of life of our people in the district. Producing, processing and further processing within our district must come first - far too long it was otherwise - this practice of giving away too much of the fruits of the producers labour must, as far as possible, be eliminated, otherwise my district cannot survive.

We do not envy our friends on the Southeast and Southwest

Coasts in getting full season employment from the sea. We are fully aware

that on the East and Northeast coasts - including Bay de Verde District
that winds, weather and ice are our full-season employment foes in the

fishing industry.

But here again we have reason to be optimistic. With the increase in size and seaworthiness of boats, improvements in harbour and landing facilities, much improved utilization of our products by the way of further processing in our plants, this happy district, we feel sure, will

become more self-supporting, attractive, contented and secure for all its population.

The Premier himself, with first-hand knowledge, born of long business experience within the district, is in full accord with what I have said. My district, Sir, looks forward to many years of his leadership with great expectations.

As the member for the district, I am proud of and hopeful for its potential in terms of the new dollars to the economy it is capable of producing and also the goods, services and employment it provides a market for.

This government - make no mistake - is not sidestepping the real issues that go to improve the quality of life in our rural area. With its numerous studies of all aspects of human and material resources, it seeks not to eliminate but to improve the services and quality of life for the good and future of this province and its people.

May I point out and emphasize that my district now boasts with some measure of pride many fine, well-equipped schools wherein exists the potential for an efficient, capable, trained work-force, so necessary for industry and the services it provides. I wish to suggest that this government take a hard look at curriculum content and quality of teaching in the rural districts, especially fishing districts, in an attempt to see that the social, economic, cultural, moral and educational programme is at least in tune with the needs and returns of industry and quality of life it is expected to produce.

The fishing industry has taken on a new dimension wherein training, skill and technical know-how will continue to give better returns and help to save and perpetuate the industry. My strong belief is that part of the curriculum course and teaching time in elementary and high schools should be devoted to the fishing industry and done by members of a staff with experience, training and motivation provided by their term of training at university.

There must be a hard look taken at establishing a caplin industry in this province. It was proven years ago that this could be

a successful industry. A feasibility study was carried out by a firm here in St. John's, a market was obtained, but because the government of that day did not see fit to help get this industry off the ground, that is as far as it got. I hope that this government will see fit to encourage this industry so that hundreds of our people will reap benefits from it. Here again is where the past administration may be criticized. They could see fit to pour millions of dollars into the steel mill at Octogan Pond and the rubber plant at Holyrood, but not one cent could they afford to put into the caplin industry and we with the natural resources for same at our doorstep. I wonder could it be that the party who was promoting the idea was not of the same political mind as the administration of that time? Could this be why it

MR. HOWARD: never got off the ground at that time. Could this be why a lot of our people today are unemployed because if this industry had been given resources to get off the ground that was needed, then hundreds of people today, who are unemployed, could be employed.

It is time we brought our noses and sights into line.

The doom-sayers of the fisheries who are looking for pie in the sky for their offspring, may well find it for them in the waters that wash our shores, given a little knowledge and encouragement at home and school.

Mr. Speaker, it was not my intention when I made my reply, I spoke to the Speech from the Throne, to bring any personalities into my remarks. But Mr. Speaker, on Friday, February 16, while debating the closedown of the Octagon Steel Plant, we heard the honourable member from Bell Island cry out "Black Friday." All blamed on the present administration - "Stab you in the back." sort of thing. I can sympathize with the people who are directly involved. Mr. Speaker, I was involved in labour movements for years and I know wht it is to have something close down in front of your eyes and there is nothing you can do about it.

I was involved in something of the same thing in October, 1963, and I might add here that I was president of the union that was involved. I was dealing with the company concerned. When the firm which I was working for cut back from in excess of 200 employees to 55 employees. There was no emergency debate on the plight of those involved then. I wonder where was the honourable member then? How come his heart did not bleed then? I believe it was the honourable member's party that was in power at that time, Mr. Speaker.

On Monday, February 12, the honourable member for Bell Island talked about lashing money out to the member for Bay de Verde, is this, Mr. Speaker, his sneaky way of trying to get the people of this province to think that certain members are getting underhanded

MR. HOWARD: deals from the present government?

They, the opposition, cannot realize that this disappeared on January 18, 1972, when the present government took over power. Let me assure the honourable member for Bell Island that the only \$10,000 a year I am receiving is my sessional pay, the same as he receives himself. Maybe he is getting a little more over there because he is party whip, I do not know. The honourable member for Bell Island will try to have people of this province and the people of this House, believe that he is a saviour of the people of this province. He speaks about welfare, about taking the money away from the children, he speaks about these people not having money for eyeglasses, etc., etc.

Let me point out to the honourable member that in October of 1971 the people in Bay de Verde District could not get \$100 to repair their houses. Why I ask? Why? Why could they not get \$100 to repair their homes? The honourable member could see fit to pass it out in thousands in other districts, but there were no thousands of dollars passed out in the Bay de Verde Area. I can assure the honourable member for Bell Island that the winds are just as cold in the District of Bay de Verde during the winter time as they are in any other district in Newfoundland.

I would sure give it to the people. I would say.

"sure give it to the people. Give them all that is possible to get
for them but do not make chalk of one and cheese of another. If it
is good for one, it is good for another. If the people in one of
these districts are entitled to it, the people of all district should
be entitled to it and should get it. There should be no such thing
as a chosen few."

In developing our natural resources, we must ensure that
maximum benefits stay in the community where the resources originated.

) There is little point in the fishermen building boats, catching fish,
if some mainland or outside processor is making the profit. Mr. Speaker,
the policies of this government must include the use of co-operatives

MR. HOWARD as development agents.

In the Bay de Verde District there is an increasing interest in co-operative development. Co-operatives provide the opportunity for all participation and control by local people. Our policies must provide for and indeed encourage new co-operative development. We hear a lot of talk today about the cost of food. Here is one way that the cost of food can be brought down. All we have to do is to get the people to band together and form co-operatives, with 30,000, 40,000, 50,000 members in a co-operative, then see how drastically the prices of food in this province will decrease. Not only, Mr. Speaker, should we speak in terms of decreasing the cost of living as far as the purchasing of groceries is concerned. I have here before me, Mr. Speaker, quite a few things concerning co-operatives. I would like just briefly to speak on one, the Newfoundland Fur Traders Feed Co-operatives, Dildo operation.

I am going to speak very briefly on this nut just to put a point across. This operation was under private enterprise in 1968 and it came out at a \$10,327 loss. In 1970, under the management of N.C.S. it came out with a \$2,603 profit, 1971 \$7,778 profit.

In wages in this particular plant - 1968 the wages were \$26,837. Eight months from January 1 to August 31, 1972 on a Newfoundland Co-operative Services, the wages came out to \$27,554. Speaking about co-operatives, Mr. Speaker, 1 refer back to a letter, if I may be permitted to do so, Sir, on Monday, February 26, 1973 in "The Evening Telegram" from a W. J.Browne, in connection with the late Bishop O'Reilly.

Here is a man who, through his efforts, set up the co-operative development in Lourdes on the West Coast. Without elaborating, Mr. Speaker, we are all aware of how successful that project was.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Speaker, the tourist industry in my district has tremendous potential and to date the surface has not even been scratched in this area. I refer especially to the beautiful beach at Northern Bay which is second to none anywhere in Eastern Canada. This area is presently a provincial partk, however the facilities there are inadequate for the thousands of visitors we have every summer. The park needs to be expanded with more picnic and camp sites constructed.

I might add here, Mr. Speaker, that we have several places in my district which could be set up as picnic areas. One I refer to is the Pine Grove at Broad Cove, which I understand were pines that were planted there years ago by the Commission of Government. But today it overlooks a beautiful pond there and with a little landscaping could become a beautiful picnic area. I am also aware of a place known as Broom Cove just outside of Bay de Verde which would be a beautiful site if this at all could be developed.

Mr. Speaker - water supply. Water and sewerage facilities in my district leave a lot to be desired, especially in the Town of Old Perlican, this being the only municipality in the District of Bay de Verde, special emphasis should be placed in having water. and sewerage facilities installed in the immediate future. This could very well be classed as a disaster area as far as health standards are concerned if something is not done within the immediate future. The Community of Bay de Verde should be looked at in respect to having water and sewerage installed.

Water could be brought into the community at a minimum cost from a nearby pond and likewise have sewerage lines installed at the same time. These two communities are the most urgent ones in the District of Bay de Verde.

Bay de Verde, Mr. Speaker, has a population of approximately 1,000 people, that is the Community of Bay de Verde, and today as far as water and sewerage is concerned it can be classed as a cesspool and if something is not done within the immediate future there the health hazards will be great. The people over there. Mr. Speaker. are waiting for something to be done in regard to having an adequate supply of water installed, not only an adequate supply but right now I doubt, of all the wells that have been drilled in Bay de Verde, if you could find five that the water is not contaminated in.

Mr. Speaker, a community pasture is a must in the District of Bay de Verde. There is an excess of 1,200 head of cattle alone and with notices posted, at the present time, in the Town of Old Perlican, that cattle owners will be fined if cattle are allowed to roam after May 15, makes it that much more urgent because we must look at it from the point of view that the cattle roam into Old Perlican from outlying areas and all the cattle owners are quite concerned because if this law is enforced, many of the cattle owners will have to destroy their cattle. Mr. Speaker, they do not have the land available themselves to house cattle and the land they do have available is necessary for them to grow hay on, etc. to feed them during the winter months. I know that this pasture cannot be built overnight but if the people could be definitely assured that this pasture will become a reality, then I am sure negotiations could be carried out with the authorities concerned to postpone enforcing this law, for another few months, at least.

Highways in my District of Bay de Verde should be given top priority and has to be given top priority. Much was done this past

year in upgrading some of the roads in the communities in the District of Bay de Verde but much more needs to be done, especially in the Community of Bay de Verde itself. Right now you can drive to the wharf in Bay de Verde but that is the extent of it. The neonle of this community have waited long enough for roads and I urge this government to take immediate steps to rectify this intolerable condition, the intolerable situation that exists there, in the immediate future. The main highway leading from Lower Island Cove to Old Perlican is in an intolerable condition and all the fish caught in the district have to be trucked over it. In the spring of the year both cars and trucks get bogged down in the main highway and have to be pulled out with a dozer or some other type of heavy equipment.

The bridge at Caplin Cove on the main highway is a death trap. Mr. Speaker, I would like to point out that this did not happen within the last twelve months. It was there during the last fifty years and it is still there, I am sorry to say. The hon. member from Bonavista North suggested a few days ago that priority should be given to having the roads through the communities paved first. Hogwash. that is what happened in my area. I say start and pave the roads from one end to the other, not in patches. If this had been done in the District of Bay de Verde, we would not be faced with the intolerable conditions which exist there between the Communities of Lower Tsland Cove and Caplin Cove, between Caplin Cove and Old Perlican, between Grates Cove and Bay de Verde, between Red Uead Cove and Bay de Verde. not forgetting the little Community of Bison Cove and the road leading from Old Perlican to Lead Cove in Trinity South.

Yes, Mr. Speaker, these are only a few of the complaints connected with roads in my District of Bay de Verde. It is quite all right to pave roads in the communities if one is only looking for

votes but if an elected member is interested in his district as a whole, which he should be, I say that he should see to it that the roads be paved from point A to point B without any break in between.

Mr. Speaker, recreational facilities in the District of
Bay de Verde leave. a lot to be desired. There is not even as much
as a swing erected throughout the whole district right from Kingston
to Bay de Verde. There are beautiful elementary and secondary
schools built throughout the area but the grounds surrounding them
have nothing. I believe we should look at the possibility of having
an arena built within the area. If such a project were undertaken
the ideal spot would be Old Perlican because from here it could serve
the communities from Trinity South as well as the District of Bay de Verde.
Anything would be a big improvement over what we have at the present time.

Mr. Speaker, health facilities in the district leave a lot to be desired. In the Cottage Hospital at Old Perlican we only have two doctors when we should have three. It must be remembered that when speaking about the Cottage Hospital at Old Perlican it not only serves a part of my district but it also serves a part of the District of Trinity South and I am afraid that if a third doctor is not appointed to the hospital at Old Perlican the other doctors that are there could very well resign because the work load is too great for only two doctors.

Mr. Speaker, firefighting equipment within the District of
Bay de Verde is a must. We do not have any firefighting equipment
throughout the whole district. Here again, if the government should
see fit to build a fire station at Old Perlican and have it equipped
with a fire truck etc. it could serve not only my district but also
parts of the District of Trinity South. Fire insurance costs a great
deal in the District of Bay de Verde and this is one of the main factors.

If a fire station were built in the district it would be another way of
serving the people to a maximum capacity. Right now, Mr. Speaker, I

would hate to say what would happen in my district if the Cottage
Hospital at Old Perlican should catch fire. We would probably have
to send out a bucket brigade because that is all they have there
right now.

Mr. Speaker, I could go on and on and talk of things that are needed in the District of Bay de Verde. I know it is impossible to get them all but I sincerely hope that this government will see fit to at least grant to the District of Bay de Verde the things that are urgently needed such as roads, fishing facilities, water and sewerage etc. and I might add adequate medical facilities.

Thank you.

MR. ROWE(F.B.): Mr. Speaker, at the outset I would like to take this opportunity to congratulate the new member for Labrador South although he is not in his seat at the present time and wish him well in his new position of responsibility. Also, Sir, I would like to congratulate the new Deputy Speaker and Chairman of Committees and express the hope that he will be able to carry out his duties with the same expertise and the same degree of objectivity and unpartisanship as his predecessor. Sir, I would also like to congratulate the new cabinet ministers upon their appointments and express the hope that they will be able to discharge their duties in such a way as to contribute to the total good of the administration of the affairs of Newfoundland and Labrador.

Sir, it would be remiss of me if I did not express my sympathies and pass along my condolences to those former ministers who have succumbed to the wounds of battle although, Sir, I would suspect that many of these wounds were inflicted at the cabinet level as many certainly as were inflicted here in this hon. House.

Now, Sir, that I have gotten these congratulatory niceties out of the way I would like to turn my attention to the most recent throne speech, delivered on January 31.

the nitty gritty so to speak.

Sir, I had hoped that this would be a pleasant task and that we would see a great programme of action following a full year of planning and the cleaning up of the alleged mess left by the previous administration. But, Sir, such is not the case. Instead we hear a throne speech almost completely void of the kind of action required to keep this province on the same rate of progress experienced over the past twenty-three years, that is the twenty-three years previous to last year.

Sir, the throne speech now under consideration can be described in any number of ways. First, Sir, we know the fantastic deescalation, a deescalation of promises, a deescalation of programmes and a deescalation of policies that characterized their own first, March 1, 1973, Throne Speech. Sir, you will remember this was delivered by television as the administration's election manifestoes. Sir, that first Throne Speech was designed wholly and solely to gain political power and nothing else but that.

Last year the people of Newfoundland and Labrador had the sad experience of witnessing the infinitesimal and insignificant degree to which the Premier and his administration were willing to take action on the programmes and the promises contained in their first Throne Speech.

This year, Sir, we see in the Throne Speech a complete capitulation of any plans or any policy that this administration articulated in the past. Sir, this amounted to a complete admission on their part that they are incapable of providing the kind of administration required to maintain the level of public services and the quality of life that some people of this province were growing used to, and still others were expecting within the very near future when they elected this new administration. Worst still is the fact that this administration before they took over had convinced the majority of people that they had a great new vision. The people were expecting the kind of leadership that would give them a higher quality of life than could be provided by the previous administration. Sir, this

is what these people were expecting.

What a disappointment! What a letdown! Sir, not being a student of history, I used to think that the old cliché that I used to hear from time to time "that Tory times are hard times" was just a sort of an expression flung about at Liberal rallies and really did not mean much more than something to say at a Liberal rally, or if you were a true blue Liberal. But, Sir, having witnessed the progress and the action over the past year compared to the previous year's Throne Speech that turns out to be a fact "Tory times are hard times."

Now, Sir, I would not want to suggest that the Throne Speech in comparsion to the last Throne Speech was a deescalation or a capitulation on the promises and the plans and the policies therein without giving examples to substantiate the argument. Sir, let us look at the fisheries alone and this is not meant to be a criticism of the personality of the Minister of Fisheries. I know the problems that he has and I know the integrity of the man. But, Sir, I am criticizing the Throne Speech and those that have been delivered before.

Sir, in the areas of the fishery we were promised the establishment of regional offices of the Department of Fisheries to be located on the Burin Peninsula, the South Coast, the West Coast, the Northeast Coast.

These branches were to be established in order to provide better service to the fishermen living in these areas. Sir, it was stated that in order for the Department of Fisheries to be fully effective the fishermen themselves would have to be fully involved. Sir, to my knowledge these extensions, if you will, of the Fisheries Department have not been established in the areas that they were promised to be established — in the Throne Speech last year. That has some significance for the credibility of the hrone peech this year.

Sir, still in the area of fisheries a new programme to give better assistance to inshore fishermen to obtain boats, gear and equipment and a special incentives programme was to be introduced to assist fishermen

in obtaining large multi-purpose boats. Sir, to my knowledge these new and special programmes were never implemented during the past year.

Thirdly, still in the area of the fisheries, promise no.(3) the introduction of legislation concerning a new financing approach to provide for the supply of fishing necessary for existing fish plants around our coast in order that they may be utilized as near full capacity as possible. Sir, where is that legislation promised one year ago?

Fourthly, a corporation which would own or lease rights on a new fleet of trawlers. Sir, I am not aware of this great new fleet of trawlers promised last year being implemented during last year.

Number five promise in the area of the fisheries, a new and realistic programme of bait assistance to the fishing industry placed at strategic locals. Sir, I have not seen any move in that direction.

Six, legislation was to be introduced to establish an inexpensive share cost insurance programme covering loss of fishing gear. Sir, there are thousands of fishermen in Newfoundland and Labrador still anxiously awaiting the legislation in this regard.

Seven, a great new programme to encourage the establishment of an additional advanced reprocessing facilities for fishermen within this province. Sir, I am not an expert in the fisheries but I know that last promise is not an easy one to implement. I know the difficulties involved with it and I know that this should have not been in any throne speech that was delivered one year ago when there was no hope of getting it implemented.

Sir, these are seven examples in the area of fisheries of promised projects and programmes that have not been implemented over the past year. They have not been mentioned in this Throne Speech.

AN HON. MEMBER: Inaudible.

MR. ROWE, F.B. That is because of the tariffs, it involves the Americans, like I say I am not an expert in it, but I know this is certainly

something that is not done overnight and I think the honourable Minister of Fisheries realizes the same thing.

Now, Sir, they were promised in last year's Throne Speech, they were not acted on. They are not mentioned in this year's Throne Speech and the obvious question to ask is: what happened to these promises? What happened to these programmes? Why have they not been mentioned in this year? Sir, it is obvious that this is evidence of the deescalation, of complete capitulation of this administration with respect to policies and programmes when you look at this year's Throne Speech.

Sir, in the area of municipal affairs and housing last year this administration promised to improve the administration of the Department of Municipal Affairs and Housing by establishing regional offices in the larger strategic locations in the province. Sir, I see no evidence of this. The Throne Speech last year left the distinct impression that there was to be additional financial assistance to municipalities. The Speech showed an increase in the ceiling of the amount, funds that will be passed along to the municipalities in this province. In actual fact there was no increase in the assistance to the various municipalies in this province. In fact, Sir, when we had an opportunity to look at the estimates when they were brought down before the House, the grant to city councils was exactly the same as the year before. There was an actual decrease in the amount of grants to local councils,

municipal councils, a decrease. There was no increase in any kind of grant to community councils. The ceiling was raised but the actual amount of money advanced to the councils in this province in the overall was less, at least as far as the estimates are concerned.

Last year, Sir, a great new policy of cost-sharing for paving streets in the incorporated municipalities throughout the province was announced in the Throne Speech. Mr. Speaker, I ask you; where is the evidence for this policy being implemented during the last year? We witnessed no action on these commitments in the area of Municipal Affairs and Housing announced in the Throne Speech last year. Sir, there is no mention in the Throne Speech this year. Instead this year we hear a great list of royal commissions, of studies of this town and that town that can only be interpreted as delaying tactics and a deescalation of programmes promised the year before. Sir, what a sobering shock for the struggling municipalities throughout this province, when the year before they got the impression that more money was going to become available to them through grants from the Department of Municipal Affairs and Housing. Sir, these are two areas, Fisheries and Municipal Affairs.

Let us take a look at the situation with respect to commitments made in last year's speech in the area of highways. Mr. Speaker, we were told that a general and far-reaching programme of highway improvement would be introduced later in the session, the details of which would be revealed in the House when the estimates for the department were brought down. Sir, the objective of that programme was to attend to these parts of the province which had been neglected in the past and those areas not served by the Trans Canada Highway. Presumably, this means the various peninsulas of our province and in particular the Great Northern Peninsula which the hon. Minister of Finance had promised paving to a year or so before. Sir, I cannot remember having seen any great action in that particular direction.

Special mention was made of a major road building programme with the objective of providing a first-class highway from Happy

Valley in the east to the Province of Quebec Highway system. There was no action, Sir, last year. What do we hear this year? Sir, the thing that we hear this year from the Department of Highways is emphasis on the Communications Branch of the Department of Transportation and Communications, with no word at all about highways. In fact the only word that I have heard on highways came from the Premier while meeting with the Quebec Premier and what was that word? "Yes," the hon. Premier said, "there is a possibility of a road across Labrador that will be closed in the winter." Closed in the winter? Sir, is that the first-class Trans Labrador Highway that was mentioned in the Throne Speech last year? Sir, I am sure that the hon. member for Labrador North would be delighted to hear that kind of news.

Sir, when we look at the commitments made in the previous Throne Speech and look at the comments made in the present Throne Speech, we can see here evidence of further deescalations of intended action on the part of this administration.

Education: (Mr. Speaker, it is a peculiar thing. I am making reference here to all these departments and I cannot see one single minister of the department to whom I am relating. I guess the honourable minister was carried away with my own speech but he is not there now. The minister is not here) Sir, let us take a few minutes to reflect on what happened to the promises made last year in the area of education. Sir, the government promised the setting up of pilot projects, using many of the new techniques and specific mention was made of the introduction of such things as computers, tape recorders, presumably this would be audio and video television tapes, caculators, audio visual aids and similar modern additions to the field of learning.

Sir, I wonder if the hon. Minister of Education would care to table a list of these modern innovations that were introduced into the schools of Newfoundland and Labrador during last year? I am sure that this could be

written on the back of a postage stamp, the number of innovations that were introduced into the schools last year, modern innovations. Last year, Sir, we were promised amendments to the Newfoundland Teachers' Association Act. I never witnessed any such amendments and presumably this meant to include collective bargaining for teachers. Particular attention was to be given to special schools that would teach all children who are not adaptable to the traditional methods of education -whatever Sir, I have not seen any such schools being provided over the past year in this province. That very statement itself, taken from last year's Throne Speech, indicates that whoever made it up did not know anything about education in the first place. Regional colleges were to be established were to be established in various sections of the province. We are still hearing all sorts of confusing statements and announcements about community and regional colleges and junior colleges, without any articulation of policy respecting community and/or regional colleges.

Sir, the government last year promised a close examination and co-ordination to improve the efficiency of school bus transportation. What a shambles, Sir! We all know the results of that close examination. The present administration did not even take such factors into consideration as distribution of population and density of population, weather conditions and road conditions. They did not even take it into consideration in their formula. In fact their close scrutiny provided exactly the same amount for school bus transportation as was provided the year before, with increased costs in that area. So relatively speaking, they got less.

Sir, I must point out again that these policies were not converted into action. They are not repeated in this Throne Speech.

Instead we hear that the school curriculum must be diversified - listen to this, Sir - so that a broad spectrum of skills and potentialities of our

sons and daughters may be developed. We hear that there must be a closer liaison and integration between our facilities for technical and vocational instruction and our academic facilities .- motherhood stuff, Sir. These are the kinds of things that you do not expect - that goes without saying - to hear in a throne speech, Sir. What the people of Newfoundland want to hear and what the educators and the administrators in education want to hear is what about capital grants for schools? What about operating grants for schools? What about some specific plans being made to the federal government for further participation, for more DREE schools? What about some sort of a reasonable formula for school bus transportation and a very important issue in this province, in the field of education? What about the pupil/teacher ratio? Sir, what about the articulation of some sort of a policy with respect to junior and/or regional and/or community colleges, whatever all that is about? Every statement that I have heard coming from the honourable members on the other side, regarding regional and/or community colleges indicates to me that they do not know what the heck they are talking about in the first place, except that the hon, the Premier waltzes over to the West Coast and under a bit of political pressure, decides to say to

the people of Corner Brook; "You will have your regional college," and to the people of Stephenville; "You will have your community college." I am convinced, Sir, that the honourable the Premier, with all due respect, does not know the difference between the two, particularly since in the Throne Speech they were combined in one package. The statement there was made that regional colleges would be established in the various areas of this province and they would take care of university courses as well as a broader spectrum, that to me, Sir, means that the Premier was in fact talking about community colleges and now the honourable the Premier has gone over to the West Coast and talks about regional colleges on the one hand in this little spot, and; "Oh we will throw a little community college over here, the next thing we will have another little college over there."

MR. NEARY: Cut the baby in two, cut the baby in half.

MR. F.B.ROWE: Sir, once again in the field of education we see a deescalation of ideas, a deescalation of direction, of vision in this Throne Speech. Mr. Speaker, I could list off a great many more examples to prove beyond any shadow of a doubt that this Throne Speech represents a complete reduction, deescalation, reversal, capitulation, call it what you will, of what was contained in the Throne Speech delivered about one year ago, this time. Just before a provincial election.

Sir, where are all the regional hospitals? Where are all the community health centres to be established in the Area from Clarenville to Whitbourne, for the Bonavista Peninsula, for the Burin Peninsula and for the Northwest Coast? Where are all the federal-provincial agreements and the special areas and highway agreements that were promised about last year at this time? Sir, we could go on.

Mr. Speaker, we predicted last year that there would be no action during last year. We hoped that it would not happen but we predicted it. In fact, in my speech last year I pleaded with the present administration I begged the government to spread the action out over the years of its administration, over the term in office equally, so that the people of

Newfoundland would not be made to suffer in the first one, two or three years before the big spending spurt comes in the year before the election. Sir, that plea went unheeded and I suspect that the same thing will happen again this year.

As I mentioned, I predicted that we would see very little action this year or the past year. But, Sir, instead we see in the Throne Speech this coming year every indication of less action for the coming year. We see a reduction of intended action in this Throne Speech. Now, Sir, this is all bad enough, but we have to assess the credibility of this Throne Speech in the context of the action taken on last year's Throne Speech. The Throne Speech is a very important document. It is supposed to represent the plans of action, the policy, the programme the administration has for the following year. The honourable House Leader, in fact the member for St. John's East, indeed on a T.V.programme that I shared with him one day, gave this as the very reason, the very definition of the importance of the Throne Speech. I repeat, Sir, and I am repeating the honourable member for St. John's East; "The Throne Speech is the plan of action of policies and programmes for a one-year period."

Now, Sir, we have witnessed the complete lack of action on last year's statement of plans, policies and programmes. I point out again, Sir, that this was articulated before the Newfoundland people via T.V. a few weeks before they knew that there was going to be a provincial election. If logic prevails, one must come to the sobering and frightening conclusion that even the present Throne Speech is completely meaningless and lacking totally in credibility. This, Sir, is in spite of the fact that it is a shadow of its former self, when we compare this Throne Speech with last year's Throne Speech.

The honourable member for St. John's East might just as well wipe that smirk off his face or the people of Newfoundland will probably do it for him.

What a jolt and what a shock the revelations of the Throne
Speech must have been to honourable members on the other side. I make

no wonder that the honourable member for St. John's South was squirming on his seat when he moved the Speech from the Throne. The honourable member, Sir, was reduced to embarking upon a bitter attack of the previous administration.

Let us take a look at some of the things that the honourable member for St. John's South had to say in moving the Throne Speech. I do not think I can put it in the "Orsewellian" tones, Mr. Speaker, that the honourable member put it in, but in the 1960's quoting from the verbatim report of the honourable member for St. John's South: " In the 1960's I believe that our greatest obstacle to progress was a fear of government, of wariness of government, a fear that to offend government would be to impair ones chances of a job, would impair a businessman's bid for a contract, a labour union's right to exist. These fears," Sir, to go on; "overlaid our whole society and caused a repressive atmosphere that will be remembered always by those who lived through it." To go on, Sir, " After one year, Mr. Speaker, I can say with confidence that the atmosphere is changed, that the fear is gone and that Newfoundlanders in all walks of life, in all stations of life can do and speak without fear, without uneasiness." MR. NEARY: Can you not get that "Oxford Accent" with it? MR. F.B.ROWE: I am afraid not. Sir, how much foolishness. Let us just take one look at this fear that overlaid our whole society and caused a repressive atmosphere of which the honourable member for St. John's South speaks. Can you not remember the student demonstrations, the open marches on Confederation Building? Sir, I cannot remember any students being afraid of "Joey Smallwood" or his administration. I would like to hear just one example of a student who suffered because he spoke openly against the previous administration.

Sir, remember all the crossings of the floor of this honourable House? I cannot remember seeing the honourable Minister of Finance or the honourable Minister of Justice going around in armoured cars with their backs to the wall after they were crossing back and forth the

floor. Can we not remember the "Crosbie Leadership Campaign" and his many outspoken followers and the many slates and the many hundreds of people who worked for him and campaigned for him? They were not afraid to claim their support against the previous Premier and against the previous administration. Can we not remember the fishermens' demonstration from Long Harbour? Can we not remember the many times individuals took on the previous Premier at public meetings, at conventions and conferences and on radio and T.V.? Can we not remember the many delegations who met with the previous administration, the previous Premier and after they had met with the previous Premier and the honourable Ministers of the Crown of that day, they came out and they voiced publicly their dissatisfaction with that administration although they might be heading up community councils and what have you?

No, Mr. Speaker, the honourable member for St. John's South is quite wrong. What he had to say was a lot of foolishness and it was designed only to distract from the lack of action and vision contained in the Throne Speech that he had to defend. Sir, the honourable member for St. John's South mentioned that there

had been a change of atmosphere. Well that is about the only thing that I agree with him on. We got a change of atmosphere okay, Sir, We have "Muscle McLean" now in Newfoundland and "Muscle McLean" does not hesitate to use his muscles.

MR. MURPHY: Do not get personal now.

MR. ROWE(F.B.): Oh! Ho! Ho! Ho! Hon, members on the other side never got personal once in their lives. We are not attacking Mr. McLean, we are attacking his tactics and his organization. We have not had one suitable answer from any hon, member or the Premier on the other side when he was going to rip this gentleman apart. He never answered once.

AN HON. MEMBER: I have not spoken yet.

MR. ROWE(F.B.): You have not spoken. Well, I am looking forward with delight. Mr. Speaker, we got a change of atmosphere all right. We have newspaper men being tossed out of the Confederation Building. We have the sad spectacle of a Premier of a province calling a press conference for the sole purpose of attacking the press. We have inexcessibility of government. We have a complete slowdown in the provision of public services, water lines, sewer lines and paving in this province. We got some change, Sir. Remember the screams and the yells we heard about handing out contracts without tender. What about bad construction? What about McLeans? Pure examples of where the present administration thinks that two wrongs make a right.

AN HON. MEMBER: What about the hospital in Carbonear?

AN HON. MEMBER: What about Rowe and Company?

MR. ROWE (F.B.): Sir, what Rowe and Company?

AN HON. MEMBER: Dr. Rowe he is talking about.

MR. ROWE(F.B.): Oh, not this Rowe, that Rowe. I am a little bit sensitive today. Mr. Speaker, we have the sad spectacle of a government spending seventy-five per cent of their time attacking opposition instead of answering questions and getting on with the job for which they were

elected. Sir, we got our answer on last March 20. We were just about completely annihilated, we were wiped out, we got kicked in the teeth and probably justifiably so, and justifiably so, not probably. We are in a democracy. We got our answer. Sir, we have a new leader, we have a new party on this side of the House and it is an exercise in futility for members on that side of the House to use the camouflage tactic of trying to discredit the previous administration and discredit and criticize the hon, members on this side of the House to try to weasel and squeal and get out of the predicament that they find themselves in. They over-committed themselves, Sir. They made promises that they have not been able to keep.

AN HON. MEMBER: (Inaudible).

AN HON. MEMBER: Put it on the order paper.

MR. ROWE (F.B.): Put it on the order paper.

AN HON. MEMBER: That is what they told me.

MR. ROWE(F.B.): Well, Mr. Speaker, what can you expect. We got a change. The administration on the other side of the House are an amalgamation of anti-Smallwoodites. A couple of days ago, Sir, I said that they were composed of pro-Hickmanites, pro-Crosbieites, a few disillusioned Liberals and still fewer Tories. They are falling apart at the seams because the Smallwood Matrix is no longer here to hold them together. Sir, we have a P.C. administration carrying on with the policies of the previous administration. As a matter of fact, Mr. Speaker, the most desirable aspect of this whole throne sneech is the part of it which is a continuation of the liberal policies of the past. Sir, instead of the Newfoundland Bulletin we now have Newfoundland Information Services. Sir, the hon. Minister of Finance on "Issues and Answers" a few days ago openly admitted that patronage is a way of life with this administration.

Now, Sir, what a change of atmosphere. What a change of

JM - 3

atmosphere. Sir, there is a second characteristic of the present throne speech that is obvious to anybody who only takes a glancing look at it and that is the one that I have just mentioned. Sir, the more desirable aspects of the throne speech, the parts of the throne speech that show any hope for Newfoundland are these parts that are a continuation of many projects and some of the policy started and annunciated by the previous Liberal Administration.

Now, Sir, that is a kind of a general description and once again, Sir, I would like the opportunity of substantiating that particular statement as I did with respect to evidence that the throne speech presently delivered is a deescalation is a capitulation on the part of this administration.

Sir, the Newfoundland Development Corporation, contrary to what how members on the other side of the House indicate, the previous administration was dedicated and struggling to finding methods and means whereby additional employment opportunities could be provided for our people here in Newfoundland and Labrador. Sir, the fact that the present administration decided to establish the Newfoundland Development Corporation is a tribute to the wisdom of the previous administration in conceiving the idea in the first place and in setting up the machinery in the first place to get this thing underway. Let it never be forgotten —

AN HON. MEMBER: (Inaudible).

MR. ROWE(F.B.): Any impression that hon, leaders on the other side of the House may be leaving that the previous administration was not interested in rural development is a complete camouflage. Sir, the very idea to give guidance, and we are going to investigate the rural development thing because there are some good possibilities that can come from it.

AN HON. MEMBER: (Inaudible)

MR.ROWE(F.B.): Mr. Speaker, I believe that when an hon. member is speaking in this House that he has the right to be heard in silence.

MR. EVANS: (Inaudible).

MR. ROWE (F.B.): The Burgeo burp burps again.

The very idea was to give guidance and to give assistance to these industries and these businesses who could possibly provide employment to our people. Sir, this was a Liberal policy and I am happy to see this administration carry on with the Newfoundland Development Corporation, the Rural Development Corporation by another name.

Sir, this throne speech mentions the development of deep water ports. Sir, it seems to me that I have heard of this concept being developed once or twice before this present administration came to power. Sir, lest we hear the argument that the previous administration only talked about the development of deep water ports may I hasten to add that these things do not happen overnight. The Churchill Falls development did not happen overnight. In order for such a development to be realized a whole combination of factors have to be reached at a certain level simultaneously. They have to develop to a certain point in combination before the whole project can be realized. Sir, we have to have the building of super-tankers, ports for super-tankers, super-freighters, we have to have the proper receiving ports on the mainland and we have to have the development of inbetween ships and carriers and we have to take into consideration the laws of supply and demand and this type of thing. So it is not something that happens overnight and, Sir, I sincerely hope that these various factors will develop to the point where such deep water,ice free ports will become a reality in Newfoundland within the very near future.

Sir, it matters not to us on this side of the House if this becomes fact under the present administration or any future administration. However, Sir, let us never forget who it was who on every occasion pointed

out that Newfoundland was smack in the middle of the trade routes,
of the great ports lining both sides of the Atlantic. Sir. I
congratulate in all humility the present administration for carrying
on with the vision of their predecessors in this regard.

Sir, offshore mineral and oil exploration, again a very desirable aspect of the throne speech but something that we have heard before. Sir, the fact that prospects for a commercial find of oil and gas are good in the offshore waters is hardly something that this administration or any other administration can claim credit for. Sir, I sincerely hope that extensive commercial finds of oil and gas are indeed found off our shores. However, again, Sir, it must be pointed out that the exploration and hopefully the exploitation of these reserves and resources is something that was conceived and initiated by the previous Liberal Administration. Quite frankly I cannot see anything in the throne speech relating to such exploration and exploitation of offshore minerals, oil and gas resources and the future planning development that is not axiomatic and would not have been done by any administration in power at the present time.

Sir, offshore mineral and oil rights, I would like

to quote directly from the Throne Speech on this one:

"While entering into these negotiations in the hope and spirit that a mutually satisfactory solution will result, my Government is resolutely determined and aware that the Province has a special case with respect to rights off its coastal areas. To this end My Government has been preparing the case of this Province and wishes to assure this honourable House that it will not hesitate to assert these rights, through the courts if it should prove necessary."

Sir, is this not identical to the stand taken by the previous administration?

Forest access roads: I did not say there was anything wrong with

it. I am on the second major point of "me" speech; that is that the

more desirable aspects of this Throne Speech are nothing but a continuation

of previous Liberal government policy.

Sir, in the area of forest access roads: again, Sir, I quote from the gracious Speech from the Throne:

"In keeping with my Government's commitment to foster and encourage logging operations in our Province, my Government in the preceding year has spent over \$600.000 for the provision of forest access roads, which represents twelve times what has been spent in the previous year for this purpose."

Now, Sir, this is just a little bit more than a continuation of the policy of the previous administration. It is my understanding, Sir. that \$600,000 that the present administration claims that it has spent during the past year for the provision of forest access roads is part of a \$1 million DREE grant from Ottawa, negotiated for the previous Liberal Administration by the then minister, William Callahan.

So, Sir, let us give credit where credit is due. Again, Sir, I congratulate this administration for seeing the wisdom of the previous administration's policy of developing the forest potential, with the conviction that it can become an even more valuable contributor to the economic life of this province.

1336

Sir, the Fisheries Loan Board Construction Programme is a very desirable characteristic of the present Throne Speech. Sir, again I quote from the Throne Speech on this:

"In the past year, much has been done to expand this program and many new records have been set. Under the Fisheries Loan Board Construction Programme, a record of 321 boats were either completed or begun with a total value of another record of \$15 million.

These boats, unlike most built previously are extremely versatile and are capable of activity in the fields of longlining, gill-netting, scallop dragging, shrimp fishing and Danish seining."

Sir, this paragraph was introduced by my Government.

Now, Sir, the estimates that were brought before the House last year shows \$625,000 for new construction of vessels. Sir, the section that I have just quoted from in the Throne Speech, which was broadcast, again by television, on January 31, Sir, I submit leaves the wrong impression. I know they can in this honourable House weasel out of it, but to anybody who is not informed on the details of estimates and where money is coming from, federal, provincial or what programmes have been drafted up by various government and what agreements have been made, the impression left here, Sir, through television, through the lips of His Honour is that this present administration blew, spent, wisely spent \$15 million for the building of 321 vessels or boats or fishing vessels in this province.

Sir that is - I do not think it is parliamentary to say what it is.

AN HONOURABLE MEMBER: Just dishonesty.

MR ROWE, F.B. It is not quite honest. Sir, it is stretching the truth.

I will admit, Sir, that since this government have seen fit to carry on with the Fisheries Loan Board Construction Programme, again I congratulate them upon continuing a previous Liberal policy.

Sir, the agricultural area and the ARDA Agreement: In the Throne

Speech, we hear with great drama that the government are continuing discussions with Ottawa concerning the new ARDA Agreement and have begun many

new and important programmes in the agricultural field. Very dramatic, Sir! They are continuing discussions with Ottawa. Sir, just yesterday, I believe, in this honourable House the new ARDA Agreement was announced by the hon. Minister of Forestry and Agriculture. Sir, this was a direct result of many hard years of work by the former Minister of Mines.

Agriculture and Resources, again Mr. William Callahan.

Sir, this is not just a continuation of Liberal policy, it is the result of Liberal policy that we have this ARDA Agreement announced here yesterday.

AN HON. MEMBER: Inaudible.

1.63

MR. F. B. ROWE: We lost an election, many reasons for it, Sir. We have no problem in admitting whatsoever that we lost that election. We deserve to lose that election just like that crowd over there, Sir, are going to lose the next one. Sir, I never saw such a frustrated bunch in my life. Sir, their leader, their hero, some of their heroes. (let me start that one again) some of them worshipped a certain gentleman over on that other side of the House who has been boxed in, the likes of which we have never seen a person being boxed in our lives. Sir, he changes his pants every day, the honourable Minister of Finance, because the knees are worn out the night before praying to Almighty God that he could find a third party, another party to run to for the next election. He has run back and forth between the Liberals and the P.C.'s so much that he is looking for a third party.

MR. NEARY: Put him out in centre field.

MR. ROWE, F.B. Sir, the Throne Speech contains just a little bit on the agricultural complex on the West Coast. Again, Sir, we quote this one.

AN HON. MEMBER: Inaudible.

MR. ROWE, F.B. Why are you going on with it? Stop it, why do you not?

AN HON. MEMBER: We cannot.

MR. ROWE, F.B. You cannot. No. It is easy to say it. Where is the courage? Where is the courage? No convictions! No courage!

Again a direct quote, Sir:

My Government have established an agricultural complex on the
West Coast of this Province, which is being activated now" (that
may weasel them out of it) "and will include a soil laboratory, a
hog breeding station, an experimental farm, and an abattoir for the
processing of hogs and poultry as well as an egg grading station..."

There is some mention here of a swine breeding aspect there but all the members may be brought out there for reproductive purposes.

Sir, this agricultural complex that has been established by
"My Government" was one half built by the previous administration and
it was stopped by the present administration when they were elected,
and they have obviously seen the wisdom of this and have decided to
carry on with its construction.

Sir, "Training of people in preparation for the tourist industry":
This is something that I have heard of before and seen activated before.

The celebration of twenty-five years of Confederation strikes me as being very similar to the "Come Home Year" celebrations.

The closing of the hospital for chest diseases is a result of the achievements of the Liberals, while they were in power, in the field of medicine.

Homes for senior citizens, Sir, a continuation of Liberal policy.

Rehabilitation of physically and mentally handicapped persons:

all continuations of previous Liberal policy.

Sir, let us not forget

the colleges outside of St. John's. I would be embarrassed to read the daily papers if I were honourable members on the other side. Page 12, where are we here, quote (1) I lost - MR. NEARY: The only way I find out about it is what I read in the papers and hear on the radio. No wonder the Minister of Finance is browned off. Make no wonder. I would not blame him if he resigned and got out.

MR. F. ROWE: How much time do I have left boys?

AN HON. MEMBER: You still got half an hour or so.

MR. F. ROWE: "My government intends to make access to post-secondary education available in areas outside St. John's, such colleges would offer first and second year university courses. It is essential that these colleges be designed to serve not only the university population but also a broad spectrum of educational and social needs of the population. It is intended that the first college would be commenced this year."

Sir, to start off with, of course, the whole concept
is a continuation of Liberal policy, but Sir, the impression —
we must remember here that to start off with the Premier has
been gallavanting around the West Coast recently and he has made
all sorts of confusing statements with respect to junior community
and/or regional colleges and Sir, the impression left in the Throne
Speech is that these colleges would serve both for university
purposes as well as a breader spectrum of educational and
social purposes.

Sir, as it happens, I personally agree and I think my colleagues agree with this concept of community colleges that was in fact defined in the Throne Speech, provided that it has been well thought out. Now, Sir, the Premier's recent announcments in Corner Brook confuse the issue completely. He seemed to be suggesting two

MR. F. ROWE: scparate physical institutions and I have not heard any statement of policy regarding community and/or regional colleges.

Sir, there is going to be a complete proliferation of institutions and courses in the area of post-secondary education.

Now, Sir, this is not criticizing anybody. It is too bad the honourable Minister of Education is not here. It is meant to be a warning more than anything else.

But, Sir, we are having a complete proliferation of institutions and courses in the area of secondary education in this province. We have summer schools on campus. We have summer schools off campus. We have regular academic year courses off campus. We have E.T.V. courses off campus. We have the extension service off campus. We have adult education centres. We have the College of Fisheries and Navigation and their various regional centres. We have the College of Trades and Technology and we have trades and technical schools and now, Sir, we have the concept of community or regional colleges.

Now, Sir, this is something that I honestly feel needs a great deal of serious planning and study. So we have a great proliferation of university services structure at this moment, we have a lack of co-ordination and articulation of these various institutions and programmes. The final result, Sir, can only result in complete confusion, duplication and inefficiency, with increased costs welded to educational services rendered in this province.

Sir, I hope the Premier's announcement is not the result of pressure only from Stephenville and Corner Brook. As a matter of fact, Sir, I think it would probably be a wise idea to set up some sort of an emergency commission, special commission, to complete work within three months and make recommendations relating to the future of higher education in our province.

MR. F. ROWE: I am sorry that the honourable member for Port au

Port is not here to take in some of this. Probably he has hightailed

it back to the comfort of the Premier on the West Coast at the

present time but he is going to be a sorry man, Sir, when all of

this convolutes back on him because he started something.

which I cannot see him weasling out of

Sir, I am speaking to the continuation, the desirable aspects of this Throne Speech being coincident with previous liberal policy. We got the grandaddy of them all, the second oil refinery. Sir, this is an extreme and supreme example of a continuation of previous policy. Sir, the second oil refinery and to the utter disbelief of all Newfoundlanders, the agreement is actually being negotiated with a chap no other than Shaheen himself.

Somehow, Sir, I was left with the impression that Mr. Shaheen was somewhat less than a desirable character with whom to deal.

MR. EVANS: He was a Liberal then.

MR. F. ROWE: Oh yes, he is a P.C. now so that makes him more desirable. That is pure logic, that is.

I do not know, Sir, where I got that particular impression

- but it must have been something I picked up over the past couple

of years, the majority of people of Newfoundland and Labrador

thought that the gentleman's name was "Mr. Slieveen" not

Mr. Shaheen. Sir, I cannot understand how they could have

possibly made that mistake.

Honourable members sat in this honourable House and heard the name of Shaheen and the name of Smallwood vilified, ridiculed, slandered, defamed, slurred and belittled for two solid years. Indeed, Sir, the whole controversy was probably the major factor for the beginning of the end of the Smallwood Administration. Who was responsible for it? Some honourable members on the other

side of the House who are now dealing with the same man.

Mr. Speaker, it is as simple as this - either the honourable Minister of Finance and the honourable Minister of Justice and some of their colleagues were right and therefore the present administration is indeed dealing with a slieveen, either the honourable Minister of Finance or the honourable Minister of Justice were right and they were dealing with a slieveen or they were completely wrong and they misled the people of Newfoundland for purely political purposes and in fact the previous administration was dealing with a man of integrity, a man of vision, a man of honesty when they were dealing with Mr. Shaheen.

Now, Sir, it is obvious that the latter is the case.

MR. NEARY: They should resign and get out.

MR. F. ROWE: The previous administration and Mr. Shaheen took an educated risk in setting up the first oil refinery, Sir, and that educated risk has paid off. In the world of government and the world of business, this is the type of thing that distinquishes the difference between men and boys.

In the case of the first oil refinery, Sir, we saw a battle against a great deal of odds, against many other oil interests and Sir, we saw a successful combination of vision, drive, determination and risk that paid off. They sit there with a smirk, Sir, when they are reaping the benefits.

Sir, honourable members weakly argue that the first administration or the first deal was not as good as the second. That is true. The second deal is much better than the first deal and so it should be. The educated risk taken by the previous administration in the first place has paid off, there is more confidence in the project and it can be a success and a much better deal has been negotiated for the second refinery and Sir, you do not have to be a genius to predict that there would be a better deal, supposedly, presumably

MR. F. ROWE: negotiated with the lower Churchill.

AN HON. MEMBER: And the third oil refinery.

MR. F. ROWE: And the third oil refinery. Sir, if the honourable Minister of Finance and the honourable Minister of Justice had had their way, they would have driven Shaheen and his first oil refinery right out of Newfoundland altogether and the honourable the Premier would not have been making that announcement that he made the other day. It probably would have been Premier Regan in Nova Scotia who would have had the pleasure of making that announcement.

Sir, this administration cannot take the credit.

This administration cannot take the credit.

AN HON. MEMBER: It is hard to take.

MR. F. ROWE: This administration cannnot take the credit, Sir, for the energy crisis that has developed in the United States.

But, Sir, the previous administration can take the credit for making the right moves at the right time and for making the correct predictions in this regard.

Sir, the second oil refinery is a living example of the wisdom of the previous administration. Sir, the honourable Minister of Finance should resign. I suppose we should give him a choice.

Well, if he were a little less vociferous.

Sir, the honourable Minister of Finance, I do not suppose has to resign.

He could buy up TV time. He could go before the Newfoundland people
and shout loud and clear, "Newfoundland I was wrong. I am sorry. Please
forgive me. Please may I stay and make up in some other way?". That is
precisely what he should do, Sir.

Sir, another characteristic of the Throne Speech is that it repeats an awful lot of what was said in the Throne Speech delivered one year ago. Sir, examples; resource based industrial programmes, the Labrador Royal Commission, a multimillion dollar fund for construction of deep water fishing boat, restructuring of departments and public services (we will get to that in a minute), development corporation, conflict of interest legislation, environment and management control, regional colleges, redistribution. Sir, all of these things are simple repeats of some of what was then - they were all - these particular examples - in the first Throne Speech.

Sir, there is a fourth characteristic of the Throne Speech.

We see a great series, a great list of planning committees, of
restructuring plans, of redistribution legislation, of study groups and
of royal commissions. Now, Sir, having come from a world of the academics
but not being a true blue academic myself, I am sure, Sir, that the average
academic, the average political scientist and the average bureaucrat
would be delighted with the kind of restructuring and planning and
commissions and committees and groups that this government has set
up. Sir, what about the people of Newfoundland? What effect will this
have on them?

Sir, I would suggest that we will see a further extention of inaction as a result of all this planning, all these commissions and all those ridiculous study groups. What the Newfoundland people want to see is action.

Sir, the problems of Newfoundland and Labrador are obvious. They are catalogued in the files of government and what is needed is action to get on with the job and solve some of these problems and provide some of the public services for this province.

Sir, as indicated by the honourable Minister of Industrial
Development, restructuring deserves special consideration and special
attention. Sir, there is nothing wrong with restructuring and reorganization of government particularly after another administration
has been booted out. This is the sort of thing that you expect but
you do not expect this to be the major accomplishment of an
administration, restructuring the governments departments. Sir, the
way they are going about it is somewhat peculiar. Sir, I would
suspect that the motives for restructuring of this government

are a little more than has been indicated by the present administration.

Premier made a classic blunder of the first order going into the March election. Sir, he appointed not less than seventeen cabinet ministers going into an election which, if he had any political sense, he knew he was going to win anyway but I suppose he was a little bit insecure and the honourable the Premier said, Now I must appoint seventeen cabinet ministers to make sure to get these seventeen districts."

Sir, then he gets other honourable members elected to the honourable House and he does not know what to do with them. The only obvious choice, Sir, is to restructure government, create more departments, more subdepartments and sub-subdepartments and divisions and levels and branchs and everything else to take care of the members that he suddenly found elected on his side of the House.

Sir, another reason for restructuring — and I do not really argue to strenuously against this — the honourable the Premier's administration obviously wants some control over the civil service.

The government should be running the province not the civil service.

That probably needs some clarification. The government should be the real unit that is running the province and this administration wants some control over this civil service so you promote up and down and sideways and you yank out and substitute and this sort of a thing.

This is another reason for restructuring. Nothing wrong with it necessarily

as long as somebody is not done an injustice. The least that this administration can do is have the courage to admit that it is a reason for restructuring.

Sir, all this rigmarole about restructuring I think has been shown now to have intrenched the honourable the Premier as a true leader of the administration on the other side.

Sir, another motive for this restructuring is to open up positions for the appointment of political friends.

Sir, another motive I suspect is to delay further the expenditure of funds in this province.

Sir, what is the result of all this restructuring going to be? Sir, I would suspect that it is going to be a delay.

MR. NEARY: Last evening, Mr. Speaker, I was reminded by Your Honour that in order to speak in this House you had to be seated in your own seat. We have had a number of interjections, Sir, from the burp from Burgeo and the Minister of Industrial Development, who are not in their seats.

MR. SPEAKER: The honourable members will refrain from speaking while the honourable member from St. Barbes North is speaking.

MR, NEARY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

MR. F. ROWE: Sir, I have given some of the motives for this restructuring mechanism. Probably now, Sir, we should look at some of the possible results.

I suspect, Sir, that all this restructuring is going to delay the bringing down of the budget this year. It is going to delay the estimates and it is going to delay expenditures in this province and the final result will be a lack of action on the part of this government in the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador.

Sir, the result of it will be more bureaucracy, more desks that papers and requests have to go over, more confusion, more delays and more difficulty in getting things done.

Sir, the present administration is going against all contemporary trends. Sir, most other governments are concerned about the rising costs of the public service in relation to the collection of revenue. Most other

governments are, Sir. Indeed some Premiers give it as their number one concern, the rising cost of the public service in relation to getting revenue. This administration has done the exact oposite. It increases the size. It increases the number of the government departments and the public servants. Plus, it has more executive assistants, more partiamentary assistants, more special assistants, more regional assistants and all kinds of advisers that would probably out number the civil service of a few years back and then, Sir, there is the Newfoundland Information Services and all kinds of press and appointment co-ordinators running all over the place. Sir, I have to point out that this is at the tax payers expense.

Mr. Speaker, what we need in this administration besides the absence of it, is a streamlining of government. We need a simple vacation of government in order to reduce the cost of the public service. Sir, instead we have an increase in the complexity of government function with resulting increases of operation and Sir, this has to come out of the pockets of the tax payers.

Sir, brief reference to the Labrador Royal Commission, more symbolic reference than anything else. This is another royal commission, Sir, which I am convinced that there is no need for on Labrador - yes, Mr. Speaker.

MR. CARTER: On a point of order, Mr. Speaker, the honourable gentleman's time is up.

MR. NEARY: No, no. Fifteen more minutes.

MR. F. ROWE: I will be pleased to hear a ruling from the chair.

MR. SPEAKER: The honourable member may have another fifteen minutes.

MR. ROWE: Mr. Speaker, as usual the honourable member for St. John's North is wrong again. Cannot be right! Well, Sir,

when one realizes that some honourable members on the other side cannot understand pure logic, you have to use brute force or combination of logic and brute force, hoping that it will reach all honourable members.

Sir, what I was trying to get at before I was so eloquently interrupted, Sir was whether or not there was an need for the Labrador Royal Commission. Sir, my own feeling is that there is really no need for the royal commission on Labrador because the needs of Labrador are well documented in many files and in many organizations in this province and in the island portion of the province and in Labrador itself. What is needed, Sir, is action in Labrador. Sir, what we have here is an expensive royal commission running around and in fact getting itself into trouble.

MR. NEARY: It is about half way around the world now.

MR. ROVE. F.B. Sir, this administration I am sure felt that this was a nice political thing to do and announced a Royal Commission for Labrador and this should satisfy the people of Labrador. Sir, I will give you an example of how well intentioned this Labrador Royal Commission is or was, I sent a telegram to the honourable the Premier asking him if he would include a portion of the Great Northern Peninsula in the terms of reference of the Labrador Royal Commission, because, Sir, there is no difference between the northern section of the Great Northern Peninsula and Labrador South, say. There is no difference except one is on the mainland and one is on the island. That is the only difference. That is the only difference. One is on the mainland and one is on the island.

Sir, the terms of reference apparently were not flexible enough to include the Great Northern Peninsula within the terms of reference of the Royal Commission on Labrador. Sir, that speaks for the intention of the idea of setting up this royal commission. Sir, this will be a great expense to the province and it will be just a simple delay of action in Labrador.

Sir, I could go on with - here they go again, Mr. Speaker, the only thing that they could get back to is to criticize the previous administration. Sir, the present Throne Speech has four characteristics. I will try and point these four characteristics out and give substantiating evidence for each one of these characteristics. Sir, the first point that I made in summary was that the present Throne Speech is a complete deescalation of plans, a complete deescalation of programmes, a complete deescalation of policy compared to the Throne Speech of last year. It is a complete capitulation of past visions. It reflects and indicates reduction of intended action on the part of this administration.

Sir, the second characteristic is that by coincidence the Throne

Speech does contain a few desirable aspects. But, Sir, the parts that
give hope for the future of Newfoundland and for the people of Newfoundland
are these that are nothing more than a continuation of previous Liberal
policy.

The third characteristic, Sir, is that there are a few repeats of their previous Throne Speech and lastly; most of the new ingredients consist of studies, commissions and planning groups that will mean further delay in action in this province for this year.

Mr. Speaker, the Throne Speech gives an indication of at least two things. (1) there will be a continuation of last year's inaction during this coming year. (2) the only hope, that this administration will continue on with the many things started by the Liberals, their policy will not be dramatically different from the Liberal policy. The only danger, Sir, is that they will not be able to implement the policies of their predecessors with the same vision, the same administration, the same drive and the same enthusiasm. Sir, for the sake of this province, I sincerely hope that they will be able to do so.

MR. WILSON: Mr. Speaker, I rise to speak to the Throne Speech, first of all I wish to congratulate the proposer of the Throne Speech, the member for St. John's South, and the seconder, the member for Grand Falls.

I wish to congratulate the member for Labrador South who is not in

his seat this evening, for bringing some dignity to this honourable
House. While I am accused of taking up my counterpart, the hon. member
from Bell Island, who has done all the accusing (as the member for the
Port de Grave District) of bus services, to councils, to fisheries,
to whatever you name it - if the hon. member for Bell Island wants
a bus service, he is free to have one. In 1964 George Wilson and Son
Limited took over a bus service. I never had received a complaint
since 1964 from the schools to which transportation was made available
by my bus, only from Mr. Neary. I have a letter here. I have a letter
here and the letter comes from a person exactly the same as the hon.
member for Bell Island, not one bit better, probably a shade worse.

AN HON. MEMBER: That is impossible! That is impossible!

MR. WILSON: Probably a shade worst. I will tell the hon. member
from Bell Island, if he challenges the member for the Port de Grave District,
to come over in the district and see something that is going ahead. I

MR. NEARY: Watch it now!. Watch your blood pressure!

done since he came to this House of Assembly.

MR. WILSON: If the hon, member for Bell Island had to have done these things, there would be no investigation going ahead now, if he had been so honest. There would be no investigation going ahead now, if he had done the things that the hon, member for Port de Grave had done with his bus service.

will show the honourable member something that he could not even had

MR. SPEAKER Order!

MR. MARSHALL: A point of order, Mr. Speaker. When the hon. member for St. Barbe North was making his address, we were called to order several times and correctly so for interfering with his speech and I think the hon. member for Port de Grave is entitled to the courtesy of being heard in silence. There have been interruptions by the hon. member for Bell Island, and I would

Mr. Marshall

ask Your Honour to rule accordingly.

MR. SPEAKER (Mr. Wells): I find it necessary to remind honourable members that an honourable member is allowed to be heard in silence.

MR. NEARY: Point of order. There is a hearing going on downtown right now, down in the Supreme Court, under the supervision of Judge Mifflin. Sir, if any honourable member of this House has any contribution to make to that hearing, I would suggest that that is the place for him to go down there and not in this House, Sir, until the hearing is completed.

MR. SPEAKER (Mr. Wells): As long as the hon. member for Port de Grave confines his remarks to topical matters, he has immunity in this House to say what he likes as long as he does not impugn the character of another member of this House.

MR. WILSON: Mr. Speaker, the hon. member for Bell Island did not mind the attacking of the hon, member for Port de Grave about dragging children along the roads with his bus service. Where were the authorities that he was never picked up - me, the member for Port de Grave District for doing these things? Where do you think we are living, out in Russia? The only answer that came, came from the hon, member for Bell Island and this gentleman, who is exactly in the driving seat along with him, because we could not see eye-to-eye politicalwise It plainly states here, Mr. Speaker, that the Minister of Highways, Mr. Mac Tulk, the Chief Superintendent of Highways and Mr. Eric Dawe, the Provincial M.H.A. for that area at that time and it leads on from that to Mr. J. J. Hussey, who was a member - these were the ones before ever the hon. member for Port de Grave came on the scene - the Department of Highways trying to get this thing done. I tell you what the hon, member for Port de Grave has done since he came to the House of Assembly. There was \$20,000 spent there last fall, where a bus can get partly down to it. That is one thing that this government have done.

AN HON. MEMBER: That is the boy!

MR. NEARY: In this letter, Mr. Speaker, it states that the bus door was closed in their faces and apparently called the bus driver and had to be prepared to take abuse and severe criticism for daring to call the honourable member.

MR. SPEAKER (Mr. Wells): The hon, member for Port de Grave yielded for the hon, member from Bell Island to ask -

MR. NEARY: Sir, I wish to ask the honourable member -

MR. SPEAKER (Mr. Wells): Well would the hon. member for Bell Island ask the question without reading a lot of discourse from some paper that we do not know about.

MR. NEARY: I would like to ask the honourable gentleman if that is true? Is this statement correct?

MR. SPEAKER (Mr. Wells): The letter should be tabled and the honourable member can ask the question, if the hon. member from Port de Grave wishes to answer. If not, the hon. member for Port de Grave has the right to continue.

MR. WILSON: Let me answer that question, Mr. Speaker. The honourable member was just as well informed about his job when he had his contract, at that particular time as the honourable member is here now where he is standing.

The rules and regulations that were given me when I started a bus service I adhered to. If the honourable member had adhered to his rules and regulations he would not have criticized the honourable member for Port de Grave without knowing firstly and rightly what he was doing.

MR. NEARY: Tell us about your Juniper Stump.

MR. SPEAKER: Order please!

MR. WILSON: The honourable member had rules handed down by law to run that bus. On that bus there was no cursing, no swearing nor smoking allowed. When my bus driver came back to me and said that he could not handle the pupils that were using that bus, I told him to park the bus and I walked out aboarded her. When that pupil cursed on me he found himself out on the side of the road and he was seventeen years old, he was no baby. Now I am telling the honourable member that if he was aboard that bus, that is where he would end up.

MR. NEARY: Conflict of interest.

MR. WILSON: Never mind your conflict of interest, I would be charged with that too. I have more news for you, the honourable member for Port de Grave is standing here now being accused by the honourable Leader of the Opposition for having contracts, machinery...

MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Speaker, -

MR. WILSON: Oh no, Mr. -

MR. ROBERTS: To a point of privilege, Sir, the honourable gentleman for Port de Grave, out of the blue, has accused me of making accusations about him, about government contracts. I was not aware that I had, but if the honourable gentleman wishes, perhaps I shall. Would the honourable gentleman be directed to withdraw his statement on that point, Sir?

MR. SPEAKER: The honourable member for Port de Grave is reminded that the honourable Leader of the Opposition at this time did not say anything and if the honourable the Leader of the Opposition has said something offensive to the honourable member for Port de Grave he should raise it

on a Point of Personal Privilege at some other time. I would ask
the honourable member for Port de Grave to continue his speech.

MR. WILSON: Mr. Speaker, the reason I speak of that is because
the very day that I was accused in connection with the bus service,
the honourable Leader of the Opposition got up and joined in about
machinery - right on the floor of this House. He joined in with them.

MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Speaker, there again, it never happened.

MR. WILSON: He joined in with them, joined in with them.

MR. ROBERTS: To a Point of Personal Privilege. I do not want to
make sport of the honourable gentleman, he is doing very well with
himself, but really, Your Honour made a ruling and will the honourable
gentleman abide by Your Honour's ruling?

MR. SPEAKER: I would ask the honourable gentleman to continue with his speech.

MR. WILSON: Mr. Speaker, and another thing I was just told from the other (sit down you clown) I informed the honourable member for Bell Island that he has to take that back.

MR. SPEAKER: I realize that an honourable member can be upset by remarks passing across the House but I would ask the honourable member if he would get back to the discourse and continue with his remarks. MR. WILSON: Yes, Mr. Speaker, I have been accused of having contracts and machinery on the highway. I think it is fourteen months now since I was elected and in that time there was never a machine rolled a wheel with the Department of Highways. I have no conflict of interest whatsoever, and the simple reason why, is that on the first day in this honourable House I was led to believe from looking at those on the other side that I would be critized for it. That is the reason why for fourteen months not one machine - fourteen months in this honourable House and it is people like me that the honourable member for Bell Isalnd gets up against. I have never even charged a telephone call to the government of which I am a member, not even a phone call charged up to the government. I paid my own passage, my own fare and got here and this is the honourable member that the honourable member for Bell Island attacks.

March 2, 1973, Tape 448, Page 3 - apb

Surely, Mr. Speaker, surely, as I see it, the people in this province know the honourable member from Bell Island from past records, they know the past administeration and I do not want to go back. I intend to look forward to the future with admiration for my government which I am a part of. That government will be only as good as the people who are into it and make it and I intend to be one of these.

I was sent here, not to go down

MR. WILSON: I have mentioned about the Town Council at Bay Roberts. The former report is in this honourable House, Mr. Speaker, Bay Roberts Town Council, only the honourable member for Bell Island has referred to the Adams Report in this honourable House. He was trying to haul about the Town Council of Bay Roberts. He is also one of the former administration. They allowed it to happen. Another thing —

MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Speaker, to another Point of Order, I have been listening carefully to the honourable gentleman but if in fact he said that my colleague or any member of any ministry of this province allowed, and I am not saying he said it, I think he may have said it and I do want to allow him the opportunity to make it clear that he did not mean to infer that any member who has been a minister, was guilty of any corrupt act. If he means that, Mr. Speaker, as I understand the rules he must follow certain procedures.

MR. SPEAKER (Mr. Wells): To the Point of Order taken by the honourable the member for White Bay North, it did not seem to me that the honourable rember for Port de Grave said anything that would be offensive for any member of the House, and accordingly I rule it is not a Point of Order.

MR. WILSON: Mr. Speaker, if the honourable Leader of the Opposition wants to bring that out in a way and make it out that I had said something in that respect, surely there are learned people in this honourable House, more than I am myself, and I would not dare accuse a minister of such a thing as that but he was in the former administration. I am accused about the Council at Bay Roberts.

Sir, they have \$3 million at Bay Roberts to put water and sewerage in, to waste, I do not know what. I happen to be the mayor of the town myself.

MR. SPEAKER: Order please.

1357

MR. WILSON: I happen to be the mayor of the town myself and the people have been elected in Bay Roberts as a Town Council to do the job. I have been elected to the House of Assembly, I have not been elected for any bullying, Mr. Speaker. They are honourable men and these honourable men have been elected on a town council if they cannot run their own affairs, the honourable member for Bell Island dare go in and try to bully them.

MR. NEARY: What is the honourable member talking about?

MR. EVANS: You would not understand.

MR. SREAKER: The honourable member is making a speech and he is not saying anything improper.

MR. WILSON: I am answering the questions you put up before me on the floor of this House, the honourable member for Bell Island.

MR. SPEAKER: Order please, would the honourable member address his remarks to the Chair.

MR. WILSON: Mr. Speaker, this is what has been going ahead here since I have been in this honourable House. I am sorry when I look on the other side of the House and see that we have a few honourable gentlemen that we have a few more that are obstructing. Obstruction, nothing but obstruction, I say, if they would only use the necessary God-given sense and use it to the ability of this country and this province, I think we would be far better off. HON. MEMBERS: Hear hear.

MR. WILSON: Mr. Speaker, I have been elected to this House of Assembly, I never came here for any sham. I came here to do the job I am supposed to do and what I am doing has proven it. For the past twenty-three years or forty years, I do not know which, in my community and district there are places that never were found out until you, George Wilson, been elected to Port de Grave, to seek to find them out, and never dared to see where there was a road bad or where there was not.

MR. WILSON: That I aim to do, I do not want to railroad anybody or any member in this House of Assembly. But while I am coming here, what is right I am going to speak to, and what is wrong I am going to fight against.

I am not here looking for any salary. I never came here for salary. I came here to do the job for the people who sent me here. Probably they could have sent better. They might have sent better with education, Mr. Speaker, in this honourable House but as far as the work and maintaing the job and doing what is to be done, I will hold myself with anyone in the Province of Newfoundland.

The record is left to show, where I came from, where
I travelled and where I will go. If it is not done honestly
I can assure you, Mr. Speaker, it is not going to be done at all

I do not want any part of it. I have great admiration for those who sit in this House to try to have something done for this province of ours. Lord knows as well as the members sitting in this House we have the younger generation who have been taught, years ago, get your education and when you are all aboard it is all set. I have found that not to be so. I have found that many in my district and others district which I have travelled through that it is out by the wayside and classed as secondhand citizens. I do not intend to be a secondhand citizen neither in this House nor out of this House, Mr. Speaker. I came here with a purpose and as I have said before I never had to come here to get a salary but I came here with intentions to do things for those who probably could not step up to do them for themselves. If I happen to falter by the wayside, I am not worried about the Leader of the Opposition nor on the other side of the House direct in my path but I am sure going to travel in the path that I know, that when I go back to my district after four years I will be able to go back and stand up as straight as when I left it and if that needs be and my dollars and cents are gone I will have friends enough if I sit down in the morning and do not have my breakfast, I will know where I will get my dinner because it will be brought to me.

If we in this hon. House, Mr. Speaker, pay some heed, get down to business and do something for the province that owes so much. We became a Province of Canada, the tenth province. I have strived and striven through the times when it was hard and I got by and managed to get to maintain to where I am to now and I have all the praise for the people in my district when I took it on my shoulders to go to represent them. Why would I not come in the House of Assembly, Sir? Mr. Speaker, they took me off the street with my backside out and put me here in this hon. House. I have a lot to do to fulfill the trust that they have put in me and every other hon, man that is here in this hon. House. It is no

- (

play game, it is no fun game when you stav home and walk over to your business and probably come out of it with \$50,000 or \$60,000 better off through not being in the government. I have paid the price. My business is down about \$64,000 this year through being in the government but I have faith enough in those who sent me on my way rejoicing to take me through it, Mr. Speaker. I did it and managed it with others who were co-operative and never, Mr. Speaker. in history have so many men had so much to give and so little to gain. To be accused from my counterparts on the opposite side of the House, this is no place for it, there is no need for it when you have to come to probably have a scoff in a House where you have to run the affairs of the country. Lord knows, the younger generation, Mr. Speaker, are looking to it for guidance. Where are they going to get this guidance with what is going ahead in this hon. House? I say —

MR. MARSHALL: Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the hon. member is going to adjourn the debate and continue it the next day because the Speaker has a ruling he wishes to give.

MR. WILSON: Mr. Speaker, I am not through yet as I have to talk on my district but right now I will adjourn this debate until Monday evening at 3:00 P.M.

On motion, debate adjourned.

MR. SPFAKER: Earlier this afternoon, I think the hon member for Bell Island ask a question of the hon. the Minister of Health. I think the hon. Minister of Health will wish to answer that, by leave of the Vouse.

DR. ROWE: Mr. Speaker, the question of the hon, member for Bell Island was on the question of the lifting of the restriction of quarantine on Exon House and the restriction was lifted a few days ago. The history of the incident was that the outbreak started early in February, a total of forty cases had developed, seven children were hospitalized

the nature of the illness was shigella type 3 dysentery. Is that sufficient information.

MR. NEARY: I thank the honourable minister for the answer to the question.

MR. ROWE. A.T. Shigella type 3.

MR. CROSBIE: Mr. Speaker, I would ask your permission to revert to notice of motions. I give notice that I will on tomorrow introduce a bill, "An Act To Amend The Tobacco Tax Act." No more increases.

MR. SPEAKER: Order, please!

Honourable members will recall that I promised earlier in the day to rule on the acceptability of the resolution which the honourable member for St. John's North gave notice that he would move. I refer to Beauchesne Page 166, the second paragraph of citation 198, which reads: "A motion should not be argumentative and in the style of a speech, nor should it contain unnecessary provisions or objectionable words." While I am not suggesting for one moment that the honourable member has or will use objectionable words, there can be no doubt that the words as presented are argumentative and can be further said do contain unnecessary provisions.

I refer to Beauchesne, page 168, citation 200 sub-paragraph four which reads: "A motion which contains two or more distinct propositions may be divided so that the sense of the House may be taken on each separately." The operative part of the honourable member's motion proposes three alternate propositions. If the motion were passed in its present form, it would be impossible to know the sense of the House on this matter, because no clear course of action would be suggested or ordered.

I, therefore, cannot allow the motion to be accepted and I so order.

I should add that a reasonable number of recitals stating necessary facts are in order when motions are presented, but a number of honourable members seem to sometimes use a few more recitals than are necessary and become somewhat argumentative. I would appreciate it if all honourable members bore this in mind in the future.

MR. W. MARSHALL: Mr. Speaker, I do move that the House at its rising adjourn until tomorrow, Monday at 3: 00 P.M. and that this House do now adjourn.

On motion, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Monday at 3:00 P.M.