PRELIMINARY

UNEDITED

TRANSCRIPT

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY

FOR THE PERIOD

3:00 p.m. - 6:00 p.m.

THURSDAY, JULY 1, 1982

MJ - 1

July 1, 1982 Tape No. 1881

The House met at 3:00 P. M.

Mr. Speaker in the Chair.

MR. SPEAKER (Russell):

Order, please!

ORAL QUESTIONS

MR. S. NEARY: Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER: The hon. the Leader of the Opposition.

Mr. Speaker, first of all I MR. NEARY:

want to welcome the hon. the Premier back in the House.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Hear, hear!

MR. NEARY: What adoration! They must be

going to close pretty soon.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Hear, hear!

The hon. the Leader of the Opposition. MR. SPEAKER:

After an absence of more than MR. NEARY:

a fortnight, Mr. Speaker, I do not blame the hon. gentlemen for applauding. We are all glad to see the hon. gentleman back in his seat. He has not been here since we got him upset the day we were doing his salary, his estimates. This is his first appearance since.

Now, Mr. Speaker, the hon. gentleman

just came back from Ottawa and -

Refreshed no doubt. MR. TULK:

- no doubt the hon. gentleman is MR. NEARY: refreshed and is in a conciliatory mood as far as we can see

from the public statements that he has been making. And I do not intend to be hard on the hon. gentleman on Canada's birthday, but the hon. gentleman has been making contradictory statements. Now is he going along with the conditions of the federal budget with regard to wage restraints in the public service or is he not? Or is the hon. gentleman going to follow his own

MR. S. NEARY:

guidelines of five per cent and is upset because Ottawa's guidelines are little more generous, six per cent this year and five per cent next year? Would the hon, gentleman set the record straight because we have heard two different statements? well, I have heard a number of different statements from the hon, gentleman since the meeting took place in Ottawa. Now what did he tell the Prime Minister?

MR. SPEAKER (Russell):

The hon, the Premier.

PREMIER PECKFORD: Mr. Speaker, what we told the Prime Minister was that we are glad that he has come to the party of wage restraint in this nation-better late than never-because most of the provincial governments of Canada had already taken action

PREMIER PECKFORD: on public service wages in their respective jurisdictions. I went on to indicate to the Prime Minister that we had in our Budget articulated the position that we wish to take in Newfoundland as it related to public service wages. And that position is, number one, that the senior public service would receive 5 per cent, and only 5 per cent; and that we rather then unilaterally introduce legislation to legislate the whole public service with one fell swoop at a certain percentage, and therefore not allow for the lower paid workers, perhaps, who deserve a little more than the highest paid workers, that we would try to still use the negotiation process.

In the full knowledge that there is very little on the table, and given that a lot of wealthier governments including the federal government and the provincial governments are restraining themselves, it should be clear to the union movement and to the public sector unions that whilst we want to negotiate there is not all that much money on the table, but that we want to be fair and reasonable and to try to co-operate rather than to legislate.

So our approach is to try
to co-operate not legislate, but within a restraint period
and within restraints which we all know are necessary at this
time. So we are using the 5 per cent as a signal for the
senior public service and hope, through Treasury Board
and our negotiating teams, to sit down with the various
groups and negotiate. But it has to be clear to all
that that negotiation does not mean that there is a lot of
money on the table, but it may be possible to give some recognition
of those on the lower end of the scale over those on the
higher end of the scale.

MR. NEARY:

A supplementary, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER (Russell):

A supplementary, the hon.

Leader of the Opposition.

MR. NEARY:

I do not know what all that

jargon means, Mr. Speaker.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Oh, oh!

MR. NEARY:

I will try to summarize it

in the form of another question to the hon. gentleman. What does he mean by 'we will try to negotiate'? What does he mean by that? Using the hon. gentleman's own terminology, would the hon. gentleman elaborate on that 'we will try to negotiate'?

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Premier.

PREMIER PECKFORD:

We always try to negotiate

every year or perhaps I can take out the word 'try', if he wants, we will negotiate. But we are not going to be negotiating along the lines of a normal year because the money is just not there. What we are saying is that we do not want to use the methodology of unilateralism which the federal government has used, we want to use

PREMIER PECKFORD: a method through which the public service unions and their bargaining people sit down with our bargaining people and we want to do it that way. But it must be clearly understood that obviously with what is happening all across the nation and in the federal public service that there is very little money on the table or available. And the other problem that we are trying to check out now on the federal government's procedure, which is through legislation, not only the methodology, but what we are trying to check out now is whether in fact the Public Service of Canada, the federal public service, are still eligible for their increments, which could be as much as 4 per cent, and does that 6 per cent go on top of that 4 per cent, because if it does it does not do the kind of psychological thing which seemed to be the motive behind the Prime Minister and Mr. MacEachen's budget to start with. So we are trying to check that out as well but we are going to negotiate and try to do as best we can .But we must make it clear to all that there is very little money on the table but we want to try to co-operate and to try to reflect that there is a difference between the lowest paid and the highest paid. MR. NEARY: Supplementary, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER (Russell):

Supplementary, the hon. Leader

of the Opposition.

MR. NEARY:

That

seems to me to be a tricky way of saying, Mr. Speaker, that what the hon. gentleman is really saying is that we are going to negotiate but we are not going to go above the 5 per cent guidelines that have already been laid down, because the hon. gentleman, in his next breath, says there is not very much on the table. Now could the hon. gentleman elaborate on that remark? How can you negotiate in good faith, you know, with that kind of a statement from the head of the government that there is not very much on the table to negotiate? Could the hon. gentleman elaborate?

MR. SPEAKER (Russell): The hon. the Premier.

PREMIER PECKFORD:

The Prime

6 per cent and Minister of Canada is just saying you are not allowed to go on strike for two years. I mean if we are talking about people who are making statements, I think it is clear, Mr. Speaker, to most hon. members in this House what I am saying. I do not intend to negotiate in public, I intend to allow the collective bargaining process to work through the President of Treasury Board (Dr. Collins) and his people and with the various union groups and we want to sit down and talk to them. But we are just making it clear, because we wish to participate in the public wage restraint programme, but in our own way, that we want to do it at the table recognizing that there is a difference between those who get \$50,000 or \$60,000 a year and those who get \$9,000 or \$12,000 a year. They are the two ends of the spectrum in the Newfoundland Public Service and we are going to try to reflect that as we sit down to talk. But how much that can be reflected will be determined by the negotiations.

MR. NEARY:

Supplementary, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER (Russell):

Supplementary, the hon. Leader

of the Opposition.

MR. NEARY:

The hon. the Premier then is

admitting that the

mr. NEARY: provincial government, that his administration does have a wage restraint programme in effect. The hon. gentleman is admitting that. Now what instructions has the hon. gentleman given to his negotiating team, to the people who are bargaining on behalf of the government? Have they been issued specific instructions in connection with negotiations, that they are to hold down any increases to 5 per cent? Or what instructions have they been given, if any?

MR. SPEAKER (Russell): The hon. the Premier. Obviously, Mr. Speaker, I cannot answer PREMIER PECKFORD: that question. We are not negotiating here. I mean, the whole point of our policy is that the Treasury Board people will sit down with the union groups and talk to them and negotiate. Obviously they take direction from the President of Treasury Board (Dr. Collins), the Planning and Priorities Committee of Cabinet and full Cabinet, and that has worked every year and it is working this year now. And so there is a strategy and a plan as there is every year, but I do not intend to release that kind of information and the instructions that have been given, or the proposal that has been approved by Cabinet. That is entirely a secret matter because we cannot negotiate in public. We want to sit down with each group because from time to time one group might have a peculiar circumstance that is not valid for another group. Whether we can reflect all those differences and still come in with a reasonable amount of money is the big problem, and we have just got to because we cannot allow it to get out of hand. But we are trying to show our reasonableness in doing it this way rather than introducing legislation which would mean the same percentage for everybody, regardless of any particular circumstance.

MR. NEARY:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER (Russell): The hon. Leader of the Opposition.
MR. NEARY: What the hon.

gentleman is really saying is that we are prepared to negotiate but you are only going to get 5 per cent. That is what the hon. gentleman is saying in effect.

Now, Mr. Speaker, let us switch to another subject. This talk about the offshore matters that we asked quite a few questions about in the House about yesterday. Did the hon, gentleman take any new initiatives when he was in Ottawa with either the Prime Minister or any of his ministers to try to get the offshore negotiations back on the rails and try to resolve this problem that is sinking Newfoundland at the present time into the depths of poverty?

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Premier.

PREMIER PECKFORD: Mr. Speaker, any initiatives that the Minister of Energy (Mr. Marshall) or I take, or the Minister of Finance (Dr. Collins) or the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan) take on various things dealing with the government, if and when those initiatives are taken,

PREMIER PECKFORD:

from time to time we then inform the House and the people of Newfoundland when it is appropriate. And I do not think I am about to indicate any - I do not know if the Minister of Finance (Dr.Collins) or the Minister of Fisheries (Mr.Morgan) have taken any new initiatives in certain areas of the fisheries over the last couple of days which he wishes to report on today because perhaps it will be tomorrow or perhaps it will be next week. So when the government feels that it has something more to say on the offshore or on the Upper Churchill it will say it at that point in time.

MR.NEARY:

A supplementary.

MR.CHAIRMAN (Russell): The hon. Leader of the Opposition.
A supplementary.

MR.NEARY: Mr. Speaker, do I understand then from the hon. gentleman's answer that the stalemate, the dead-end will continue and that there will continue to be a crisis in the Province as a result of the Province refusing to get back to the bargaining table and negotiate a settlement of the offshore in good faith.

MR.SPEAKER:

The hon. the Premier.

PREMIER PECKFORD: Mr. Speaker, there is a crisis in the country alright and it is due to a federal government which has mismanaged its Budget and is 100 per cent out in its deficit in seven months, a government which refuses to allow the private sector to go ahead and do its work and get the engines of the economy moving, which scares away all foreign investment, which brought in the National Energy Programme which has driven the investment that we had in Canada down to the United States and which negatively effects everybody.

PREMIER PECKFORD: There is a crisis: The dollar indicates that, the stock market indicates that. Everybody in the country is very, very worried and very, very concerned, from British Columbia to here, and there is nothing peculiar about the circumstances that we find ourselves in as it relates to the offshore issue, our position on the offshore is very, very clear, Mr. Speaker, and I do not need to repeat it today. But I will just indicate as I did in the previous answer that when something new occurs then we will inform the House and the people of Newfoundland as soon as that is appropriate in our opinion.

MR.NEARY: A supplementary , Mr.Speaker.

MR.SPEAKER (Russell): A supplementary. The hon.

Leader of the Opposition.

MR.NEARY: Nothing will occur unless the hon. gentleman changes his attitude. And I notice he was not as conciliatory in his last remarks as he was when he came out of the meeting in Ottawa. Things will not be long getting back to normal. All you have to do is ask the hon. gentleman a few penetrating questions and the hon, gentleman gets right back to normal again, anti-Canadian, anti-Confederate. I did not think it would last long. Now would the hon, gentleman tell the House if he had an opportunity to converse with Mr. Levesque, who also came out of that meeting in a very friendly conciliatory mood, to talk to Mr. Levesque about the power corridor across the province of Quebec with a view to getting the Lower Churchill development underway and a transmission line

built to bring badly needed electricity to the Island of Newfoundland? Has the hon. gentleman taken any new initiatives in that regard while he was in Ottawa?

MR. SPEAKER (Russell): The hon. the Premier.

PREMIER PECKFORD: Mr. Speaker, I will answer

that question with another question: Has the Leader of

the Opposition informed the Liberal Party of Quebec and

the Liberal Party of Canada that we deserve to have the

same rights of energy transmission as other Canadians have

by right now and that we should not have to go negotiating

rights? If the Leader of the Opposition is on side,

I would appreciate his support in getting the federal

government to not defer the legislation but to bring it

into effect now so that we can go ahead and do some

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. WARREN:

developments.

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the member for

Torngat Mountains.

MR. WARREN:

Mr. Speaker, my question is

to the Minister of Transportation (Mr. Dawe).

First, I would like to

congratulate the minister on bringing in the seat belt legislation effective today. I think it is a good move on the part of the government.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. WARREN:

Now, my question to the minister is, knowing that the federal government have passed through Cabinet the finances to go ahead with the airstrip programme in Labrador, could the minister tell us what time he anticipates calling tenders on the Postville airstrip?

MR. SPEAKER (Russell): The hon. the Minister of Transportation.

MR. DAWE:

Mr. Speaker, I have checked
late yesterday afternoon and again early this morning.

As of yet we have not had any official communication
from the Department of Transport that this in fact
has transpired. I would only hope that it is in fact
the case and as soon as we get acknowledgement
that this has happened, and both parties have offixed their
names to the agreement, then we should be ready to call
tenders within a matter of hours.

MR. WARREN:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the member for

Torngat Mountains.

MR. WARREN:

Mr. Speaker, I think it was

passed in the House of Commons on Tuesday past, but anyhow, the minister will know in due course.

I have another question for the Minister of Transportation. This was in correspondence that I wrote to him some time ago. I think probably the minister understands that operators of motor vehicles throughout the Province - there are some parts of the Province that owners of motor vehicles only operate them for two or three or a maximum of four months per year; however, they are required to pay the total registration for the full twelve months. Has the minister given any thought to the people who have motor vehicles - and I will give an example, like in the town of Makkovik where there are fourteen or fifteen motor vehicles and about six and one-half miles of road and they can only use their motor vehicles from 20th May up until probably the first week of November. Would those people be required to pay the full registration for the full year's

MR. WARREN: operation of a motor vehicle when there are no roads in existence from November until the following May?

MR. SPEAKER (Russell): The hon. the Minister of Transportation.

MR. DAWE: Mr. Speaker, it is very difficult in this Province with all its geographical differences and weather combinations and so on to really

MR. R. DAWE:

be able to differentiate exactly between people living in one part of the Province and people living in another. There is a standard rate charged. There is a group of individuals in the Province who utilize a truck as part of their livelihood and this government through new initiatives this past year has introduced a form of licencing whereby these people can licence on a quaterly basis, thereby allowing them to just licence their vehicles for the months that they would be working. And this is in line with their employment and to insure that, because it is normally a small business, these people will be able to not to have undue expenses associated with having a licence over the full period of the year. But as it relates to individuals and private operators of vehicles, it is a standard practice, and it has come into full force as it relates to Labrador this year, that the residents of this Province pay the same rate no matter where they live.

MR. G. WARREN:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER (Russell):

The hon, the member for Torngat

Mountains.

MR. WARREN:

Mr. Speaker, the minister did say that he gave exceptions to truckers who are employed for a short period of time. Well, I would like to advise the minister that this applies to all the people in coastal Labrador that they can only operate their vehicle for a short period of time - it is not their fault, there is no snow clearing services in their communities - but they are operating their vehicles from May to November. So surely goodness the minister should look at the problem that those owner operators have with their vehicles and see if he can come up with some kind of a system that they will pay for one half of the year instead of the whole twelve months. Will the minister look into the matter?

MR. SPEAKER (Russell):

The hon. the Minister of Transportation.

MR. R. DAWE:

Mr. Speaker, this government is

willing to look at any particular situation that arises and address itself to it. If this seems to be a problem, then we will certainly look at it. I would only indicate to the hon. gentleman, though, that the licencing of vehicles is similar to a number of fees and charges that are paid by people. I am sure there are people who pay their fee for the use of a Provincial Park realize that it is for a very short season, people who buy their skidoo licences and permits realize that they can only operate them for a limited period of time, albeit, Mr. Speaker, that the people of Labrador, where they have more consistant snow conditions, are able to use their skidoos for much greater lengths of time then the people on other parts, for instance the Avalon Peninsula. But the same licence fee and the same registration fee is applied to all. It is very difficult to try and separate the residents of this Province into various classifications. The fee systems, the registration systems that are in place are designed to be as fair to all as possible.

MR. WARREN:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the member for Torngat

Mountains.

MR. WARREN:

Mr. Speaker, my question is to the

Premier.

July 1, 1982, Tape 1888, Page 1 -- apb

MR. WARREN: There sometime last week
the Minister of Rural, Agricultural and Northern
Development (Mr. Goudie) announced that funding for the
Labrador Resources Advisory Council would be discontinued
after September. Could the Premier advise if his
government still regard the Labrador Resources Advisory
Council as the primary contact for consultation
concerning resource development in Labrador?

That was a question to you-

if you were listening.

MR. NEARY: Wake up, boy. Wake up.

MR. SPEAKER(Russell): The hon. the Premier.

PREMIER PECKFORD: Mr. Speaker, I was not

listening. The hon. member was asking a question about the Labrador Advisory Council, is that right?

MR. WARREN: Yes.

PREMIER PECKFORD: Well, we are examining a number of those councils and so on, we want to make them more effective. I think there has to be ongoing representation from groups like in Labrador, where we had the Labrador Resources Advisory Council, but the minister is dealing with it and is trying to see whether changes or whatever -

MR. NEARY:

PREMIER PECKFORD:

Yes, but there are moves afoot to replace it with another council or another group or whatever so that the intent of the thing is carried on. I think that is the objective of the minister, but there has been the \$95,000 or \$100,000 or more per year -

MR. WARREN: \$150,000.

PREMIER PECKFORD: It has gone up to \$150,000 has it? I remember when it was back to \$95,000 or \$100,000, I did not know it had gone that far. \$150,000 is a lot of

PREMIER PECKFORD: money, and I think the minister is trying to ensure that if we are going to spend that amount of money we really get our full due out of it.

There were one or two different organizations which backed out of the Labrador Resources Advisory Council. The Labrador Inuit Association, if my memory serves me right, is out, and are not the Naskaupi/Montaignais people out as well?

MR. WARREN:

Well, partially.

PREMIER PECKFORD:

Oh, partially. Well,

there you go. So you do not have what was the Labrador Resources Advisory Council there any more, you only have a skeleton of the groups. And, you know, it is no good to have a Labrador Resources Advisory Council, especially dealing with coastal matters, if you do not have the Labrador Inuit Association, for that part North of Rigolet, advising the council. So I think the minister is trying to look at ways and means of increasing the representation in some kind of body which will be able to give sound advice to the minister and to the government.

MR. SPEAKER(Russell): The hon. the member for Torngat Mountains.

MR. WARREN:

Premier for his answer. However, on August 11, 1979,
the Premier said in his press conference in Labrador at
the time, and I will quote probably from the paper,
what is said here: "The Premier went on to say that it
is important that a consultative process, designed to
meet the needs of all concerned " be developed as soon as
possible! In that regard, he proposed that the Labrador
Resources Advisory Council become the primary contact
for consultation concerning resource development within Labrador."

July 1, 1982, Tape 1888, Page 3 -- apb

MR. WARREN:

Now, we have seen, I

think, this government in the past five or six years

spend probably \$600,000 or \$700,000 toward the

Labrador Resources Advisory Council. Is the Premier

satisfied to date with the performance of the

MR. WARREN:

Labrador Resources Advisory Council? And if he is not. probably the second question is would the Premier and his government be looking at channelling funding through the combined councils of Labrador, which includes all towns from Labrador West down to L'anse au Clair and up to Nain, would the Premier be looking at the combined councils as an alternative to the Labrador Resources Advisory Council? MR. SPEAKER (Russell): The hon. Premier.

PREMIER PECKFORD:

Mr. Speaker, the government will take advice from the Minister responsible for Northern Development (Mr. Goudie) and how he thinks it could operate most effectively, what body or what organization or whatever could operate most effectively. It is resources, of course, and the councils are not really, under legislation or whatever, charged with the responsibility of looking into resource development. So I do not know if that would be the proper organization or not. But we will be taking advice from the minister. But obviously we want to continue a consultative process. The question is what is the best way to do it. And given that the Labrador Resources Advisory Council has lost some of its membership and, therefore, is not truly representative of Labrador, the minister is about his business trying to look at the ways and means of making it more effective and ensuring that all of Labrador is represented. Whether it is the combined councils or not, I do not know, and we will have to wait to hear the recommendations from the minister.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. member for Port au

Port.

MR. HODDER: Mr. Speaker, I have a question for the Minister of Environment (Mr. Andrews) concerning concern for the citizens of Long Harbour who have been complaining about this

MR. HODDER:

green moss -

MR. NEARY:

Flowers growing in between the windows.

MR. HODDER:

- fungus type of substance

which has been growing on the sidings of their homes and on the roofs which they say has not occurred before and does not occur normally in that particular area.

I understand that a group of people are taking up some sort of a petition - not a petition, but looking for examples around the community at the present time. Could the minister tell the House exactly what is happening and what his department is doing to assist the people?

MR. NEARY:

He does not know, boy!

MR. SPEAKER (Russell):

The hon. Minister of Environment.

MR. ANDREWS:

I understand it is a very vaque

question, Mr. Speaker. There are emissions coming from the ERCO plant at Long Harbour, everybody knows that since it was put there. The company has endeavoured, and with considerable progress under our direction improved the air emission quality coming from that plant. We still feel that there is some work to be done especially on certain days when the wind blows, and it does blow in Newfoundland.

We have people looking into

that, and our people have been looking into that problem for a matter of time now. And, of course, there was a meeting with the ERCO people. I have arranged to meet personally on Tuesday of next week with the Town Council of Long Harbour.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. member for Port au

Port.

MR. HODDER:

Mr. Speaker, a supplementary.

I must say that I am glad to hear the minister is going to meet with the people on Tuesday, I think, he said, because they have asked him five times - this is the fifth time that they have - no, this is the sixth time, Mr. Speaker, that they have

MR. HODDER: asked him to meet. I would like to ask the minister who monitors the air? What sort of monitoring devices does the Department of Environment have in the Long Harbour area at the ERCO plant?

MR. NEARY:

Who does it? The minister's

department or the company?

MR. SPEAKER (Russell): The hon. Minister of Environment.

MR. ANDREWS: Mr. Speaker, on a technical

question like that I will have to take the question under advisement and get the information for the hon. member.

MR. HODDER: Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER (Russell): The hon. member for Port au Port.

MR. HODDER: Mr. Speaker, is the minister

telling the House that no one from the Department of the Environment monitors the air coming from the ERCO plant, there is no monitoring done?

MR. NEARY:

He does not know. It is like that spray programme out near Millertown, he does not know anything about it.

MR. ANDREWS: That was not the question.

MR. HODDER: Mr. Speaker, my final supplementary.

MR. NEARY: You are on your last chance now.

MR. HODDER: Have officials from his

department analyzed the substance that has been growing throughout the community and has there been any evidence of phosphates in this particular substance?

MR. NEARY: In the moss.

MR. SPEAKER (Russell): The hon. Minister of the Environment.

MR. ANDREWS:

Mr. Speaker, once again a technical question which I do not know. The previous question was what type of monitors - we do monitor the air emissions from the ERCO plant, yes. We are satisfied with the improvement in the air quality to date, and we

MR. HODDER: Supplementary, Mr. Speaker.

have instructed the company to improve the quality even more.

MR. SPEAKER: Supplementary, the hon. member

for Port au Port.

MR. HODDER: Could the minister tell me

I might tell him, by the way, that the substance has been analyzed by ERCO themselves and they say there are phosphates there so obviously it is now public knowledge which the minister does not know. But I would like to ask the minister

MR. HODDER:

if the levels of the air pollution

in the ERCO plant is acceptable by Canadian standards?

MR. SPEAKER (Russell):

The hon. Minister of the Environment.

MR. ANDREWS:

To my knowledge, Mr. Speaker, on

most occasions, if not all the time, the air emissions are to Canadian standards, yes. I would also like to comment on the fact that phosphates were found in the area around there. Phosphates, as you know, are used extensively as a fertilizer and they do help things to grow including moss, I understand.

MR. ROBERTS:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. member for the Strait

of Belle Isle.

MR. ROBERTS:

Mr. Speaker, a question for

the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan), if I could, I hesitate to interrupt him but Question Period is going to go very quickly. I understand he has some news on the T.J. Hardy Limited situation, I wonder if perhaps he could bring the House up to date?

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. Minister of Fisheries.

MR. MORGAN:

Mr. Speaker, during the visit

to Ottawa the deputy minister and myself this week made contact with the people in Ottawa dealing with the proposal put forward by Mr. Hardy and the Nickerson company combined, because the Nickerson company owns half of that operation. We were told by

MR. MORGAN:

the officials in the Department of Industry, Trade and Commerce that they would not be in a position to deal with the proposal from the T.J. Hardy operations in Newfoundland until around the end of July, and as a result of that I am now sending correspondence to the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. LeBlanc), and to the Minister responsible for Industry, Trade and Commerce (Mr. Gray), pointing out that this would be a bit late in getting a decision, because we are of the opinion in the Departments of Fisheries and Finance that it is important for us to know what the decision of the federal government is going to be, positive or otherwise, with regard to the request made to them for government guarantees from the federal government. As I said last week, in answering questions from the same hon. gentleman, it is going to take both levels of government to overcome the financial difficulty of that company, which has operations in Port aux Basques, Rose Blanche, Anchor Point, Cow Head, and Flowers Cove. And it was indeed disappointing to learn from Industry, Trade and Commerce that they had received a proposal from Mr. Kirby, Mr. Kirby did not deal with the proposal in the same manner as he dealt with St. Anthony, for some reason, He merely passed it on, and in a very casual way passed it on, to Industry, Trade and Commerce. He did not give the same kind of priority to those plants in Rose Blance, and in Port aux Basques, and Anchor Point and Flowers Cove and Cow Head. In fact he gave little consideration at all to the problems of that company in these areas and passed it on to an official in Industry, Trade and Commerce and says, "Will you deal with it?" And the Industry, Trade and Commerce says, "Yes, we will deal with it, but it is going to take substantial time. It will MR. MORGAN: mean around the last week in July before we can meet to even discuss it. And that, Mr. Speaker, to us and the Newfoundland Government shows the lack of concern of the federal government for the problems of the fishery in that part of our Province.

MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER (Russell): One final supplementary, the hon. member for the Straits of Belle Isle.

MR. ROBERTS: I will be very brief. I just want to know, Mr. Speaker, whether the provincial consideration of the Hardy proposal, which the minister told us last week involved a request of both the federal and provincial governments, if the minister could tell us whether the provincial consideration will be delayed until the date, if ever, when Ottawa gives an answer? The minister indicates it will be the end of this month, that is what he was told. Could he also tell us -I was not quite clear- whether he actually met with Mr. Kirby or what Mr. Kirby is said to have done was what somebody told the minister he did? I was not clear whether he actually met Mr. Kirby or not.

MR. SPEAKER: I give the hon. Minister of Fisheries a minute to answer the question.

MR. MORGAN:

Mr. Speaker, no,I did not meet with
Mr. Kirby. I did with a member of the Task Force. The

Deputy Minister and myself had considerable discussion with a

member of the Federal Government Task Force on the Atlantic

Coast Fisheries and we did discuss the matter with an

MR. MORGAN: official of the Industry,
Trade and Commerce Department who is now dealing with
this matter because it was referred to them by
Mr. Kirby. Mr. Kirby's task force did not deal with
the problem of the T. J. Hardy plants operations,
unfortunately, in the same kind of priority as they
dealt with the problem in St. Anthony a few weeks ago.
And we find that rather unusual because the problems
in Port aux Basques and Rose Blanche are just as important
as the problem in St. Anthony.

MR. SPEAKER (Russell): The time for Question Period has expired.

PRESENTING REPORTS BY STANDING AND SPECIAL COMMITTEES

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Minister of

Development.

MR. WINDSOR: Mr. Speaker, I take pleasure in tabling the annual report of the Newfoundland and Labrador Housing Corporation for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1980.

NOTICE OF MOTION

MR. WINDSOR:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Minister of

Development.

MR. WINDSOR:

Mr. Speaker, I give notice

that I will on tomorrow ask leave to introduce a bill entitled, "An Act To Expropriate The Property Of Advocate Mines Limited."

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS FOR WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

MR. SPEAKER (Russell): The hon. the Minister of Municipal Affairs.

MRS. NEWHOOK: Mr. Speaker, I wish to table answers to questions 75, 55, 35, 128 and 133, listed on the Order Paper, requested by the MHA for Eagle River (Mr. Hiscock).

MR. OTTENHEIMER: Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER: The hon. the Minister of

Justice.

MR. OTTENHEIMER: Mr. Speaker, I wish to table answer to question 129 on the Order Paper of June 24th.

DR. COLLINS: Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER: The hon. the Minister of

Finance.

DR. COLLINS: Mr. Speaker, I wish to table answers to questions 118 and 112 on the Order Paper of June 14th, I think it was, and June 25th.

ORDERS OF THE DAY

MR. MARSHALL: Motion No. 1, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER: Motion No. 1.

MR. NEARY: Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER: The hon. the Leader of the

Opposition.

MR. NEARY: I want to congratulate the

Government of Canada and the people of Canada who are observing their 115th birthday today.

I was rather disappointed,

Mr. Speaker, that the hon. the Premier did not introduce

July 1, 1982, Tape 1893, Page 1 -- apb

MR. NEARY:

a resolution in this

House today to give members an opportunity to

acknowledge the fact that this was Canada's birthday,

that we are Canadians, our home is Canada and that we

are proud to live under the Canadian flag and under

the Canadian banner.

Mr. Speaker, we live in Canada, we are residents of this land, and we are all proud of it:

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. NEARY:

I thought that the hon.

gentleman, when he was in a conciliatory mood following the meetings in Ottawa, would have rushed back to this House today with a resolution to pledge allegience to Canada. I know me and my colleagues, Mr. Speaker, are very pleased to pledge our loyalty and our honour for this great country. On this day, Mr. Speaker, I suppose I will not offend any hon. members on the government side of the House. On such a day as today I do not even mind saying to my hon. colleagues across the way, Happy birthday, ladies and gentlemen.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. ROBERTS:

As long as they do not sing

we are okay.

MR. NEARY:

Whether you care to

acknowledge it or not, it is your birthday as well so,

Mr. Speaker, I wish to wish happy birthday -

MR. MORGAN:

Sing'O Canada!'

MR. NEARY:

As a matter of fact, Mr.

Speaker, I was hoping, in anticipation of the Premier bringing in a resolution, I had copies of O Canada!' printed that I was going to give hon. members. Because I am sure that hon. gentlemen on the other side of the House, Mr. Speaker, do not know the words of O Canada!'

July 1, 1982, Tape 1893, Page 2 -- apb

MR. ROBERTS:

They certainly do not know

the meaning of the words.

MR. NEARY:

No, they certainly do not

know the meaning of it. They do not know the words, that is for sure.

Mr. Speaker, just to

finish up these opening remarks, it is good to be a Canadian, Mr. Speaker, it is really good to be a Canadian. And I was hoping we would have an opportunity in this House today to sing'O Canada!'

Mr. Speaker, the hon. the member for Stephenville(Mr. Stagg) yesterday in his rather disjointed remarks made a remark that I take exception to which had to do with the closing of the House.

MR. STAGG:

Oh?

MR.NEARY: The hon. gentleman indicated that the Opposition was anxious to get the House closed.

MR. DOYLE:

That is not true.

MR.NEARY:

No, Mr. Speaker, that is not

true. The Opposition are anxious to keep this House open. We are prepared to keep it open as long as there is something worthwhile, something meaningful to debate.

MR. PATTERSON:

Good liberal strategy! You are

going to start to campaign now?

MR.NEARY:

Mr. Speaker, the remark made

by the hon. gentleman is not true. We will stay here as

long as is necessary. If it takes three more weeks to

finish up the business of this House, then I say

sobeit. We are not in any rush to get out of here. The

only thing about it, Mr. Speaker, is that we have been

here now seven or eight weeks and we have not debated

anything so far that would put bread and butter and

milk on the table of the ordinary people of this Province.

We have been forced to debate things like the parliamentary

freeloaders —

MR.TULK:

The lap dog act.

MR.NEARY: — the lap dog act. We were forced to debate that, to put four more members of the House on the payroll to freeload off the taxpayers. But we were not given the opportunity to debate measures or policies that would create employment for the ordinary people, for the record number of Newfoundlanders who are unemployed in this Province, Mr.Speaker, and therein lies the problem. If we are going to be forced to debate matters in this House that do not mean anything to the ordinary Newfoundlander then maybe we may as well close the House down today.

MR. TULK:

As of today the sock washers are

getting paid.

MR.NEARY: But if we are going to have an opportunity to debate policies and plans to create employment for the forty or fifty thousand Newfoundlanders who are unemployed, then I would be prepared to stay here till Christmas Mr. Speaker. If we were going to be asked to debate measures that would help the homeowners cope with their mortgages. The high interest on mortgages on their homes, homeowners

MR. NEARY:

are being forced to give up their homes because they cannot meet their commitments, if we were asked to debate these measures, Mr. Speaker, then I am sure that none of my colleagues would object to staying in this House for the next several weeks or several months.

If we were asked, Mr. Speaker, to consider measures to correct the problems of the fishery. To eliminate the chaotic condition of the fishery in this Province at the present time, I do not think that any member on this side of the House would begrudge another couple or three weeks or a couple of months, Mr. Speaker, to debate this item.

The fishery is in one awful mess in this Province and hon. gentlemen opposite seem to think that by rescuing plants from bankruptcy that that is the end of their responsibility, that their obligation ends there. You would not know but it was the first time, to hear hon. gentlemen talk, you would not know but it was the first time that fish plants in this Province were ever bailed out and saved from bankruptcy. Back in the mid-sixties every fish plant in Newfoundland was bankrupt, every one, and every one had to be assisted.

MR. MARSHALL: That was the burn your boats days.

MR. NEARY: No, it was not the burn your boat

days. Well what do you call this? What are we into now?

MR. MARSHALL: We are in bigger boats.

MR. WARREN: At 12 per cent interest.

MR. NEARY: Mr. Speaker, at that time the

government of the day had to bail out every fish plant in Newfoundland. I would not be surprised but before this year is over that this administration will have to bail out, save from bankruptcy, every fish plant in this Province.

MR. WARREN: Most of them anyhow.

MR. NEARY: When you see, Mr. Speaker, a fish plant operator like T.J. Hardy running into financial problems, falling on hard times, then Mr. Speaker, that is an indication, that is a barometer to everyone in this Province that the fishing industry is in dire straights in this rovince. Mr. Hardy, whom I know well and have a great deal of respect for, is a completely independent operator, and Mr. Speaker, up until now has been beholden to nobody for anything. And now even Mr. Hardy, as good an operator as he is, has to come to both the provincial and federal governments for aid to try to keep his operations going. And that should be a pretty clear indication of the mess that the fishery is in in this Province.

So hon, gentlemen should not sit back and just get up and boast and beat their chests and say, "Well, we helped this fish plant. We spent

MR. S. NEARY:

\$2 million or \$3 million to help this one stay afloat, We spent \$1 million to help this one keep going. We gave away this one to somebody on the Southern Shore. We put up a guarantee to save this plant or the other plant from bankruptcy. "They should not be content to sit back and say that is the extent of our plans for the fishery. But that is what they are doing, Mr. Speaker. We have not seen any evidence at all in the last several years in this House, or outside of this House, any indication that this administration has any plans, whether they be short-term or long-term plans for the development of the fishery in this Province.

Mr. Speaker, I would not want to be the bearer of bad news or be accused of preaching doom and gloom, but from what I can hear from the markets in the United States where the big fish producers in Newfoundland send their coa plocks, from information that I have I would suspect that we have not seen our worst days in the fishery in this Province yet. I would say we are in for some pretty tough times, pretty hard times, before this year is over. I am told by people who know that every warehouse in the United States that receives cod blocks from this Province is blocked to the doors, blocked. The cod blocks are just not moving and that is bound to affect some of the big plants in this Province, like Fishery Products and the Lake Group, who ship mostly cod blocks to the markets in the United States. I hope, Mr. Speaker, that I am wrong, I hoping that this does not happen. But I am sure that the administration, especially the Premier and the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. J. Morgan), have information that, if it was known, would be very alarming to the fishing industry and to the people of this Province. It is a very, very sad situation, Mr. Speaker, a very sad situation. You know, I do not know if hon. gentlemen

MR. S. NEARY: care or not any more on that side of the House, I do not know if they care about the fishery. They all get up and pound their desks when the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. J. Morgan) stands in his place and he reels off a bunch of plants that had to come to get government help, government assistance, to keep them from going into bankruptcy. And hon. members pound their desks as if this was a wonderful thing. Any government worth its salt would do that to keep our most basic industry alive. But ask yourself this guestion;

MR. NEARY: Where are the provincial government's plans for the future of the fishery in this Province? Where are the provincial government's plans for marketing fresh fish and other produce of the sea? Where are the plans? Can the hon. gentleman - Charles Dickens, I believe it was, Mr. Bumble, Mr. Bumble, was it not?

MR. WARREN:

That is right, yes.

MR. NEARY:

Can the hon.

gentleman tell us where the government that he idolizes and looks at so glassy-eyed over there day in and day out, what plans do they have for marketing the produce of the sea in this Province?

MR. MARSHALL:

Have you learned to read yet?

MR. NEARY:

Т

would gladly take my seat if the hon. gentleman will tell me about the marketing plans of this government. The only marketing that we hear about, Mr. Speaker, are these jaunts that the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan) goes off on once in a while. Like the one up in Ottawa the other night that we hear so much about, renting space in the most luxurious and posh buildings that you can get in Ottawa.

MR. STAGG:

Sit down, boy.

MR. WARREN:

I think the minister is called

Waltzing Matilda.

MR. NEARY:

Yes, we are going to rename him

Waltzing Matilda, Mr. Speaker.

What do these things accomplish,

Mr. Speaker? What do they accomplish other than give the minister an excuse to get out of his office and go off on another expensive jaunt? How much are these whims of the minister costing the taxpayers of this Province?

MR. TOBIN:

I was with you one time (inaudible)

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Oh, oh!

 $\underline{\text{MR. NEARY:}}$ That is the only sign of marketing we see from the administration. What about the proposal, Mr.

MR. NEARY:

Speaker, if Mr. Bumble now

would just keep quite -

MR. TULK:

There is one over there called

Mr. Bumble too.

MR. NEARY:

Mr. Bumble and Mr. Bumblebee.

MR. TULK:

Yes.

MR. NEARY:

Mr. Speaker, what is wrong with

the proposal that has been put forth by this side of the
House and by the Fishermens Union in this Province that
a separate Crown corporation be set up to market fresh
fish or that the terms of reference of the Canadian saltfish
Corporation be expanded

MR. NEARY: to include the marketing of fresh fish and all other produce of the sea? Now what is wrong with that?

MR. TULK:

Nothing.

MR. NEARY:

Not a thing wrong with it. Well,

why does not the hon. gentleman stand up, representing a fishing district in this Province, and advocate that and bring some pressure to bear on the administration.

MR. MATTHEWS:

Everything is wonderful.

MR. NEARY:

Everything is wonderful.

Oh the hon. gentleman -

MR. MATTHEWS:

Yes, you are at it again.

MR. NEARY:

No harm to say the hon.

gentleman -

MR. TULK:

You had better take a good

look at the Lake Group.

MR. NEARY:

Yes. The Lake Group now are on

pretty shaky grounds again now.

MR. TULK:

Better take a look at the Lake

Group.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Oh, oh!

MR. MATTHEWS:

Be quite now. You do not

know what you are talking about.

MR. TULK:

Still on the Grand Bank.

MR. NEARY:

Mr. Speaker, one of the great

reforms in this Province was the setting up of the Canadian Saltfish Corporation. The Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan) has been lukewarm on it, he supports it one day and he is against it the next. Last year the hon. gentleman was highly critical of the Canadian Saltfish Corporation. And now the hon. gentlemen has done a flip-flop because the Canadian Saltfish Corporation is developing as a popular Liberal reform.

MR. NEARY: Now the hon, gentleman is trying to climb aboard the bandwagon after condemning the Canadian Saltfish Corporation, after saying he did not want anything to do with it and trying to whittle away their authority and their power by taking the big fish away from them and giving them to some of his buddies who have been asking for it.

MR. TULK:

The Fish Trades.

MR. NEARY:

The Fish Trades, that is right and leave the small fish to the Canadian Saltfish Corporation.

That is the kind of policy the hon. gentleman is following.

The hon. gentleman says he would like to recommend changes
in the Canadian Saltfish Corporation. The hon. gentleman has
the provincial fishery in a big enough mess now without trying
to tamper with the Canadian Saltfish Corporation. He should
make up his mind just the same as he should make up his mind
whether he is for or against over-the-side sales.

MR. TULK:

That, by the way, is Mr. Bumble.

MR. NEARY:

That is Mr. Bumble, and the other

is Mr. Bumblebee.

Mr. Speaker, the fact of the matter is, Sir, the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan) is a disaster, an incompetent, a disaster in this Province.

MR. MORGAN:

Romeo is right on! You are right

on about that bumblebee.

MR. NEARY:

that hon. gentleman, Mr. Speaker, the sooner we will get some concrete plans and ideas for the fishery.

MR. TULK:

It would be a good portfolio for

'Rideout'.

MR. NEARY:

No, it would not be a good portfolio

MR.NEARY: for the hon. member for Baie

Verte (Mr.Rideout) because I do not think he could

handle it. But there are people on the other side of the

House who can handle the Fisheries portfolio. It is

such an important department, Mr.Speaker, in this

Province that we can no longer allow the minister to

play with it, make a play toy out of it. And now that

we have lost the Deputy Minister of Fisheries, Mr.

Speaker, we have lost the only man at the top who has

any knowledge of running the department, any knowledge

of the fisheries, And now that gentleman has gone, Mr.

Slade.

Mr. Speaker, I do not know what is going to happen in the fishery. I really do not know what is going to happen. I would think that we have not seen our worst times yet. I would say that before this year is over-and I hope my words will not come true-before two months are out you are going to see a crisis in the markets in the United States.

AN HON.MEMBER: Doom and gloom again, is it?

MR.NEARY: No, I am not preaching doom and gloom. I am only trying to shake the minister and the administration into doing something positive about the fishery.

Mr. Speaker, to come back to what I said a few moments ago about closing the House. This House should not close now, Mr. Speaker. It should not close this week. This House should not close.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Oh, oh!

MR.NEARY: No, it should not. The House should stay open, but if it stays open we should debate measures and policies that will do something about the unemployment in this Province, and about the state of the

MR.NEARY: fishery and about the state of the forest industry and about the state of the mining industry, Mr. Speaker.

MR. RIDEOUT: Your colleagues want to close too. Do not be so two-faced.

MR.NEARY: Mr. Speaker, my colleagues do not want to close. Mr. Speaker, we caucused today, we had a caucus today, Mr. Speaker, and I can tell the hon. gentleman from Bay Verte (Mr. Rideout) the feeling of the caucus.

MR.RIDEOUT:

And I can tell you too.

MR.NEARY:

Yes, and I can tell the hon.

gentleman the feeling of the caucus. The feeling of the caucus, Mr. Speaker, is this, that if we are going to stagger and struggle on like we are going now by appointing parliamentary lap dogs -

MR. RIDEOUT: They want to get out because you are doing all the talking. You are hogging the whole show.

MR. NEARY:

-by appointing parliamentary

lap dogs, if that is the kind of thing we are going to do then my hon. colleagues say shut her down today.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Oh, oh!

MR. NEARY:

But if the government,

Mr. Speaker, who calls the order of business in the House, if the government is going to lay measures on the table to do something about the cost of electricity, the cost of living, record unemployment, the high cost of homes; is the government is going to do something about the forest industry, about the fishing industry, about the mining industry, about offshore development, about the development of the Lower Churchill; if the government is going to lay these measures on the table, my colleagues have told me in no uncertain terms that they want to stay. But if the government wants to waste the time of this House with some of the foolish pieces of legislation that we have had before us, then shut her down today and let us get out of here. We are only wasting our time and we are only wasting taxpayers money.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Oh, oh!

MR. NEARY:

Mr. Speaker, my caucus told

me today what they wanted, and they volunteered it. And let me say this, Mr. Speaker; these men whom I am proud to serve, these members, I am proud to serve them, Mr. Speaker, they are fatigued, they are beat out. Mr. Speaker, they have told me that they will stay here until Christmas even though they are tired. They are fatigued.

MR. TOBIN:

Did they tell you they wanted

a new leader?

MR. TULK:

No sir!

MR.NEARY:

They have been working hard

this session. Mr. Speaker, the members of the Opposition have worked extremely hard this session and I am proud of them. We have worked together as a team.

MR. MARSHALL:

Is the hon. member allowed

to chew when he is speaking?

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. NEARY:

And I guarantee you,

Mr. Speaker, contrary to popular belief, before the
House met when our ranks were reduced to eight, when the
word went abroad, 'Well, how can this Opposition cope
with the government? They have become arrogant and dictatorial and they will become corrupt and you will have to
have eyes in the back of your head to watch them,' Well,
Mr. Speaker, they know that they have been in a session of the
House, they know the House has been meeting. And the hon.
the Premier, two and one-half weeks ago went stomping out
of the House,

MR. NEARY:

Mr. Speaker, because he could not take it, he could not take the criticism, he had to go off and rest, and now they want to get the House closed so he can have another rest. Mr. Speaker, I will make you a prediction right now, that the House will not be closed five minutes when there will be a lineup at the travel agencies. Ministers getting out to get away from the problems and the worries and the torment of this Province, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, so I am happy and proud, I never had such a good group of people working for me in my life as I have now. They are all pulling their weight, every one of them. Every one of the Opposition are pulling their weight and they are a wonderful team. They are a wonderful team, Mr. Speaker. They are a great team. And they are doing their job and the people of this Province can sleep well at night and they can be assured that the Opposition are watching this government. And it does not make any difference if they have forty-four members or not, whether they become arrogant and dictatorial and whether they shout and rave and bowl and squabble, Mr. Speaker. We are not going to be intimidated. We are not going to be intimidated by this

MR. TOBIN: You will not be leader when the house opens. There will be a leadership convention in the Fall before the House opens.

MR. NEARY:

Mr. Speaker, there will be no

leadership -

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Oh, oh!

MR. NEARY: There will be no leadership convention for a couple of years. So hon. gentlemen cannot use that as an excuse that I am making a leadership pitch because I am not.

I have not had time this session of the House to think about anything else except to keep an eye on the administration and to keep an eye on the ministers, Mr. Speaker.

MR. NEARY: It has been a pretty tough job but we have managed to do it and I guarantee you that the government knows.

MR. MARSHALL:

Knives in your back.

MR. TULK:

Do not be silly.

MR. NEARY:

I beg your pardon?

MR. MARSHALL:

Knives in your back.

MR. NEARY:

No knives in my back, Mr. Speaker, no

knives in my back. I have a good team working with me.

MR. MARSHALL:

High quality people?

MR. NEARY:

And I am proud of them. I am proud of

them, Mr. Speaker, everyone of them have done their job. They have pulled their weight. And if anybody should want the House closed it should be the members on this side who are absolutely fatigued. They are tired. They are beat. But everyone of them today told me, they said, "We will stay here forever if the government would only put measures on the table to deal with the real problems in this Province."

MR. YOUNG: The member for Eagle River (Mr. Hiscock) was down in New York all the year, and the member for Terra Nova (Mr. Lush) has gone on holidays.

MR. NEARY: Mr. Speaker, the hon. member

for Harbour Grace (Mr. H. Young) should be very careful

with that kind of line. All he has to do is check his own ranks.

We had the hon, the Premier out of the House for the last

two and a half weeks.

MR. TOBIN:

He was in Ottawa trying to

straighten things out for Newfoundland.

MR. NEARY:

Oh, he was on business. My

hon. colleague was not on business, I suppose?

MR. MORGAN:

Do not tell me he was.

MR. NEARY:

Yes! How do you know what

he was doing? Do you have your spies chasing the hon. gentleman around?

MR. MORGAN:

He was down in New York.

MR. NEARY:

I see. I see. What about the

member for Port de Grave (Mr. R. Collins)?

MR. YOUNG:

I do not know but he is

out in the common room.

MR. NEARY:

I see, I see: He is here.

The hon, gentleman should watch that sort of line. That

is pretty low-down stuff.

MR. RIDEOUT:

But you never use it.

MR. NEARY:

No, I do not use it. I

certainly do not use it. The hon. member cannot say that,

that he has ever heard me at that.

SOME HON. MEMEBRS:

Oh, oh!

MR. RIDEOUT:

You were at it a few minutes

ago about the Premier.

MR. NEARY:

Of course I was, because I

warned the Premier about that sort of thing about five weeks

ago.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Oh, oh!

MR. TULK:

You better quit while you are ahead,

'Tom'. Quit while you are ahead.

MR. RIDEOUT:

You have a short memory, boy!

MR. NEARY: Yes, the hon. gentleman better quit while he is ahead.

MR. RIDEOUT:

I am not worrying about as far as the hon. gentleman. I fixed him in 1975 when he was independent going against me. Right.

MR. TULK: Oh, yes. Like you fixed the Premier with the poison pen letters.

MR. NEARY: The hon. gentleman now better start fixing up his image in Baie Verte.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Oh, oh!

MR. RIDEOUT: There were 171 Liberal votes there and there are not seven there today (inaudible) a thousand or more people how proud they are of you.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Hear, hear!

MR. NEARY: Mr. Speaker, one of the problems with this budget that we are debating is that it is very difficult for the administration to bring down a creditable budget under the auspices of the Minister of Finance (Dr. J. Collins) and the hon. the Premier. Very difficult.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Oh, oh!

MR. NEARY: Mr. Speaker, the Premier seems to use as his permanent argument that the future - he bandies that word future around considerably - his weakness, Mr. Speaker, if that is the right word, or the scapegoat that he uses is the future - he blames his ills and woes on today and he promises all kinds of wealth for tomorrow. And that is the big problem with this administration with that kind of a philosophy, with that kind of a place for the Premier to hide:

July 1, 1982, Tape 1903, Page 1 -- apb

MR. NEARY: You cannot be criticized for things that are going to happen in the future, and I am convinced, Mr. Speaker, that is why the Premier keeps talking about all the things that are going to happen in the future. He cannot be critized for things that are going to happen in the future, but he can be criticized for things that are happening at the present time. He could be criticized if he put forward a policy, and that is why, Mr. Speaker, this administration has not brought forward one realistic plan for the short-term or the long-term development of this Province. There is not one new industry that has been created since the Tories took over in this Province ten years ago.

MR. MARSHALL: That is not what the

electorate say.

MR. NEARY: That is not what the electorate say? Mr. Speaker, let me set the record straight. The last election, the issue in the last election was like the term 29 issue; people felt that if they did not vote for this platform that they would be unpatriotic, they would be voting against Newfoundland. But ask them today, Mr. Speaker, ask the people today.

MR. MARSHALL: What about the survey?

MR. NEARY: Yes.

MR. WARREN: Ah, ha, we could tell you

that one.

MR. MARSHALL: You should ask your federal

friends about the last survey.

MR. NEARY: The last survey that I saw,

Mr. Speaker, showed that the Tory administration in this Province, one month after the election, had taken a nose-dive like you would not believe.

MR. RIDEOUT: That is the same poll said you would get thirty-five seats.

July 1, 1982, Tape No. 1903, Page 2 -- apb

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Oh, oh!

MR. DINN:

Even Goldfarb did not say

that. The day before the election the Leader of the Opposition said if the people get out we should have forty seats.

MR. WARREN:

I did not have any radio

or television or anything.

MR. NEARY: Mr. Speaker, this government can only promise but never delivers anything that it talks about in the future. And then it blames everybody, especially the federal government, for its own incompetence.

MR. TOBIN:

Where are all your supporters?

MR. NEARY:

All my supporters are out

working for their constituents, and that is more than the hon. gentleman can say from what I can hear.

Mr. Speaker, the federal government brought down its own budget the other night with many good programmes for stimulating the economy,

MR. NEARY:

for stimulating the housing industry, the construction industry. The federal government is showing that it intends to fight inflation on all fronts. And this government, Mr. Speaker, if it were worth its salt, should show the people of this Province what the provincial government plans are and to show the people of this Province whether or not they intend to follow that example that was shown by the Government of Canada the night before last. The hon. gentleman is showing us the way to poverty, that is the only way the hon. gentleman is showing us. This administration is leading Newfoundland into the depths of poverty and they are doing it, Mr. Speaker, by rebuking Ottawa at every twist and turn, they are chastising everybody else to keep the attention off their own incompetence and they are holding out this hope for the future that never seems to happen. Ten years ago, January 18th, 1972, when the Tories took over in this Province, we heard the same things about the future as we are hearing today, exactly the same policies, philosophy, about the future. They keep talking about the future but the future never comes, nothing ever happens. And they have been at it now for ten years, Mr. Speaker, and that is ten years too long as far as I am concerned. MR. YOUNG: You had the same speech

MR. NEARY: Mr. Speaker, at least I can

get up in this House and make a speech.

in 1972.

years.

MR. TOBIN: I guess you can after thirty

MR. NEARY:

I should not allow myself to
be intimidated by hon. rookies who make statements like

'Let them starve.' Somebody said to the hon. gentleman,

'What will you do? Will you let them starve?' He said,

'Try me.' That is the attitude of the hon. gentleman,

'Try me.' Now, Mr. Speaker, that is the attitude of
this administration. The attitude of the hon. gentleman,

Mr. Speaker, is typical of the attitude of the administration, 'Let them starve. We will sit on Hibernia until
hell freezes over.'

July 1, 1982

Tape No. 1905

PK-1

MR. WARREN:

Yes. That is right.

MR. NEARY:

'We will let the water of the

Lower Churchill flow into the Atlantic forever. We are not going to negotiate. We are not going to start up mega projects in this Province. Let the people starve.'

MR. WARREN:

That is right.

MR. NEARY:

'Let them starve. They have no

bread, give them cake.' Let them starve, that is the policy -

MR. YOUNG:

That is the same speech you made in '72.

MR. NEARY:

- following the example set by

the hon. member for Burin-Placentia West (Mr. Tobin).

MR. HODDER:

Who had a liquid lunch.

MR. NEARY:

That is right.

MR. TOBIN:

See what they did to me? They put

me in here.

MR. WARREN:

With a blue card in the welfare

cheques.

MR. NEARY:

The hon. gentleman, Mr. Speaker,

is just passing through. I have been here going on twenty-one years and I have seen members like the hon. gentleman come and go.

MR. WARREN:

That is right.

MR. NEARY:

There is a lot of water gone

under the bridge in twenty years, Mr. Speaker, and I have seen glassy-eyed, bushy-tailed members like the hon. gentleman come into this House and they did not last long, Mr. Speaker. They did not last long.

MR. TOBIN:

I will be here until I have grey hair.

MR. MORGAN:

And you had a twenty-odd vote majority

last time.

MR. NEARY:

The big problem in political

life is not getting elected the first time, it is getting re-elected. That is the problem.

MR. TOBIN:

I will be here for years,

do not worry.

MR. HODDER:

He will bow out when an election

is called again.

MR. WARREN:

(Inaudible).

MR. NEARY:

Mr. Speaker, if I was the

hon. gentleman, like I told him the other day, I would sit here for the first year and I would say nothing. The hon. gentleman should be seen and not heard. The hon. gentleman should take the example set by the member for St. Mary's-The Capes (Hearn) and be seen and not heard. I am sure the hon. gentleman is trying to learn something and -

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. NEARY:

- every day the hon. gentleman

is learning something new.

MR. WARREN:

Not like you.

MR. NEARY:

But obviously the member for

Burin-Placentia West (Mr. Tobin) is not prepared to learn anything.

MR. WARREN:

The same thing with the member

for St. Barbe (Mr. Osmond).

MR. NEARY:

Mr. Speaker, we cannot

help that. You can take a horse to water but you cannot make him drink.

MR. TOBIN:

I am very attentive when

someone of substance is speaking -

MR. NEARY:

So there is not much else we

can say about this Budget.

MR. TOBIN:

- like your House Leader (Mr. Hodder).

When he speaks I listen.

MR. NEARY:

Mr. Speaker, it was a bad

Budget. It has no credibility. The Minister of Finance (Dr. Collins) skillfully manipulated the figures, cooked the books to try to make the Budget look good.

MR. WARREN:

Where did you have lunch to today?

MR. NEARY:

Everybody knows that \$336 million

MR. NEARY: of borrowing in one fiscal year in this Province which is a record, Mr. Speaker, is the real reason behind his balancing his current account. And I cannot help but repeating, because it does not seem to have sunk in yet, that the minister is moving things into capital account that should be left in current account and then borrowing to pay off his capital programme. That is what the hon. gentleman is up to. The hon. gentleman, I gave him a list, and the hon. gentleman about a month ago or five weeks ago was going to bring me back the answers and I do not have the answers yet. It is like the questions we have on the Order Paper. During this session, Mr.Speaker, hon. members must have noticed that my colleagues have been researching night and day questions for the Order Paper. How many questions do we have on the Order Paper now at the present time?

MR. WARREN:

About 200.

MR. NEARY: We have at least, I would say, 100 or 150 questions on the Order Paper. How many have been answered? About ten or a dozen answered. Ten or a dozen questions answered. They are very slow in answering questions on the Order Paper and yet my colleagues have taken the trouble and the time to research these questions, which are very good questions, asking for information for the people of this Province, the taxpayers, are entitled to have, and ministers just treat it as a joke, I think the hon. gentleman has probably answered more questions than all the other ministers put together.

MR. TOBIN: Well if that is the case, the Minister of Public Works (Mr. Young) answered fourteen of them.

MR. NEARY:

The Minister of Public Works (Mr. Young)

answered how many?

MR. YOUNG:

Twelve or fourteen.

MR. NEARY:

That is what he did not, Mr. Speaker.

I asked the Clerk to give me copies of all the answers to questions and if we have a dozen answers now that is all we have. And this was going to be the government that was going to be open and nothing to hide, nothing to be ashamed of, give the people information, and we take them at their word and we put the questions on the Order Paper and we cannot get the answers. And it looks now, Mr. Speaker, like we have to wait until the Fall of the year to get the answers, if we get them then, because I do not expect the answers to be forthcoming, Mr. Speaker, very freely. We will have to use some other parliamentary technique to try to drag answers out of them.

MR. HODDER:

They answer only the ones

that do not embarrass them.

MR. NEARY:

That is right, they answer the

ones that they think are not embarrassing to the administration.

Mr. Speaker, they are treating the House with contempt.

MR. WARREN:

That is right.

MR. TOSIN:

Why do you not let some of

your colleagues speak.

MR. NEARY:

And I would say this now, Mr.

Speaker, I will say this now -

MR. WARREN:

He is doing a good job, In fact,

he is doing a much better job than you did.

MR. NEARY:

- that the next government of

this Province -

MR. WARREN:

The Liberal government.

MR. NEARY:

- and I do not

MR. NEARY: care what government it is, the next government of this Province should restore the authority to the floor of this House, restore the power to the House of Assembly, the power that has been stripped away in the last ten years from this hon. House. We do not know what goes on down on the eighth floor of Confederation Building.

MR. MARSHALL:

That is not true at all.

MR. WARREN:

That is right. That is true.

MR. NEARY: The hon.gentleman, who used to be such a great defender of parliament has now thrown his principles out the window. He does not have a principle left as far as parliament is concern, who used to get up here and tell us that he would lay down his life for the principles of parliament, to protect the rights of parliament. And during the last ten years, under the hon. gentleman's auspicies, the power of this House has been stripped away and shifted down to the eighth floor of Confederation Building. And the hon. gentleman has been more responsible for it than any other member of the administration.

MR. MARSHALL: Terrible. Terrible.

MR. NEARY: Yes, it is terrible. And any future government should restore the power to this House. Then maybe we would not have to ask so many questions if the estimates were debated on the floor of this House where they should be debated.

MR. TOBIN:

Your colleagues all supported the change.

MR. NEARY:

We would not have to put as many

questions on the Order Paper.

MR. WARREN:

No, we never.

MR. MARSHALL: When you were on this side you were not allowed to ask questions. You never had a Question Period. How is that for democracy?

Mr. Speaker, you know I really MR. NEARY: believe that the hon. gentleman has himself convinced that what he says is true. I think he believes what he says.

I really think he really believes what he says.
MR. CARTER:

As opposed to you As opposed to you.

If he had his way we would not MR. HODDER:

have a Question Period.

The hon. gentleman makes a MR. NEARY: statement as if it was cospel. Completely irresponsible, completely untrue, but because the hon. gentleman is such a sanctimonious individual in his thinking that he thinks all his colleagues over there, who look at him wild-syed with their mouths hanging open nearly jaw locked every day, say "That is right. The hon. gentleman is right. Because he said that he is right." The hon. gentleman should take the trouble to go down in the Legislative Library and go back over Hansard, go back - I will go back to twenty years that I am a member of this House, going on twenty-one years -

There was no Hansard in those days. AN HON. MEMBER:

- when I came into this hon. House, MR. NEARY:

Mr. Speaker, when I came into this House -

There was no Hansard in 1962. MR. CARTER:

Was there a Hansard then? MR. TOBIN:

No, there was no Hansard until 1966. MR. CARTER:

Mr. Speaker, not only was there a MR. NEARY:

Hansard when I came into the House, but the Hansard was published every day and sent out -

MR. CARTER: That is an absolutely untruth. MR. NEARY:

-sent out across the Province

by mail -

MR. CARTER:

That is a lie.

MR. NEARY:

- sent across the Province every

day.

MR. TOBIN:

That was when you had no Question

Period.

MR. NEARY:

Mr. Speaker, we just heard the

-dig out the Hansard and go back

hon. gentleman parroting what his idol just said. The hon. gentleman should go down in the legislative library -

MR. TOBIN:
MR. NEARY:

I was down.

twenty years, Mr. Speaker, go back twenty years when the hon. W.J. Browne and the hon. Jim Greene and Mr. Murphy were members of this House, they should go back and see what was happening in those days. And they should go back, the hon. gentleman who looks at the Minister of Energy (Mr. Marshall) with Calf's

eyes every day, the hon. gentleman should go back and check the transcripts of the proceedings of this House and see what the hon. gentleman used to do when he was on this side.

MR. CARTER:

A point of order.

MR. SPEAKER(AYLWARD):

A point of order. The hon. member for St. John's North.

MR. CARTER:

I cannot sit here and allow a deliberate - well certainly an error, a misrepresentation to take place. I well recall from the days when I was president of the association and used to come in here and listen to the precedings of this House, I well recall that there was no Hansard available to the Opposition at all from 1966 certainly until 1969. Now that is a fact, that is a matter of record, that can be proven. It is wrong and very misleading for the

MR. CARTER:

hon. Leader of the Opposition to
suggest that Hansard was available. Sure, the proceedings
of this House were recorded, but I suppose the only person
who had access to them was the former, former Premier.

MR. NEARY:

The hon. gentleman is just wasting

time, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER (AYLWARD): To that point of order: There is no point of order, just a difference of opinion between two hon. members.

The hon. Leader of the Opposition.

MR. NEARY: Mr. Speaker, it would be a worthwhile exercise for members to go down in the legislative library and check and see what the present Government House Leader was saying when he was on this side of the House. If they want to get an eye opener, if they want to see democracy at work they should go and check

transcripts of this House and see the hon. W.J.Browne in action, and the hon. gentleman himself in action.

I sat on that side of the House and listened to the

hon. gentleman, who had a free hand, Mr. Speaker, in this House, who came in every day and asked hard-

hitting, penetrating questions. Mr. Speaker, the hon.

gentleman had unlimited time, unlimited time:

MR. CARTER:

There was no Question

the journals and the

Period.

MR. NEARY:

MR. NEARY:

Mr. Speaker, the hon.

gentleman will admit that he could ask questions whenever he wanted. And the hon, gentleman did ask questions and did get answers. There was more freedom in the House then than there is now.

MR. CARTER:

What nonsense! What

nonsense!

MR. NEARY:

Where now we are limited

to seventy-five hours, and the estimates are farmed out to the board rooms, in those days -

MR. TOBIN:

Your colleagues all

supported that.

MR. NEARY:

They did not support it.

MR. WARREN:

No, we never did.

MR. NEARY:

Mr. Speaker, in those days,

in case hon. gentlemen do not know, there was unlimited time. There was unlimited time for debates, there was unlimited time for the estimates.

MR. MARSHALL:

Go away.

MR. NEARY:

Oh, the hon. gentleman says,

'Go away'. Mr. Speaker, the hon. gentleman was more at home over here than he is over there; he had a ball. The Hon. Government House Leader (Mr. Marshall) had a ball when he was on this side of the House.

July 1, 1982, Tape 1909, Page 2 -- apb

MR. CARTER:

At least he never

insulted a Liberal's mother.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. NEARY:

He did not? Well, Mr.

Speaker, this is Canada's Birthday and I will not go into that.

So, Mr. Speaker, we are rather disappointed with this budget. We think the Finance Minister(Dr. Collins), who is merely acting as Mr. Fix-it, should take his provincial budget down in Robin Hood Bay, use some kind of a flammable liquid and put a torch to it. At the very least, Mr. Speaker, what that would accomplish would be to give the rats that live down there some momentary warmth.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Carried! Carried!

MR. HEARN:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER (Aylward):

The hon. the member for

St. Marys - The Capes.

MR. HEARN:

Mr. Speaker, just to make

sure that all hon. members feel I am not in here to be seen and not heard, I take it upon myself to be heard when I have something worthwhile to say, which is more than I can say for all the hon. gentlemen across the way.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. HEARN:

One of the things that

perturbs me when we discuss the budget, and all the relevant and irrelevant facts that we have been discussing, is the amount of time that we waste.

The hon. Leader across

the House stated that they were in favour of keeping the House open. Well, I am sure, so are we all if we can get something done. But if we have to sit and listen

MR. L. HEARN:

for an hour to irrelevancies and accusations, we are not going to go very far. Consequently I agree with him, we might as well be out of here. However, giving credit where credit is due, I must say that I agree with several of the points he brought up, especially in relation to the fishery. I will not say I will agree wholeheartedly with all the things that he suggested , but the idea that the fishery is in a severe problem right now, Perhaps we should draw some attention to this before we depart from this hon. House. During the week, this present week when we had our holiday on Monday, I found myself out on a wharf in Portugal Cove South up to my knees in fish that could not be sold. During the few previous days in the House, the latter part of last week, we heard all kinds of talk from members opposite, we heard orations on radio and T. V. from members of the federal government, from members of the union in particular, about all they were going to do about the present trap glut. However, on Monday morning when I found myself, and the fisherman in the area found themselves, faced with the situation, they could not get rid of fish that they had, I spent until 2:00 o'clock before I could find anyone who could give me any information whatsoever on the situation. The people from the union, who were working so hard to help the fisherman, had taken the day off and the answering service at their office told you to call back after the holiday. After several phone calls I finally traced one of the representatives to his home and was told that he knew absolutely nothing about the boats they were securing to take up the extra fish. However, finally on Tuesday morning I did get an answer that there was a boat in place in Torbay which would take any excess

MR.HEARN:

fish from my area or any area, only to find out that the fishermen in Peter's River in particular and Portugal Cove South, would have to truck their fish to Torbay, try to get down in the cove , out on the wharf, rent a boat if they could, getthis fish out to the boat as well as they could and bring their own men. Consequently, that was entirely impractical. It took several phone calls to the union, who were very sympathetic I must say, and finally to the Saltfish Corporation, who are handling this whole situation; who, once again, were sympathetic but could do nothing at all to help our case, Eventually, telexes were sent to the regional representative from the federal Department of Fisheries and the Minister of Fisheries himself, in Ottawa, Mr. LeBlanc, before we could get any action whatsoever, and then all we received to date, is a sympathetic hearing and hopefully something might be done for the fishermen.

Now, here we have, Mr.Speaker, the fishermen in my area who are having an excellent fishing conson, an excellent season as far as catching fish goes -

MR. NEARY:

The first in a number of years.

MR.HEARN:

My hon. friend across the

way stated, the first one in a number of years. That is true. The past two or three years have been disastrous. This year the trap fishery, the gill net fishery, hand line jigger, whatever type they are doing - fish coming from all directions and the only problem is they cannot sell the stuff. Yesterday, fortunately or unfortunately, was an off day and many of them could not get out. If they had been able to not only would they not have been able to sell the small trap fish, neither would the gill net

MR.HEARN: fishermen and the jigger fishermen be able to sell their product because the plants that were taking the larger fish were blocked solid yesterday. Today is also an off day in most of the areas, consequently the glut established in the various plants might be slackened a little bit, which would give them a market, maybe, for the next couple of days. If something is not done they are going to find themselves again, at the end of the week, back in the same situation they were in on Monday, where in one small community 100,000 pounds of fish was thrown away. That is a lot of money to fishermen who have not made any in the past two of three years.

The catching boats or the

MR. HEARN:

collector boats, whatever we want to call them, are in place. Two of them are established in Torbay. This is of great benefit to the fishermen of St. John's East, but is of no benefit whatsoever to the people up the Shore. One of the reasons that I was given why boats were not put into place up there was simply because there were processing plants in the area and they did not want to walk on the corns of processing plants. Now, by bringing the boats in at all, they are walking on the corns of processing plants, as certainly, my friend, the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan) knows and the President of the Business Association, Mr. Wells, certainly knows. They are not in favour of that at all, the various plants, simply because they can see it as a detriment to their own business, not knowing and not caring that the fishermen are stuck with fish they cannot sell and, consequently, that fish is not going to be there in three weeks time or four weeks time when their plants can take the product.

So unfortunately, what the federal government seems to be very much unaware of is that the fish plant in Trepassey, which was the one they were referring to, does not take any inshore fish at all, it is strictly supplied by the offshore draggers and kept going year-round, 615 people working there now double shift. They cannot touch the inshore fish. Consequently, a collector boat would not interfere with that plant whatsoever. The fishermen in the area of Portugal Cove South, for instance, sell fish to the very plants that the

people from Torbay and MR. HEARN: Bauline and the other areas sell to, the O'Brien group in the Witless Bay, Bay Bulls, Tor's Cove area. So apparently, the federal people are unaware, really, of what goes on at the local scene. This is the problem, Mr. Speaker, that the hierarchies in the Department of Fisheries, especially in the federal Department of Fisheries, are entirely unaware of what is going on at the local level. The union people, to a certain extent, several of them, are equally unaware. However, it is up to us, through the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan), my colleague here, and the members of the House, each and every one, especially those of us representing fishing districts, not to be satisfied until we bring these problems to the attention of everyone, provincially, federally, union people, etc., to make sure it does not occur this year.

One of the fishermen on the wharf in Portugal Cove South on Monday morning came up to me and said, 'We know there will not be too much done this year. Hopefully, something will be done better than it is being done right now. But for heaven's sake, have something in place for next year.' And here is where I ask my hon. colleagues from both sides of the House to put as much pressure as they can on all parties concerned to make sure that something is in place for next year, and, if we are faced with the present situation, that at least there are facilities available that can collect and eventually release to the various plants the fish that is now going over the wharf.

MR. HEARN:

Fishermen who have not made money in two or three years, to think that this year they can bring in all kinds of fish and see it thrown away, it is a disgrace, it is a heartrending situation. And when you grow up in a boat and you know what it is like to be a fisherman and you see these people, you know, throwing dollars away, it is pretty hard to stand up here and say we can do nothing about it, because I am sure, Mr. Speaker, that we can do something about it.

The hon. Premier in an address some time ago said all problems in relation to the fishery can be handled if we have determination enough. I say, Mr. Speaker, I have determination enough to try to do something about it and I am sure my colleagues on both sides of the House, and especially, as I mentioned, those from fishing districts, have determination enough to do something about it. And we should not sit by and see this year go by, next year arrive, with nothing better in place than we have right now. Because the whole situation is a very, very sickening one, and unless we do our job and stand up for the rights of the fisherman, we are going to be here next year again talking about trivialities, as we have heard the Leader of the Opposition talk about all day, and doing absolutely nothing about the problems that concern us.

Thank you.

MR. SPEAKER (Aylward): The hon. Minister of Fisheries.

MR. MORGAN: Mr. Speaker, I want to commend the last speaker for his comments. I think he said more in ten minutes than was said in approximately an hour by the Opposition Leader, or the Acting Leader of the Opposition in his speech.

MR. MORGAN: You know, Mr. Speaker, it seems that whenever we have problems in the economy of Newfoundland, and we have had some problems, we have problems across the country of Canada, the Opposition Party seem to forget what is happening across the country of Canada. And the fact is that right now we have 1.25 million Canadians unemployed,

MR. MORGAN: but did we see any positive action to stimulate the economy from the most recent budget brought down in Ottawa a few nights ago? Sure we saw a \$500 million make work programme for all across the country, \$500 million. But there are 1.25 million Canadians unemployed. That means approximately a little less than \$500 per Canadian unemployed.

Mr. Speaker, the fact is that the federal government is not dealing with the economic problems; they are not dealing with the economic problems of Western Canada, they are not dealing with the economic problems of Eastern Canada. And one of the major problems in Eastern Canada is the problem associated with the most important industry we have, the fishing industry, not only in Newfoundland. To listen to the Opposition spokesman on fisheries and the Leader of the Opposition you would get the impression that there are no problems in the fishing industry only in Newfoundland. Well, why are there major plants closed right now in P.E.I? Why are there major plants closed in New Brunswick? Why is it that plants right now, for example, are closed over in Nova Scotia? Is it because there is only a problem in the fishing industry in Newfoundland? We see blamed the present Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan) for all the problems. When things go wrong I get all the blame, when things go good Mr. LeBlanc in Ottawa gets all the credit.

Well, Mr. Speaker, let us be realistic, let us be logical, let us deal with the problems in a realistic way. I went to Ottawa for meetings in the last couple of days thinking in a very positive way that Mr. MacEachen, who is an Atlantic Canadian, Mr. MacEachen, the Minister of Finance who is from Atlantic Canada, who works in conjunction with Mr. Rompkey from Atlantic Canada, the Newfoundland,

mr. MORGAN:

rep in the federal

cabinet, with Mr. Regan, and a minister from P.E.I.

and other ministers, including Mr. LeBlanc who is

also from the Eastern part of Canada, expecting that

surely, surely Atlantic Canada's most important

industry, the fishing industry that last year brought

in \$430 million to our economy in Newfoundland, that

finds itself in somewhat of a crisis, not because of

management or bad management by the Newfoundland

government, primarily because the companies cannot

carry inventories of fish because of the high interest

rate policy of the federal government —

MR. PATTERSON:

Hear, hear!

MR. MORGAN:

That is one of the major

reasons. Sure they can look at the weak economy in the U.S.A., and markets are somewhat weak, but the picture is that the federal government's policies are affecting the most important industry in Atlantic Canada. And surely, I said to myself, Mr. MacEachen is going to deal, is going to adequately deal with the problems we are having in the most important industry in his region he stands for in the House of Commons.

MR. MORGAN:

But, Mr. Speaker, all we have heard is the fact that the fishermen are going to have their income tax collected at source after a certain date.

That is one one major thing for the fishermen. The other possibility is that by the end of September, after the head of the exchange, the Montreal Excahnge, now chairman of the committee — and many businessmen across the country are going to get together and try to find ways to recommend, make recommendations to the federal government as to how to simulate the economy and lower interest rates, maybe then Mr. MacEachen and Mr. LeBlanc and others will arrange to have some kind of reduced interest charges to fishermen and to those small businesses carrying on operations in the fishing industry.

Yet, at the same time, clearly brought out in the Budget in Ottawa, clearly brought out, was the fact that they were going to spend \$200 million for special assistance. Two hundred million is not peanuts - \$500 million across the country - but a specific \$200 million for a certain industry in Canada, a certain industry. But it was not the fishing industry, it was the agricultural industry. As important as it is to the overall economy of Canada, it was because their voices are stronger in the Western part of the country.

MR. TULK: They have a better provincial government.

MR. MORGAN:

Their voices are stronger.

Two hundred million dollars for farmers. And I quote the federal Budget, Mr. MacEachen, standing as nice as he was, and as eloquent as he was says, "For those farmers who find themselves in financial difficulty, we will put forward a \$200 million programme to the Farm Credit Corporation".

"And a further \$100 million to overcome their financial difficulties". But was there any special mention of the fishermen? Was there any special mention of the fishing industry, despite the fact that

MR. MORGAN:

they have had a Federal

Government Task Force out there working for the last three months, or three and a half months? Oh, they have been working. They have been meeting with councils, and meeting with development associations, meeting with the provincial government, meeting with the industry, meeting with the union, gathering all possible information on the problems that exist. If they are not aware of the problems that exist in the fishing industry by this time, after three and a half months, when are they going to be aware?

and others.

MR. MORGAN: The fact is they are aware, Mr. Speaker. And I have to say it today in all sincerity, I have no other conclusion to draw, but because the federal level of government is aware of the problems we are having in some of our major industries, including the fishing industry, and aware of the economic problems in Atlantic Canada involving transportation, involving the manufacturing sector and the need for a stimulation in creation of additional new jobs there is no other conclusion, Mr. Speaker, except to say they do not care about what is happening in Atlantic Canada because there is no political clout in Atlantic Canada, there is no clout here. We have no clout in the House of Commons, we have no clout in the system across Canada as part of our Confederation. And why spend millions of dollars to help a few thousand Newfoundland fishermen? Why spend millions of dollars to help an ailing industry because it is only important to the little Province of Newfoundland, that has seven seats in the House of Commons? What is seven seats in the House of Commons, what is it? That is the kind of questions posed by the Prime Minister

The prime example, Mr. Speaker, is today. The Leader of the Opposition (Mr. Neary) stands in his place and says, Oh, the Minister of Fisheries from NewFoundland is incompetent, he cannot do his job in managing the fisheries. And we have been saying, the Premier and ministers, including myself, we have little or no say over the fishing industry. Despite the fact that it is our most important industry, we have little or not say over the policies developed, formulated, or implemented on the fishing industry. And today the Leader of the Opposition, and I say this sincerely is in a very serious bind. Because a few weeks ago we saw the Kirby

MR. MORGAN:

Task Force deal with a major
problem in the district of the Strait of Belle Isle, in the
Strait. The member is not in his seat, but I am convinced
that that member, the former Leader of the Liberal Party
in Newfoundland, had more influence in dealing with that
problem, in Ottawa, than the present acting Leader of the
Opposition (Mr. Neary), the in-House leader. And he is finding
himself now in a very bad political bind, because major
fish plants in two parts of his riding, the major fish plant
in Port aux Basques and the fish plant in Rose Blanche, are
on the verge of bankruptcy.

MR. J. MORGAN: They are in serious financial difficulty. But they have made a proposal for assistance. In this case not only to us but also to Mr. Kirby's Task Force, the federal level of government. They are asking the federal government for millions of dollars, they are asking the Newfoundland Government for millions of dollars and they are asking the banks, in the middle, for additional millions of dollars, from their bankers. And we are saying, there is no way we can carry that burden as a government, we just cannot carry that burden alone to provide all the millions of dollars to keep the fish plants going in the Leader of the Opposition's (Mr. S. Neary) district in Rose Blanche and Port aux Basques and up on the Strait, in Flower's Cove, Anchor Point and Cow Head. And we are asking the federal government, 'Show the same kind of preference, or same kind of priority as you did for St. Anthony. Was it because St. Anthony is in the district of a federal minister? Is that the only reason why you move into open a plant? Are not the people down in Port aux Basque just as important, just as good Canadians, just as deserving to have a job in a fish plant?' That is all we are saying. And the hon. the Leader of the Opposition is in a real political bind, because the federal task force, Mr. Kirby, Mr. Trudeau's right-hand man, who heads that task force, has shown almost contempt for that proposal, and has left Mr. Hardy, a good Newfoundlander, a good local businessman. one of the best, but because of circumstances in the market place and nigh interest rates, has found himself in financial difficulties and is merely asking the federal government for some help. And I saying to the hon. gentlemen I say to them sincerely, there is no point in looking at Morgan the Minister of Fisheries, and blaming it all on him and labeling him as incompetent. I am saying to the hon, gentleman, why does he

MR. J. MORGAN:

not ask Mr. Kirby? Why does

the hon. gentleman not ask Mr. Kirby and Mr. LaBlanc, 'Mr.

LaBlanc and Mr. Kirby, the people out in Port aux Basque, in

my district -'

I am talking as if I were the hon. gentleman asking the question - 'They deserve work in that plant, why does not the Kirby Task Force give the same kind of consideration to the requests for this plant and the plant down in Rose Blanche,' in the hon. gentleman's district, 'as they gave St. Anthony in getting the plants re-opened and overcoming the financial problems?' And the hon. gentleman is realizing what I am saying is true. That is why he is no longer in his seat. There is no point in blaming the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan) provincially for all the problems we have in the lishing industry. The fact is, Mr. Speaker, that at a time in our history, when, I guess, we have gone

MR. MORGAN: through, in the last two and one-half years, the most serious problems we have ever encountered in the fishing industry, some of them because of federal government policies, the high interest rate in particular, others because of the weak economy down in the U.S. and weaker markets, others because of the consumer trend to move away from the fresh frozen fish products to fresh fish products and to more poultry products in the market place because of consumer prices, a number of factors, the problem is that this little Province of 500,000 people, with limited revenue to help the resource based industries and indeed, the Province of Nova Scotia, with a fishing industry, that is also important - we expect the government that controls that industry - what did we see recently, Mr. Speaker? We saw the federal government provide \$100 million to the oil industry to help one company, the company Dome of Canada. They arranged a special loan through Petro-Canada to help an oil industry, but can they find a few million dollars to help the fishing industry, Mr. Speaker? Can they find that? That is what is of concern to me. The fact is that as provincial Minister of Fisheries, and I say it after three years of being in the portfolio - and I have been in four or five portfolios now - it is the most frustrating position ever to be in because we have no control in changing policies because the control is in Ottawa. They control all parts of the fishery that are important.

Someone said a few days ago, 'Oh, well, what is the Newfoundland Government doing about marketing?' So we started on a marketing campaign two years ago. We are selling more fish now

MR. MORGAN: in markets outside of
Atlantic Canada than ever before. All of it is not
due to the effort of the Newfoundland Government
but most of it is, in working with the companies.
But when the Minister of Fisheries travels with
delegations and with the companies from Newfoundland,
whether it be in the States or in Europe, we get
criticized for doing that. What do we see the federal
government doing? Do we see any effort on the part of
Mr. LeBlanc to obtain markets for those companies who
cannot sell their fish? No, we do not. But we see
on the other hand, a few days ago the federal minister
stands in his place and says the Newfoundland minister
is all wrong.

MR. MORGAN

July 1, 1982

He was answering

questions from Mr. McGrath, the Newfoundland member of Parliament, who is concerned about fisheries, the former Minister of Fisheries for all of Canada, He said, 'Mr. LeBlanc, is it true that you have an agreement with Spain to take 5,000 metric tons of cod from the Newfoundland fishermen and give it to Spanish fishermen'? Mr. LeBlanc gets on his feet and he says, 'Oh, no, we have no agreement with Spain. The Newfoundland minister is all wrong, I do not know what he is talking about. There are negotiations ongoing, but we have no agreement'.

Mr. Speaker, technically that minister was right. He was not lying, but he misled the House of Commons, because three days before -

MR. WARREN:

That is not true.

- the Prime Minister of our MR. MORGAN: country sat down in Spain with officials of the Spanish government and he told them that we will give you, provide you, 5,000 metric tons of cod from the Coast of Newfoundland, from the quota allocated to the inshore fishermen because he felt the inshore fishermen would not catch that quota this year. That is what happened. Now there is no agreement signed. Mr. LeBlanc did not come in and sign the agreement that says yes, and the Spanish negotiator says, yes, we will sign. He is right, we did not sign the agreement as a country, but the Prime Minister gave away 5,000 tons of cod. At the same time, by the end of September of this year, like last year and every other year, we will have plants along the Northeast Coast of Newfoundland including Fogo, including Twillingate, including Bonavista North, including Bonavista, Catalina, La Scie and on we go along that coast, we will have plants without fish because the federal government controls this

MR. MORGAN: part. We have been saying for years, You have got to have fish taken from the offshore and landed in those plants that normally would be closed the end of September. And how can you have a fish company making money if they put in seven and a half to eight to nine and a half millions of dollars in a fish plant and the plant is closed down at the end of September or the middle of October? You cannot make money that way. If you are going to have an operation of that nature on a seasonal basis, with millions of dollars of overhead and interest to pay on the money you borrowed to build the plant, you just cannot make money. And all we have been saying to Mr. LeBlanc is, Catch some of that fish from the offshore, do not give it away to the foreign countries, do not give it to the Russians, do not give it to the Japanese, do not give it to the Spanish, do not give it to anyone outside of Canada

MR. MORGAN: when you have plants closed in this Province that need jobs for Newfoundlanders, and need a means of economic return for the companies who own these plants.

Now that is federal government policy. Jim Morgan, or the Premier, or anybody else has no control over that. We have no control over how much fish Newfoundland fishermen can catch. We have no control -

MR. NEARY: You are in trouble 'Jim'.

MR. MORGAN:

- of how many boats can go in the water.

At the same time, right now the people on the Labrador Coast are stopped from getting better boats. Why are they stopped from getting better boats? Because, Mr. LeBlanc said, "There are too many boats in the fishing industry." And when I travel that coast and I see those fishermen fishing in twenty—two foot boats with little outport motors, when they want to build a longliner — they apply for a licence and back comes a letter to me, through my office passed on to the fishermen from Mr. LeBlanc saying, "I am sorry, there is a freeze on. There is a freeze on. We will not allow any new entrants into the fishing industry. We cannot let you move ahead to a larger boat. You must carry on fishing in a twenty—two foot boat or a twenty—five foot boat." How unfair a policy is that?

But do we hear the hon. gentlemen over there stand on their feet and complain about that?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Sure, sure!

MR. MORGAN: We do not hear it in the House of Assembly, Mr. Speaker. Well, that means to say the Liberal Party in Newfoundland has no influence on the Liberal Party in Ottawa. Because if they are against these policies, if the Liberal Party of the Province is against these policies that are so bad for the fishing industry in Newfoundland, if they are opposed to them, that means that they have no

MR. MORGAN: influence with the ministers in Ottawa in changing these decisions.

HR. ANDREWS:

In their own party.

MR. MORGAN:

That is even worse again.

They cannot get their own party to change the bad policies. How about the policy of giving the Russians 103,000 metric tons of fish.

MR. ANDREWS:

Oh, my God!

MR. MORGAN:

How about that policy?

Now I must say the Opposition

did support the government on that one, but still what did we see? Six weeks after that we saw the Federal Minister sit down through Mr. Campbell in his department, Tony Campbell, Chief Negotiator, a good civil servant - but he likes to give away our fish for some reason, he has been doing it every year for the last three or four years.

MR. STAGG:

He has got friends in Europe.

MR. MORGAN:

He sits down with Poland.

MR. SIMMS:

Eastern Europe.

MR. MORGAN:

After the Opposition spokesmen

standing up and saying yes, unanimously in the House of Assembly of Newfoundland, we want the Federal Minister to stop giving away our fish, it is important to our fishermen, important to our fishing industry, we support the Minister of Fisheries and the Newfoundland Government, stop giving away our fish, What happens?

MR. J. MORGAN:

No longer than three and a half weeks after that we see a story from Ottawa on CP, Canadian Press, with a federal minister sitting down now negotiating with another country, with Spain. Sitting down with Poland, rather, Spain came after, Poland three and-a-half weeks after the House of Assembly passed a motion unanimously condemning Ottawa, which leaves a clear impression that the Newfoundland Liberal Party, dospite the fact they are of the same stripe as the Liberal Party - obviously, the party in Ottawa is of the same stripe, but they have no influence in changing decisions and changing policies of that government.

Now, Mr. Speaker, the hon. the Leader of the Opposition (Mr. S. Neary) said that we are going to have chaos in the fishing industry.

MR. S. NEARY:

We have it now. Not we are going

to have it.

MR. MORGAN:

Mr. Speaker, unless we see a

change of attitude on behalf of the T. J. Hardy operations over in the Leader of the Opposition's district, we are going to have chaos over in Port aux Basques. We will have chaos in Rose Blanche. And I am saying, Mr. Speaker, that - the hon. gentleman is now back in his seat - if he thinks there is going to be chaos, let him influence his colleagues in Ottawa, the minister up there and Mr. Kirby, and get some action to resolve a problem in his cwn riding.

MR. STAGG:

Catch Mr. Rompkey before he

leaves the building.

MR. MORGAN: There is not only going to be choas in the fishing industry, there is going to be choas in this country. Why is there going to be choas in this country? Because, Mr. Speaker, the stock market is tumbling everyday, number one; the dollar is going down everyday, number two; interest rates are going to go up, number three. And what do we have? We have a Government in Ottawa that has lost all

Mr. Speaker, the fact is just

MR. MORGAN: confidence of the people. What did you hear in the last few days? You hear the Economic Council of Canada condemning federal government policies on the economy. You hear the Manufactures Association of Canada condemning the policies of the federal government on economic matters. And I can go on. You hear the Small Business Enterprise Association condemning the federal government policy on economic matters.

a few days ago the Federal Business Development Bank, which loans money to thousands of small companies across Canada, confirmed that they are going to lose approximately \$100 million this year. And their comment was made nationally, saying that there are companies now which have been seventy-five years in business - not new ventures, it is not new venture capitol, they were stable companies over the years, as long as seventy-five years, and are now finding themselves in financial difficulty and on the brink of bankruptcy! And why is this, Mr. Speaker? Is it only happening in Newfoundland? The fact is that in this debate, and I want to emphasis this - and the members of the Opposition do not want to hear these things, but they are fact.

July 1, 1982, Tape 1922, Page 1 -- apb

MR. TULK:

I am listening.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Oh, oh!

MR. MORGAN:

At a time when the Minister

of Finance (Mr. MacEachen) in Ottawa, Mr. Speaker, came forward, a few days ago and said, Oh, I was all wrong, Canadians, ladies and gentlemen across Canada - through the television screens - I was all wrong, is what he was saying, I thought we were going to have a \$10 billion deficit for all of Canada. My estimation was, oh, a \$10.5 billion deficit for the Government of Canada. What do we find, Mr. Speaker? We now find it is going to go to \$19.5 billion.

MR. ANDREWS:

\$19.6 billion. Shame!

Shame!

MR. MORGAN:

\$19.5 billion deficit. At

a time when Ottawa, at a time when the national government of our country cannot control deficit spending, cannot control an out of control, double what was projected last Fall, in the budget last Fall, what does the present Minister of Finance (Dr. Collins) in Newfoundland do? What does he do? Is he bringing in a large deficit in his budget?

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

No.

MR. MORGAN:

Despite the fact that we do not have the revenues to get the sources to do things with, financial sources, despite the fact we do not have that industrialized base to get the revenue from, despite the fact we have natural resources flowing away, fish stocks being given away, hydro power we are not getting revenue from, our offshore resources, oil and gas, not being developed, despite all these things we have no revenue from, what does the present Minister of Finance do? He does not bring in a budget with a deficit.

No deficit. Yet, the MR. MORGAN: Government of Canada is saying to us in Newfoundland, Look, Mr. Minister of Tourism (Mr. Winsor) , look, Mr. Minister of Forestry (Mr. Power), look, Mr. Minister of Energy (Mr. Marshall), and responsible for hydro, look, Mr. Minister of Development (Mr. Windsor), we know you have problems down in Newfoundland, we know you have problems with your resource based industries, we know you are going to have problems, but we are counting on the local Liberal Party in Newfoundland to blame it all on you fellows. We are counting on that. The more they can blame it on you fellows, the local government, the more we are off the hook in Ottawa, so why should we do anything? We will let you bear the brunt of all the problems in the fishing industry. We will let the Newfoundland Minister of Fisheries bail out the fishing companies as he has done in the past three or four months and get the plants opened,

MR. TULK:

MR. MORGAN: we will let the Minister of Fisheries go out and find necessary markets down in the States and over in Europe and over in Japan, we will let the Minister of Fisheries nail the companies and say, 'Get your act together, change your management, make your plants more efficient, get your co-ordination in the market place: Let the Newfoundland Government do all that. Let them do that. And in the meantime, give them all the blame for all the ills in the economy. Mr. Speaker, the fact is that the Liberal Party in Newfoundland, if they are sincere as Newfoundlanders, and I am sure they are sincere Newfoundlanders, I would not doubt that, any one of them - we have to stand and recognize that unless we get a change in direction from the people making the decisions on our economy in Ottawa, yes, indeed, we are going to have problems in the fishing industry, we are going to have problems in the forest industry, we are going to have problems in tourism, we are going to have problems in all matters relating to the economy.

MR. NEARY: The only problem we have is with you.

MR. MORGAN:

Now, there he goes again,

Mr. Speaker. The hon. gentleman who is going to have

a plant possibly close in his district in the next short

while, according to the information we have -

That is your problem.

MR. MORGAN:

He is saying, 'Oh, it is the Newfoundland minister's problem. Yet the same member realizes he is now in a bind because Mr. Kirby is not showing him the same kind of consideration that he showed the member for the Strait. The member for the Strait (Mr. Roberts) has more influence. He is that brilliant

MR. MORGAN: young lawyer from Newfoundland,
Mr. Kirby knows Mr. - I should not say his name, because he is
a member, we all know who he is talking about. Mr. Kirby had
more respect for that member than he has for the member for
LaPoile (Mr. Neary).

MR. ANDREWS:

He should believe it.

MR. MORGAN: That is right. So, Mr. Kirby comes in and deals with the member for the Strait (Mr. Roberts), and resolves the problem up in St. Anthony, but just casually pushes the other aside, which is quite unfair to the member for the area, quite unfair to the workers in the plant, quite unfair to the fishermen in the area. Why give preference to one area of the Province and not the other?

MR. STAGG: He gave it (inaudible) to the people of Pox Island River.

MR. MORGAN:

So, Mr. Speaker, I am saying that it is time for all members of the House, the Opposition in particular, to recognize that if we are going to have a vibrant fishing industry, or a vibrant tourism industry, or a vibrant forestry industry, ot agriculture, or any other part of our resource based industries, we are going to have to get a change of attitude, a change of policy, a change of direction from the government that calls the shots on most of these industries, and I am talking about the government in Ottawa, Mr. Speaker. And I sincerely hope that they will not try to continue in the future to blame, when we have problems, blame them all on this government here, and when suddenly something is good, to give the credit to Ottawa, because

MR. MORGAN:

the fact is, Mr. Speaker,

that it is time, it is time for Ottawa to recognize that we should be all working together, If not we are going to have problems in our little Province of Newfoundland and I think we should all work to overcome them.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. SPEAKER (Russell):

The hon. member for Fogo.

MR. TULK:

Mr. Speaker, I had not

really intended to participate in the debate because most of— SOME HON. MEMBERS: Oh, oh!

MR. TULK:

I would like

for the muppets to start off, to be quiet. Would the muppets quiet down on that side. Mr. Speaker, otherwise we are going to have to bring Kermit back into the House again. Mr. Speaker, as I was saying, I had not intended to speak in this debate but after the litany of garbage that we have just heard come from the Minister of Fisheries' (Mr. Morgan) mouth, I could not resist the temptation. The minister, rather that being the Minister of Fisheries for Newfoundland I think, should go Disneyland and write fiction. That is the best place I can see to put this minister.

But before I get into what the Provincial Minister of Fisheries had to say, I want to first of all comment on what the member for St. Mary's - The Capes (Mr. Hearn) had to say. Mr. Speaker, the other day, I think, in a Private Members Resolution, the member spoke of a group of fishermen who were in the gallery and he made the point that we should indeed, perhaps be listening to what some of those fishermen were saying and perhaps they knew more than all of us in this House. Perhaps he is right. I know that some of the fishermen in this Province should be consulted far more than they are. But let me point out

MR. TULK: the attitude of his own government though with regards to fishermen in this

Province. I sat in this House, my first year in this House, when, in 1979, we had one of the biggest Fisheries Loan Board fiascoes that was ever in this Province. And what was the result of that? The result was that the present Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan) put the boots to three fishermen who were on the Fisheries Loan Board, and replaced them with somebody else, and tried to lay all the blame at their door.

MR. WARREN:

Hear, hear!

MR. TULK:

So, so much for that, Mr.

Speaker. Now, Mr. Speaker, the member for St. Mary's - The Capes (Mr. Hearn) spoke of a glut in his district, spoke of the tremendous problems in his

MR. TULK:

district was selling fish. He

spoke of the tremendous feelings that he has towards that.

Again I have no doubt at all about his sincerity and about

the fact that he does feel exactly as he says. But I

have to point out - and I think he said this, I think the

member for St. Mary's-The Capes (Mr. Hearn) said this
MR. SPEAKER (RUSSELL): Order, please!

If I could just interrupt the

hon. member for one moment -

MR. TULK:

Sure.

 $\underline{\text{MR. SPEAKER:}}$ - to advise the House that we do not have any questions for the Late Show this afternoon.

The hon. member for Fogo.

MR. TULK: Mr. Speaker, the member for St.

Mary's-The Capes (Mr. Hearn) must realize that the essential problem with the selling of this fish in St. Mary's, as it is around this coast, is a problem of marketing. There is no other way to look at it. And that has to squarely lie on the shoulders of the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan) in this Province.

MR. WARREN: Hear, hear! Hear, hear! Right on!

MR. TULK:

He cannot look at Romeo LaBlanc,

Bill Rompkey, Mr. Kirby, the member for the Strait of

Belle Isle (Mr. Roberts), the member for LaPoile (Mr. Neary),

the member for Fogo (Mr. Tulk) or anybody else in this

country and blame that problem on them, it is a problem

for the provincial Minister of Fisheries.

Mr. Speaker, I said the other day, and I will repeat it, that the Minister of Fisheries should join the Harlem Globe Trotters, because, Mr. Speaker, that is exactly what he is. He trots around this globe, he waltzes around this globe, Mr. Speaker. That is exactly what he does, and that is what he should be rather than being the Minister of Fisheries.

MR. STAGG:

The hon. two left feet.

MR. TULK:

Now would the toddler from the

Cabinet, the man who is trying to crawl into the Cabinet over there, please be quiet. I am speaking of the member for Stephenville (Mr. Stagg).

Now, Mr. Speaker, I said I

have no doubt that the member for St. Mary's-The Capes (Mr. Hearn) was very sincere in what he said. But somehow he tried to skate around the problem. He again came back and said, or hinted at least, that we must blame some of this on the federal government. But I suspect that he really wanted to lay the blame where it belonged, and, as I said, that is on the Minister of Fisheries' shoulders.

MR. WARREN: And he did not like to say it because it would hurt.

MR. TULK:

I agree with him, Mr. Speaker, that it is strange that in a world dying for food, where people are starving to death, our provincial Minister of Fisheries cannot sell our fish. That is strange.

MR. WARREN:

It is unusual, most unusual.

MR. TULK:

Mr. Speaker, I want to come back

to what the

MR. STAGG:

Well he cannot sell it if he

cannot get it. Your boys are giving it away.

MR. WARREN:

Well there were 100,000 pounds

thrown away the other day.

MR. TULK:

Your own member stood in 100,000

pounds, he says, on a wharf in Portugal Cove South, I

believe it was.

MR. WARREN: Yes, that is right. You listen to your honourable member, boy.

MR. TULK:

Listen to your member rather than

yapping off over there.

MR. WARREN:

That is right.

MR TULK:

MR. TULK: Mr. Speaker, I want to come to some of the comments made by the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan). He got up in this House - it is unbelievable, it is unbelievable that this minister could stand up in this House and talk about stimulation for the fishery from the federal budget, stimulation for the fishery from the federal budget. He says there was none. He talked about interest rates for fishermen, he said they may come down providing a group of advisors tell the Federal Minister of Finance (Mr. MacEchen) that they will come down. Well, Mr. Speaker, his budget, this government; s budget for fisheries had a great stimulus for the Newfoundland fishery. It increased interest on loans from the Fisheries Loan Board from 8 to 12 per cent. That is a little bit like the pot calling the kettle smut or black, Mr. Speaker. Whereas the federal government, he says, does not mention anything, does not stimulate the fishery, his budget stimulated it downward by raising the rate of interest on loans from the Fisheries Loan Board 50 per cent.

 $\underline{\text{MR. WARREN}}$: Well, what about their budget

compared to last year, is it more or what?

MR TULK: Their budget compared to last year. Let us look at that one. It is lower. Let us look at last year's budget. What they did in their last year's budget, budgeted \$19 million for fisheries -

MR. WARREN: That was last year was it?

in 1981-82. Mr. Speaker, he was still on his favourite tactic of attacking the federal government. The minister of Fisheries (Mr.Morgan) has two defences. Anytime that he cannot handle the problems at his doorstep he has two defences, he will either blame his problems on the federal government or he

- and spent \$16 million

MR. TULK: is going to attack the media, C.B.C., or attack somebody in sight. He has to attack to cover up his own - as the member for LaPoile (Mr. Neary) said - incompetence, incompetence.

MR. NEARY:

Right on.

MR. TULK: In one part of his speech today he talks about LeBlanc getting the credit, yesterday he was talking about LeBlanc having jurisdiction, in another part of his speech he was talking about LeBlanc having jurisdiction. Now, Mr. Speaker, where is the logic of his arguments? Surely if the man has jurisdiction and something happens, then he should get credit. Unlike this provincial minister, the federal minister knows how to handle his job and should indeed get some credit.

MR. WARREN:

Hear, hear! Hear, hear! More power

to him.

MR. TULK: Mr. Speaker, I am not going to go through all of the things that the provincial minister said, but the truth of the matter is though,

MR. TULK:

that the provincial

minister is still sitting over there- every time
somebody brings up a fish plant closing in this
Province, the provincial minister lays it at the doors of
Mr. LeBlanc, and Mr. Kirby and, somehow or another,
drags the member for the Strait of Belle Isle
(Mr. Roberts) in. Now, Mr. Speaker, we all know what
happened in St. Anthony.

MR. WARREN: That is right, Tell the story.

Tell the story, because some of them will forget about it.

MR. TULK: We all know what happened in St.

Anthony. This minister stood in this House and said to the member for the Strait of Belle Isle, 'Why do you not go ask your federal colleagues to put some money

into St. Anthony?'

MR. NEARY:

Right on!

MR. WARREN:

That is what he said, is it?

MR. TULK:

Yes. Why do you not go ask, you?

He is asking, in other words, the member for the Strait

of Belle Isle to be the Minister of Fisheries.

MR. NEARY:

Which he did.

MR. TULK: Why do you not go ask your federal counterparts, the federal Liberals for money to put into St. Anthony? I suspect, Mr. Speaker, I do not know, I have not asked the member for the Strait of Belle Isle, but I suspect that is exactly what he did.

MR. WARREN: But did he say he was not going to give them a licence, or what?

MR. TULK:

Well hold on now, hold on.

MR. WARREN:

Well, tell us all of it, see.

But the point was that this MR. TULK: provincial minister did not expect the federal government to put any money in, so he could embarrass both of them. And all at once he realizes, yes, they have done it. MR. NEARY: Now he going to take his papers and run.

MR. TULK: They have done it. Oh, yes, they have put the money in. And we see this eerie press conference by the Premier- they looked in a state of shock, The Premier and the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan). This eerie press conference was called We are not going to give them a licence. We might not give them a licence, threatening, because, they did it without asking us. The week before this minister was standing in this House, saying, Go to the federal government. Well, Mr. Speaker, we saw what happened. He knew, the Premier knew, the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan) knew that that plant was going to be opened anyway. So they are still smarting from that, Mr. Speaker, they are still smarting from that little one.

MR. WARREN: And the people of St. Anthony are still working. The Premier made a blunder. MR. TULK: The other point that the provincial minister brought out was that the federal government is totally tied up with oil. He spoke of Dome Petroleum, the federal government he said, had put \$100 million, had guaranteed \$100 million for Dome Petroleum. Now, Mr. Speaker, is that not complete irony? As I said before, is that not the pot calling the kettle smut. The problems that we are facing in the fishery today, Mr. Speaker, are a result, more than anything perhaps, of this government, this Premier being so involved in oil, being so tied up with oil that they did

Tape No. 1927

July 1, 1982

RA - 3

not even know that there was a fishery MR. TULK: in this Province until they woke up one fine morning to find that indeed there was fish swimming around the shores of Newfoundland and that it could not be sold.

Hear, hear! Well said, Well said. MR. WARREN:

MR. TULK: While oil was running out of their

ears, Mr. Speaker, the fishery was falling down around them.

MR. WARREN: That is right, yes.

MR. TULK: That is it.

MR. WARREN: Did not know anything about codliver

oil at all.

MR. TULK: Mr. Speaker, the provincial minister -

MR. WARREN: Knew nothing about codliver oil at all.

MR. TULK: - the provincial minister-

MR. STAGG: Do not take security just by

looking at the people in the House, look at the people in the gallery when you say those foolish things.

 $\underline{\mathtt{MR.\ TULK:}}$ There is a wind storm on again and it is coming from Stephenville. There is a wind storm on. More rainstorms.

MR. STAGG: You are a disgrace.

MR. WARREN: He is not a nuisance like you anyhow.

MR. TULK: I wish the Premier would put him in

the Cabinet, so he would be quiet.

MR. WARREN: No, he would never be quiet.

MR. TULK: I would like for him to do that

so he would at last be satisfied. Mr. Speaker, he has this terrible habit of trying to satisfy the Premier by bickering across the House. He hopes the Premier will eventually look at him and say 'Come on in'. Come on down to the private dining room. Join us.

MR. WARREN: Yes, and bring you oil can with you.

MR. TULK: Mr. Speaker, I do not believe he is

Cabinet material myself. Mr. Speaker, the minister spoke of the Prime Minister and what is commonly becoming known as the Spanish quota, the 5,000 tons of Northern Cod. Mr. Speaker, let me tell the provincial minister that we do not agree, and we have said this almost everyday in this House during this session, that we do not agree that you should be giving away cod

MR. TULK: or any other kind of fish from Newfoundland, let me repeat that, or any other kind of fish, we do not agree.

MR. STAGG:

Denounce your federal counterparts.

MR.TULK:

But, I want to point out something

to the provincial minister, that if indeed the inshore quota is caught this year, if indeed it is, then, Mr. Speaker, it is going to be doubtful, it is very doubtful whether the fishermen will be able to sell it or not. And that, Mr. Speaker, again has to come back to the problem mentioned by the member for St. Mary's - The Capes (Mr. Hearn), a problem with marketing, and that problem has to lie squarely in that chair over there called, the Minister of Fisheries.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. TULK:

It cannot lie anywhere else.

MR. NEARY:

He should be removed.

MR. TULK:

He also made another point, one other

point,

MR. TULK:

He points across the House at the Opposition and he says, "The Liberal Party of Newfoundland has no influence with the Liberal Party in Ottawa".

MR. WARREN:

Oh, how untrue! How untrue!

MR. ANDREWS:

Well get them to lower the interest rates.

MR. WARREN:

How untrue!

MR. TULK:

Remember now, remember -

MR. NEARY:

You go out and play with your

spray guns.

MR. TULK:

I am getting him a can tomorrow.

MR. NEARY:

A can of -

MR. WARREN:

DDT.

MR. TULK:

Yes, I am going to present it to

him tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker, a few minutes before that he was looking across this House and condemning the member for the Strait of Belle Isle (Mr. Roberts) because he had enough influence to get a fish plant opened in St. Anthony. Now, Mr. Speaker, you cannot have your cake and eat it too. But let me bring him back to 1979.

Mr. McGrath was the Minister of Fisheries. It was 1979, was it?

MR. WARREN:

Yes, that is right, yes.

MR. TULK: In 1979 Mr. McGrath was the Minister of Fisheries. He was the first person - and I agree with the Premier (Mr. Peckford). When he objected, Mr. Speaker, to Treezer trawlers off the Northern tip of this Province and off the East Coast of this Province, I agree with him. But could he change - he was the government - could he change Mr. McGrath's mind? No.

MR. WARREN:

No way! No way!

MR. TULK:

The truth of the matter is that

they cannot work with the federal government regardless of whether they are Liberal, Tory or NDP, or Communist, or whatever they are, because there are no politics in that, Mr. Speaker,

MR. TULK:

There are no politics to sitting down and working out an agreement or anything with any government. There are no politics. That is sensible. That does not raise the emotions and the blood of Newfoundlanders. That is the idea. That is the whole idea. That is the idea when the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan) stands in his place and criticizes the federal minister.

 $\label{eq:Let me ask him another question.}$ I am told by the member for Torngat Mountains (Mr. Warren), and I believe him -

MR. WARREN: I have a couple of letters.

MR. TULK:

He has a couple of letters to the effect. He says that the federal minister will not allow the licencing of boats. Mr. Speaker, that is true. There are to be no new entrants in provincial fisheries and there is to be no expansion into another class category. Yet he leaves the impression that his government is willing to allow further expansion in the fishery, in the catching sector. But what did he do with a constituent of the member for Torngat Mountains? What did he do with him? His department, through the Fisheries Loan Board, Mr. Speaker, wrote a young fellow, I believe it was —

MR. WARREN: In Hopedale, yes.

MR. TULK: - a young fellow in Hopedale saying,

'No, you cannot have your loan for a thirty-two foot longliner because the department does not think that you can catch the fish, does not think that the fish is there to catch'.

Now, Mr. Speaker, is there any difference in that and what the federal Minister of Fisheries is saying? Not a bit.

MR. ANDREWS: You are not allowed to comment unless you read the whole letter.

MR. TULK:

I have no doubt that if you asked

my friend from Torngat Mountains he will bring the letter

MR. ANDREWS:

into this House and table it.

But you do not have the letter there.

Tape No. 1929 IB-3

July 1, 1982

MR. TULK:

All right, we will have it

and table it for you tomorrow.

MR. WARREN:

Yes. I have it here, downstairs.

MR. TULK:

That is not federal policy,

Mr. Speaker.

MR. ANDREWS:

That is hearsay evidence.

MR. WARREN:

We do not think it is viable,

he said, we do not think it is viable.

MR. TULK:

I am going to ask you to

look after Environment and make up your mind as to whether you are going to allow Abitibi-Price to spray in Millertown.

MR. ANDREWS:

I will look after my department.

MR. TULK:

Okay, just make sure now. A week

ago you did not know whether Abitibi-Price - whether you had the application or not, now you are certain. But be careful now, be careful.

MR. WARREN:

Yes, but you are trying to

destroy the fish.

MR. HODDER:

He thought it was out of Long

Harbour. He does not know much about his department.

MR. WARREN:

That is right.

MR. TULK:

Mr. Speaker -

MR. WARREN:

Look what you done to the people

in Happy Valley, Goose Bay, look what you done to them.

MR. TULK:

Mr. Speaker -

MR. NEARY:

It is almost 5:30 PM.

MR. TULK:

No problem. Mr. Speaker, that

takes care of the nonsense, the garbage, the utter garbage, the fiction fit only for Walt Disney and Disneyland that came out of the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan) this evening. That takes care of that. But the member for Lapoile (Mr. Neary) raised another point this evening, we have raised it continously in this House in the past month and-a-half, and that is the matter of the Saltfish Corporation. The minister has continually taken little swipes at the Canadian Saltfish Corporation, and, Mr. Speaker, if he has his way,

MR. TULK: and if the Premier has his way as outlined in a press release that he would not allow the Fisheries Minister (Mr. Morgan) to make the other day, if he gets his own way, if this government gets their own way, I would predict that in ten years there will be no Canadian Saltfish Corporations.

MR. WARREN:

That is right.

MR. TULK:

Because what they are saying, Mr. Speaker, allows the fish companies in this Province, the salt fish companies in this Province to get there foot in the door to sell, as the member for LaPoile (Mr. Neary) said, the better quality large fish. That is what they hope to do.

That is what they hope to do.

MR. ANDREWS: There is no good quality salt fish. Why does not the Canadian Saltfish Corporation make deals with Newfoundland vessels to sell them over-the-side?

MR. TULK: The member says there is no good quality salt fish.

MR. ANDREWS: It has gone down with the Corporation.

MR. TULK:

Do not you be so silly. I will show
you 25 per cent of the best quality salt fish that this
Province has ever seen, and I will show it to you on one
Island in this Province. It is an Island that your government
has neglected because they happen to be what?

MR. ANDREWS: It is an Island that your government wanted to resettle at one time.

MR. TULK:

I do not agree with that either. Because your Premier happens not to like the member. He does not like the member down there. The member opposed him, and he does not like him.

MR. NEARY: Just listen to this.

July 1, 1982

Tape No. 1930

MLeP-3

MR. TULK:

The member opposes him

so the Premier does not like him. For example, June 1st., concerning another matter, I wrote your Premier a note across this House which is pretty normal, and I said to him, 'Mr. Premier, this winter on an Open Line Show, I am told you gave certain commitments to the Mayor of Carmanville.

Tomorrow he will be meeting with the Minister of Municipal Affairs (Mr. Newhook) and he would like a brief meeting with yourself. Is that possible? A reply would be appreciated.

Sincerely, Beaton Tulk.' An answer comes back, Mr. Speaker,

MR. NEARY: Let us hear the answer.

MR. TULK:

'No. Your display as a member and as an opponent - the word is in but I think the Premier meant to write is - is that you wish little co-operation from the government'. Well, well, well, Now,I am not going to kiss his picture,

MR. NEARY: You should frame that.

MR. TULK: Oh, I will frame it, I am

going to keep this. 'Additionally', he says - I have to read the rest of his letter -'I did not give a committment, except sympathetic and full consideration. B.P.'

MR. NEARY: Put it on the table of the

House.

MR. TULK: Well, if

they want it tabled they can have it. -

MR. NEARY: Keep a copy of it.

MR. TULK: Mr. Speaker, did you ever see such an anti-democratic feeling as is contained in that letter? Such an anti-democratic feeling. Because a member opposes the Premier and this government, because he is in opposition, because you do not like him, you refuse to most with a mayor of this Province. Mr. Speaker, I did not ask the Premier to meet with me, I do not care whether he does or not, that is his business. But to meet with a mayor of this Province is something else. Now, Mr. Speaker, that shows the depth to which we have fallen in this Province.

That is very similar, Mr.

Speaker, to the letter written in Bellevue. That is very similar to the letter written in Bellevue saying that if you do not vote for me you will get nothing. If you are not liked, Mr. Speaker, then you are in serious trouble.

MR. TULK: Well, Mr. Speaker, I have something to tell the Premier and his government, that this member will not wipe his feet, will not kiss the hem of his garmet, will not kiss his picture, and will not tell him that he is beautiful if I see something wrong with him. Let that be quite clear to him.

MR. NEARY:

Hear, hear!

MR. TULK:

And he will not blackmail

this member. And that is what it is, Mr. Speaker.

MR. YOUNG:

Put it on the table.

MR. TULK:

He will not do that, he will

not get this member to humble down to him because he refuses to meet with the mayor of Carmanville or any other mayor.

MR. ANDREWS:

Imagine

MR. NEARY:

Go out and spray the moose

boy, and the rabbits.

MR. TULK:

Mr. Speaker, before I finish

and I understand that I have to finish at 5:30 P.M., I want to mention another area where the member for LaPoile (Mr. Neary) was right when he pointed out the incompetence of this Provincial Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan).

MR. NEARY:

I thought he was going to

take you to court. What happened to that.

MR. TULK:

I do not know what happened

to that, something happened to it. I suspect it is like somebody on this side said, they would love to have him on the witness stand.

MR. NEARY:

That is right.

MR. TULK:

Mr. Speaker, it concerns

over-the-side sales in this Province as we had last week. What happened last week? Now, as the member for St. Mary's - The

MR. TULK: Capes (Mr. Hearn) again said, nobody wants to see over-the-side sales of any kind of fish in this Province, because it means a loss of jobs. But when it comes to the point where fishermen cannot sell their catch, then it has to be seriously considered and indeed it has to be done. But in this House last week, the Provincial minister was not sure whether he could find a scapegoat, to say that he was against or for over-the-side sales. So what does he do? He comes in again and says, well if Mr. LeBlanc says there can be over-the-side sales then there is no problem, there will be nothing from this provincial government.

MR. TULK:

Trying to have it both ways again, Mr. Speaker, trying to say that if

LeBlanc says, Yes, I forced him into it, and if he says, No, he does not care about the fishermen in Newfoundland. But he had to find a scapegoat because he realized that by his fudging on the issue that he was setting up an atmosphere where, indeed, the fishermen might not be able to sell their fish over the side to foreign vessels. So what did he do? On one day he was opposed to over-the-side sales, on the next day a complete flip-flop. When he saw the pressure was mounting on him, a complete flip-flop and now says, I am for over-the-side sales.

So, Mr. Speaker, is it any wonder that the member for LaPoile(Mr. Neary), who happens to represent primarily a fishing district, perhaps one of the greatest inshore fishing districts in this Province, because it is practically all year-round, is it any wonder that the member for Lapoile would stand in this House, and the minister became quite upset, he could not be controlled, he had to stand up and speak, had to stand up and say his piece, he could not be controlled, no, no, because the member for Lapoile, the Leader of the Opposition called him incompetent.

Mr. Speaker, is it any wonder, given the flip-flops that we have seen from this minister, given his approach to the fisheries, of attacking anybody in sight rather than attacking the problem, is it any wonder that the member for LaPoile would rise in his place and say that the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Morgan) is incompetent?

Let me give you another instance, Mr. Speaker. His distribution desks, we have been asking about them for years. His distribution

July 1, 1982, Tape 1932, Page 2 -- apb

MR. TULK: desks; we have been assured they are working, the six of them are in place, and there is nothing they cannot handle, no glut problem they cannot handle. As a matter of fact, I believe he assured me of that last week in this House - did he not? - on one day.

MR. NEARY:

That is right.

MR. TULK: But the next day he comes back into this House and says, I have now got my

distribution desks working. The fact of the matter is, Mr. Speaker, they could not handle what the Minister of Fisheries had not prepared this Province to handle.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I want

to come back -

MR. NEARY: Why do you not move the adjournment, it is getting near the time to leave.

MR. TULK: Mr. Speaker, I have a few more things to say on this, but it is close to 5:30 p.m. so I will move the adjournemnt of the deabte.

MR. CARTER: No, carry on. By leave.

MR. SPEAKER(Russell): It has been noted that

the hon. the member for Fogo has adjourned the debate.

It being now 5:30 p.m. a

motion to adjourn is deemed to have been made.

Those in favour 'aye', those against 'nay', carried.

I do now leave the Chair until 10:00 a.m. tomorrow, Friday.

I N D E X

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

TABLED

JULY 1, 1982

QUESTION 112 ORDERS OF THE DAY 14/82 June 3, 1982

QUESTION: Mr. Hodder (Port au Port) To ask the Minister of Finance to lay upon the Table of the House the following information:

A list of names, dates, province or country of origin of all individuals and families transported to Newfoundland and Labrador at public expense during the fiscal years 1977-78, 1978-79, 1979-80, 1980-1981, 1981-82.

In each case, show cost of exploratory visit to the province and cost of transportation of family if and when position was accepted.

Show separately the cost of transporting:

- (a) the head of the household;
- (b) furniture and household belongings;
- (c) vehicles;
- (d) pels, such as cats, dogs, horses, sankus, occluss, and other animals.

ANSWER: The information requested for the year 1977-78 is unavailable. Information for the fiscal years 1978-79, 1979-80, 1980-81, 1981-82 is attached.

THANSPORTATION

l.ane	Iosition			= vilorator	head of house-	household			-eal	. e J.,	
	103111011	Origin	Travel	Visit	hold & Fami	Furniture	Vehicles	Pets	Estate	Fees	Total
A. Hiscock	School Libraries Consultant	Halifax	78-08-30	\$ 64.00	\$ 407.00	\$ 1749.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 2156.30
W. Kennedy	Curriculum Con- sultant	Edmonton	78-08-17	N/A	1955.00	3549.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5504.00
C. Harkins	Principal	New York	78-03-31	N/A	919.00	2552.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3471.00
											11,131.00
H. Buwn	Project Manager	Cntario	78-08-01	N/A	55.00	628.00	N 'A	N/A	N/A	n/A	683.00
	Chief Animal Curator	Cntario	78-08-16	272.00	649.12	1186.00	H/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2107.00
P. Granam	Project Engineer	Quebec	79-11-27	N/A	627.00	4422.00	37A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5049.00
			-								7839.00
G. Gilks	Engineer I	Freliricton	78-10-18	N/A	297.00	N/A	11/A	N/A i	N/A	h1 /7	
D. McCallum	Engineer I	Fredericton	78-10-25	825.00	294.00	1358.00	H/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	297.00 2477.90
	-										2774.00
F. Compton	Assistant Deputy Hinister	Wirnipeg	78-01-06	418.00	1704.00	4157.00	765.00	N/A	2850.00	15vā.00	11,435.00
I' Y'	1								10		11,495.00
L. loung	Consultant on Alcoholism & Drug Abuse	Fredericton	78-06-27	288.00	1284.00	4382.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5,954.00
L. Wray	Psychologist IV	Vinnipey	78-09-01	N/A	1826.00	2836.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4,662.00
								7			
F	W. Kennedy C. Harkins H. B.Wn J. Fernback P. Granam G. Gilks D. McCallum C. Compton	Consultant Curriculum Consultant Curriculum Consultant Principal H. B.Wn Project Manager J. Fernback Chief Animal Curator P. Granam Project Engineer G. Gilks Engineer I Engineer I Compton Assistant Deputy Minister Curator Consultant on Alcoholism & Drug Abuse	Consultant Curriculum Contario Cutario Curator Curat	Consultant Curriculum Consultant C. Harkins Principal Principal New York 78-08-17 78-08-17 78-08-17 78-08-17 78-08-17 78-08-17 78-08-17 78-08-17 78-08-17 78-08-17 78-08-17 78-08-16 Curator Project Engineer Project Engineer Predericton 78-10-18 Predericton 78-10-25 The Compton Assistant Deputy Minister The Compton The Compton	Consultant Curriculum Consultant Curriculum Consultant Curriculum Consultant Curriculum Consultant Curriculum Consultant New York 78-08-17 N/A 18. E.wn Project Manager Contario 78-08-01 N/A 19. Fernback Chief Animal Curator Project Engineer Curbec Project Engineer Project Engineer Curbec Project Engineer Project Engineer Project Engineer Project Engineer Curbec Project Engineer	Consultant Curriculum Consultant Curriculum Consultant Principal New York 78-08-17 N/A 1955.00	Consultant Curriculum Consultant Curriculum Consultant Curriculum Consultant Remonton 78-08-17 N/A 1955.00 3549.00 3549.00 2552.00 Remonton Sultant Principal New York 78-03-31 N/A 919.00 2552.00 Remonton Remonton 78-08-01 N/A 55.00 628.00 Remonton Remonto	Consultant Curivalum Consultant Edmonton 78-08-17 N/A 1955.00 3549.00 N/A	Consultant Con	Consultant	Consultant Curticulum Consultant Edmonton 78-08-17 N/A 1955.00 3549.00 N/A N/A

9						7			*			
tment	Name	Position		Date of Travel	Lxploratory Visit	Head of House- hold & Family	Household Furniture	Vehicles	Pets	keal Estate	Legal Fees	Total
	D. Kaufman	Program Directo	Edmonton	78-08-01	1,418.00	1,509.00	15,733.00	170.00	N/P	7,883.00	1,276.00	0 27,989.00
										1		38,605.00
ower	D. Maloney	Boiler Inspector I	Alberta	78-09-10	N/A	865.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	865.0C
Wer	L. Barnes	Manpower Infor- mation Officer	Ontario	76-08-16	N/A	628.00	2,863.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	A\N	3,491.00
	1											4,356.00
ıce	"H. Viviān	Chief Provincial Fire Officer	Ottawa	78-03-26	N/A	396.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	396.00
												396.00
ıstrial lopment	A. Shallow	Industrial Dev- elopment Officer	Toronto	78~13-07	N/A	1,055.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,055.00
	k	1				•						1,055.00
	R. Teach	Public Health Inspector	Ontario	78-09-04	N/A	306.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N,/A	306.00
lth	C. Kennedy	Public Health	Nova Scotia	79-11-13	N/A	112.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	H/A	N/A	112.60
	1. Hayen	Dent:#t	England	76-12-27	N/A	693.00	3,252.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3,945.00
	M. Jostanell	Dentist	California	78-17-17	N/A	1,855.30	3,100.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	I NA	4,908.00
		()										\$ 9,271.05

		***	Place of	Date of	Lugioratory	nest of notice-	Hemaenald			Pesi.	Legal	
4.50	Name	Position	Origin	Travel	Visit	hold a Pamily	Furniture	Vehicles	Pets	Estate	Fees	Total
					\$	Ş	\$	\$			\$	Ş
rest	D. Neilson	Director of	Fredericton	78-04-14	N/A	793.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	793.
rsource. 5	1	Production			1							
	G. Ross	Forest Improve-	Vancouver	78-05-1C	N/A	2,258.00	5,354.00	646.00	N/A	2,225.00	N/A	10,483
		ment Specialist	i									
	C. Schryburt	Soil Surveyor	Ottawa	78-08-15	116.00	637.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	753
	R. Dunphy		Ontario	75-04-25	N/A	1,137.00	992,00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2,129
		inarian			~ ×		essentials.	DAMPS:	508,000		27/0/2020	-/
												14,158
*		-										
	GRAND TOTAL											123,44
					or .							
	F. 100											
						8						
			1		1				1			
	¥	•			1							
					1				i			
							1					
		I										
1			1	1			İ		i			
				1					1			
			1					- 1				
				1			. 1					
7		·	- 1		ļ	,					(60	

121	1-02							TRANSPORT	CATION			
		Position	W 5.71 0.70	lan. Travel	rator* Visit	nois a Finally	n - h.l. Fuindture	Vehicles	Pots	Estate	Lena. Fear	Total
ion	Name H. Parsons	Forestry Instruc			\$ N/A	\$ 149.00	\$ 1,992.00	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	ş N/A	\$ N/A	\$ 2,141.00
.10	E. Fullerton	tor Foods & Home-	Nova Scotia		:1/A	461.00	635.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,096.00
	+	Management In- structor										
												3,237.00
Ė	M. Hurtley	Director of Fiscal Policy	Return to Ottawa	79-08-21	::/A	3,248.00	4,909.00	N/A	98.00	N/A	N/A	8,255.00
	E. Marshall	Management Analyst III	Nova Scotia	79-08-03	::/A	719.00	1,675.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2,394.00
												10,649.00
	R. Brake	Project Manager	Nova Scotia	79-09-03	H/A	622.00	1,344.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,966.00
ės E		Soil Surveyor	Toronto	79-06-17	n/A	329.00	325.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	554.00
Ī	R. McKnight	≲oil Sur v eyor	ûntario	79-04-14	IJ/A	1,317.00	4,652.00	11/E (N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	5,969.00
			British Col. Fredericton	79-09-28 79-07-02	::/A 5.00	625.50 1,449.00	350.00 5,584.00	N/A	N/A	2,450.00	830.00	11,018.00
	G. Flemming	* STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	Alberta	79-04-11	. A	1,446.37	8,562.00	N/A	n/A	4,220.0	1,124.00	15,394.00
1	A. Stewart		::::treāl	79-07-27	il A	949.51	2,214.00	N/A	Ř.G.	11/A	N/A	3,163.00
		Hanagement Specialist	.Gurana	79-08-31	% *A	1,267.00	N/A	N/A	A/E	N/A	II/A	1,267.00
												40,406.00
		1		ĺ								

*		F-0 - 55		U-1 1	31.1	16, 1, 1, 1	7.44	1/1	12027	tes	luss	70:41
2 19 11 12 11		nc.f.263	JEIGIII	Travel	V 1 43 C	5 5 1 5 1 10 1 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Firmture . \$	Vemicres \$	1 8	intata \$	\$	\$
Health	H. Werster	Senior Medical Officer	Lngland	79-08-29	3- A	1,806.00	1,376.00	N/A	N/A	II. A	N/A	3,182.00
	Peter Cockey	Dentist	England	79-09-16	5. A	793.00	N/A	N/A	N,'A	II/A	N/A	793.00
	I. Virji •	Dentist	England	79-09-28	forA	1,102.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,102.00
	W. Witherall	Dentist	Scotland	79-07-03	31./ A	2,653.00	ε,126.00	N/A	n/A	N/A	N/A	8,879.00
	I. Swarso:	Director of Health Nutrition	British Columbia	80-02-17	5 YA	413.00	958.00	600.00	n 'A	II/A	N/A	1,971.00
	L. Turner	Dentist	England	78-11-08	3. A	1,932.00	5,745.00	N/A	n/A	II/A	N/A	7,677.00
*	F. Gelter	Public Health Inspector	Toronto	80-03-21	5√A	\$68.00	II/A	N/A	M/A	N/A	N/A	868.00
	A. Ludlow	Director of Hospital Ser- vices	Ottawa	79-03-06	, 13/A	2,060.00	5,061.00	N/A	N/A	2,955.00	1,999.00	12,075.00
	į.	1										36,447.00
adustrial velopment	R. Johnson	Director of Financial Services	Toronto	79-05-25	551.00	2,101.00	5,214.00	N/L	N/A	5,160.0	1,871 <i>5</i> 6	14,897.00
												14,897.00
tines and	S. McSevic	Mines Inspection Engineer	Manitoba	80-01-30	t: A	2,306.00	10,750.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	13,056.00
nergy												13,056.00
							-					
				j.							1	
						2 2						
				1.6	/ II II	I()	1		,		*. 1	50

iment.	Name	Fosition	Trace c	date ci Travel	Wisit	do deller	Furniture	Vericles	1	NGG.	1 ME 7 25	
		1	100	I avei	\$	told c ramily	\$	\$	lets \$	Estate \$	Fees \$	Total \$
and	W. Porter	Assistant Deputy Minister	Ottawa	79-04-01	716.00	877.00	5,474.00	N/A	N/A			15,427.00
	M. Sheppard	Petroleum. Geologist	Alberta	79-09-30	N/A	1,564.00	7,260.00	N/A	N/A	4,350.00	449,60	13,623.00
	8				30							29,050.00
il 6	D. Kilght	Senior Planner	Toronto	79-04-26	501.00	247.00	5,542.00	11/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	6,290.00
												6,290.00
ės	P. Franzer	Social Worker II (for Cartwright)	Nova Scotia	79-06-20	N/A	204.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	n/A	204.00
												204.00
orta- nd	J, Curren	Engineer I	Nova Scotia	79-05-23	402.00	455.00	N/A	N/A	11,'A	N/L	N/A	917.00
	L. Inericalt	Lagineer 1	Nova Scotia	79-05-22	98.00	584.00	580.06	327A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,360.00
	I. Growell	Enwineer 1	Nova Scotia	79-05-20	440.00	305.00	1,406.00	n/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2,151.00
					12	8						4,428.00
r !	Ja Politrion	Hydrogeologist	Ortario	79-01-14	€68.00	2,543.00	6,208.00	505.00	N/A	N/A	1,00000	10,984.00
												10,984.00
í	35/00 2 2002		1				9			-		169,4.42.00
Ī												169,042.00
ĬĬ.								# # #				

1930/81

Legariment	Name	Position	Place of Origin	Date or Travel	Exploratory Visit	Head of House Hold & Family	Household Furniture	Vehicles	Pets	Real Estate	Lega! Fees	
No July	Randy Lennett	Inspector :	Toronto	Mar.21/80	N/A	\$673.08	\$290.17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9
	Frank Connors	Public Health Inspector Traince	Toronto	Apr.26/80	N/A	829.55	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
v	Terrence Gill	Public Health Inspector	Toronto	Apr.23/80	N/A	351.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3
	Edward Grovestine	Health Insp.	Yarmouth	Dec.14/80	N/A	346.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.
	Kathryn Glynn	Regional Nutritionist	Montreal	Dec.3/80	N/A	831.52	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.
	Paul Graham	Public Health Inspector I	Charlotte- town	Sept.27/80	N/A	674.90	1,119.65	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,79
	Marina Sexton	Dentist	Halifax	May22/80	N/A	1,372.16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,3
	Andrew Clark	Assistant Medical Officer	Heathrow Landon	Aug.6/80	N/A	2,000.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2,00
	Nigel Entwhistle	Dentist	England	Jan.6/81	N/A	1,129.69	6,239.63	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7,36
												15,85
AMD	John Hutchings	Economist	Quebec	Oct.5/80	N/A	1,055.78	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,05
	Georgina Queller	Crafts Marketing Specialist	Halifax	Sept.5/80	N/A	597.74	1,724.90	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2,32
												3,37

Department	Name	Position	Place of Origin	Date of Travel	Exploratory Visit	Head of House Hold & Family	Household Furniture	Vehicles	Pets	Real Estate	Legal Foes	Tot
								1				
		(*)								*		
					1							-
Labour & Manpower	Bandu Imbulgoda	Industrial Hygenist	Ontario	Feb.27/81	N/A	1,105.09	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,10
		-			, A							\$1,10
Justice	John Ashley	Solicitor	Halifax	Mar.1/80	N/A	1,379.25	N/A	N/A	N/A	. N/A	N/A	\$1,37
				-								\$1,379
Forest Resources & Lands	Albert King	Regional Resourșe Director	Halifax	Jan.22/80	N/A	2,922.75	2,873.96	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$5,790
		 										\$5,79
Finance	Robert Harnum	Manager 4 Central Accounts	Ontario	Sept,26/80	n/A	1,099.19	4,423.25	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$5,52
			-									\$5,52
Executive Council	Douglas Brown	Research Analyst	Ontario	July8/80	N/A	910.73	1,099.90	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,01
		 										\$2,01

	Name	Position	Place of Origin	Date of Travel	Exploratory Visit	Head of House Hold & Family	Household Furniture	Vehicles	Pets	Real Estate	Lega1	
Wates & Energy	Ed Power	Assistant Deputy Minister	Florida	June1/80	N/A	\$6,400.49	\$7,349.69	N/A	N/A	7,250.00	1,730.72	2 22
	-	18	ļ				İ					
Potroleum Directorate					· · · · ·							22
	Jim Davidson	Petroleum Geologist	Alberta	Jan.28/81	1,443.83	1,824.82	8,693.25	N/A	N/A	4,195.00	932.50	1
	David Hawkins Wayne	Petroleum Geologist	Alberta	May14/80	N/A	1,505.01	6,570.30	N/A	N/A	N/A	625.00	8
	Chipman	Reservoir Engineer	Alberta	Nov.15/80	N/A	2,442.85	4,860.36	N/A	N/A	3,825.00	1,419.87	12
<u>.</u>	Fred Allen	Land Manager	Alberta	Dec.16/80	N/A	1,549.00	2,219.95	1,271.00	N/A	N/A	625.00	5
Courism	John Scott •	Parks	Ontario	Sept.25/80	N/A							44,5
	•	Development Officer		JCP1123700	N/A	3,248.98	4,431.81	N/A	N/A	4,243.66	635.00	12,
	Giannou	Consultant Physiothera- pist	Halifax	June16/80	N/A	195.73	543.87	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	12,5
							Tonas .	***				
						/3/19		/	1			739
					T a				1	1	-	erast.

beparations	Name	Position	Place of Origin	Date of Travel	Exploratory Visit	Head of House Hold & Family	Household Furniture	Vehicles	Pets	Real Estate	Legal Fees	Total
iducation	Clifford Badcock	Carpentry Instructor	Toronto	July26/80	N/A	\$590.18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$590.18
												\$590.18
-LAH	John Tennant	Planner II	Ontario	Feb.5/81	N/A	\$1,124.21	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,124.21
]							\$1,124.21

GRAND TOTAL \$117,352.56

1981/82

1981/8	8 2											
DE PARTMENT	NAME	POSITION	PLACE OF ORIGIN	DATE OF TRAVEL	EXPL.PATORY VISIT	HEAD OF HOUSE HOLD & FAMILY	HOUSEHOLD FURNI TURE	VEHICLES	PETS	REAL ESTATE	LEGAL FEES	Т
Education	Tanya Tremblay	Curriculum Consultant Home Economics	Quebec	82-01-11	N/A	\$936.19	\$2,600.79	\$600.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,
												\$4,1
Executive Council	Beverly Carter	Economist II	I Ontario	82-05-01	N/A	\$1,313.86	\$4,732.10	\$523.26	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$6,5
*************												\$6,5
Municipal Affairs	D.Vance Bedore	Planner II	Ontario	81-09-17	N/A	\$1,281.47	\$1,868.47	\$432.90	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,5
												\$3,5
Petroleum Directorate	Judith Dobbin*	Petroleum Geologist (Contractual)	Alberta	81-12-08	\$925.50	\$852.08	*Move Incom	plete			\$275.00	\$2,0
						3,						\$2,0
Rural Agriculture & Northern Development		Soil & Plant Laboratory Supervisor	Halifax, N.S.	81-11-30	N/A	\$1,069.02	\$2,125.25	\$133.65	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,3
												\$3,3
									PAGE 1 OI	2 PAGES	79.1	

*:1.7_	NAME	POSITION	PLACE OF ORIGIN	DATE OF TRAVEL	EXPLORATORY VISIT	HEAD OF HOUSE HOLD & FAMILY	HOUSEHOLD FURNITURE	VEHICLES	PETS	REAL ESTATE	LEGAL	TOTA".
cial	Elizabeth Thompson	Psychologist III	Saint John,	82-04-26	\$311.50	\$766.71	\$2,214.70	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,292.9
		•										3,292.9
	TOTAL											\$22,962.4
			*									

ANSWER TO QUESTION 129, ORDER PAPER, JUNE 24, 1982

A list of expenditures incurred by Royal Commissions and the Upper Churchill Task Force during fiscal year 1980-81 and 1981-82 are itemized and attached hereto.

Expenditures of Royal Commissions in 1982-83 are not presently available.

		VO. NO.	TRAVELLING	COMBUITANTS	LICHYNT	MISC.	
	74	6126	2				
		9136	\$1000.00		Per Dicm		
		9137	\$1000.00				
		9138	\$1000.00				
*		9156	\$100,00				
		1505	187		\$1,912.50		12.1
		P=19			\$2,399.99		
		9188	\$1000.00	-			
		P-20			\$1,384.62		
	g	P-21		•	\$1,384.62		
	Petty Cash	2034		ų.		\$51.00 (post- age stamps)	
*	() Ico Bowen	1994	3	* 2		\$33.30 (supplie:	9
		2352	\$503.76				
		P-22			\$1,384.62		
	Dicks & Co. Ltd.	2339 .				\$134.78	
		1903			\$3,750.00		
	Nfld. Twlephone Co. 11d.	2398				\$400.45	· ·
	-	2471			\$4,350.00		
		2469			\$3,600.00		
		2559			\$7,268.75		
		2591			\$1,950.00		
	3	P-23			\$1,384.62		
	UM Carrida Ltd. - Don Mills	2446				\$1,008.99 (Word Process	
	Asia Sales Ltd Mt. Pourl	2561	1			\$582.75 (taper	
		2561	1			\$29.97 (etc.	

ROYAL COMPUSSION - MARYSTOWN SHIPYARD LTD. - 1980/81 Expenditures

=	VO. NO.	TRAVELLING	CONSULTATION	PAYROLL	MISC.
	2500	* *			
	2588 D. 24	\$255.32			
I NAME OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	P-24	(e)		\$1,384.62	
Evening Telegram	2652				\$57.99
Century Ltd.	2642				\$715.95
	9282	\$434.52			
	P-25		-	\$1,384.62	
	2751	\$20.16		Per Diem	
	2943	\$244.31			
-	P-26			\$1,384.62	
J.C. Pratt 74,Ltd.	2859				\$435.12
	3271	5.5		\$5,950.00	
0	P-27			\$1,384.62	
Xerox of Canada	3112		· ·		\$651,44
	3161	\$207.00	!	₹ 1	931 (4.4.101)
Evening Telegram	3159				\$60.66
Century Ltd.	3341				\$32.97
Western Star	3297		1 1		\$36.80
	3291	\$1,080.37		\$1,500.00	230.80
Aska Sales Ltd.	3273			V1,500.00	
Nfld. Tolephone	3232		1		\$29.97
Robinson-Dlackmore	3402				\$140.30
Dicks & Co. Ltd.	3439				\$41.18
	1				\$422.08
Nfld. Telephone	3450				\$376.88
Bishop & Hogan-CA's	3:30		\$17,805.77		
Ohin Sales Ltd.	3457				\$61.05
	3512	\$305,00			
•	3512	\$305.00			

-17	The section of	VO. NO.	TRWELLING	CONSULTANTS	PAYIOIL	MISC.	
*	Yarox of Canada	3527	* *			\$148.55	
		P-1	\$.		\$2,293.78		35.7
		3571	\$1,073.97		\$3,300.00		
	Lastern Star	3592				\$36.80	
		3770 .		ž	\$5,700.00		
		P-2	2		\$1,799.50	*	
	Daily News	3814		190		\$46.18	
	office World Lad.	3793				\$780.09	
*		9353	\$27.92			•	
	-	P-3		-	\$1,631.43		
		9354	\$2,150.00				
1	Dicks & Co. Ltd.	4010				\$78.96	
()		4017	`	-	\$8,750.00		
	2: lantic Printing	3888				\$87.12	
		4076	\$26.70				
*		4061	\$11.16				
	Atlantic Printing Itd.	4089		4		\$484.70	
	1114.	4092			\$5,400.00		
	Terra Nova Bus. Systems	4109				\$779.78 .	
		4153	\$1,195.82	1			
		4159			\$7,175.00		
	Nild. Telephone	4139				\$337.55	
*		P-736			(\$216.22)		
		P-4			\$896.30		
O)	4415	\$318.45			1	
		P-5	\$1,852.80			1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
*		4504	\$227.00				
			1				
*							

. . .

ROYAL COMMISSION - MARYSTOWN SHIPYARD LIMITED - 1980/81 Papenditures

YEE	VO. NO.	TRAVELLING	CONSULTANTS	IMMANIT	MEC.
Saga Communication	1	* *		100 000 000 000	\$127.09
	4735	\$93.00			
Nfld. Telephone	4704	*=			\$317.79
Nfld. Telephone	J V 24				\$154.33
	 SUB-TOJAL	\$14,432,26	\$17,805.77	\$80,487.99	\$8,681.97
		64 FI			
16 Tel	TC-TAL		\$121,407.99		
		t.			
()		*	200		
		-			
w.					
.,*					- r
		٠			
e,		.8			
0		time at the time a			
		1		j	

1 . 7832		VO. NO.	TRAVEL	MISC.
		9393 * 9392·	\$1,000.00 1,000.00	
Carald S. Doylo		4630	1,000.00	\$515.00
		9426	300.00	
		4766	567.75	.*
		Sub-Total	\$2,867.75	\$515.11
	•	TVIAL	\$3,382.86	
			17	
0				
		V D		
		<u> </u>		
Q				
ē		İ		
			1	

KINAL COMMISSION - POREST PROTECTION AND MANAGEMENT -- 1980/81 Expenditures

			8		
: 'Yanan	VO. NO.	TRAVELLING	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL,	MISC.
197	9036	1000.00			
	9064	1000.00			
	9065	1000.00		٠	
	736	31.30			
	1.007 •	443.30	51		
	1003	278.59			4
	870	142.55	F		
M.U.N. Regional College	941				49.40
Nfld. Telephone	944				102.25
\$ a.	9120	100.00			183.35
53	9121	20.00			
\circ	1351	95.16			
7	1352	411.29			
	1461	178.10	Į		
	P-16	į		72.50	
Martin, Easton, Ecclridge & Poole	1430		954.30		
	1566	695.81			
	9157	275.00			
M.U.N. Regional College	1507.	ţ			13.36
Nfld. Telephone	1510				70.83
	9153	3.34			
	1681	98.00			
Martin, Paston, Cjibolridge & Poole	1627		887.50		
	9178	260.00			
	P-19			72.50	
1					

					3.50		
			=				
	vo. No.	TRAVELLING	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	MISC.		
	1974			6600.00		72.	
	1841	258.21				90	
Daily News	1774	*0			90.79		
Felds Publishing	1761				43.68		
The Evening Tele- gram	1776.	¥	(*		87.74		
Mr. James Wall	1822		14		20.00	(34.)	
	1798	524.85		50			
M.U.N. Magional College	1801				12.31		•
Prov. Business Equipment Ltd.	1811		-		15.43		
Mobinson-Blackmore	1813		-		229.32		
()Nfld. Telephone	1747				141.63		
2.7	9197	275.00	*				
Wistern Star	1961				59.62		
Sunday Herald Ltd.	1960				143.00		
	1934	308.32					
Penny Inn Ltd.	2090				22.20		
Murtin, Faston, Woolridge & Poole	2084		2813.24				
	2237 -	484.38	1				
	2224	871.02					
M.U.N. Grenfell College	2193				27.35		2
	2338	279.00					*
	9249	170.00					
0	2396	96.16	. 1				
Lions Club (Rental	2393				60.00		
· Lubradorian Printers	s 2391				46.80		
	3						
1		2	1		E.		

POYAL COMMISSION - FOREST PROJECTION AND MARACHAENT-1920/81 INvenditures

y y y y	VO. NO.	TRAVELLING	CONSTRUCTO	Ewent.	MISC.
Labradorian Printers	2392	SE 1			F Ben
	2378	38.54			74.10
Mrs. E. Kelloway	2390	, , ,			200
Arts & Culture	2375				25.00
	2374	19.60	₫.		11.10
F. W. Woolworth Co.	2466				111.00
	2560			7,500.00	221.00
	2557	1,328.09			_
Audio Systems Ltd.	2529				298.13
Robinson-Blackmore	2551				402.11
Nfld. Telephone	2546	3			206.06
Murtin, Paston, Woolridge & Poole	2545		8,778.19		200.00
The Aurora	2530		*		36.60
Daily News	2571				639.20
	2577	247.00			039.2
	2586	779.91			
	2633	414.98	ĺ		
	2637	581.01	1		
Nfld. Herald T.V. Week	2664	1			386.10
Evening Telegram	2652	1			257,71
Harmon Catering Ltd.	2680			1	22.20
Robinson-Blackmore	2685				27.56
	2833	1		1,000.00	
O Pobinson-Blackmore	2738				27.56
	2942	176.42			Sec. 13.
F.W. Woolridge Ltd.	3011				99.90

HOWA' COMMISSION - FOREST PROJECTION AND MANAGEMENT - 1980/81 Expenditures

	VO. NO.	ARWAST TING	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	MISC.
Westorn Star	3010	ñ e	-		99.37
Audio System Ltd.	2986	5			452.42
	3005	221.51		,	432,42
	2987	357.14			
	P-26 .	337.14		2 000 00	
Dr. J.W. Ker	2842			3,000.00	;
Martin, Faston,	2012			.*	250.00
Woolrdigs, & Poole	2849		6,214.66		
M.U.N. Grenfell College	2850				52.10
Coaresie			A 28		
	9306	63.81			
	2939	459.86			
0	3013	277.51			
M.U.N.	2879		-		8.21
Milital. To beginning	2833				309.46
	3426	523.37			
Clarke, Tucker & Co.	3067				8.83
Dr. David H. Coombs	3072		65.00		
M.U.N. Grenfell College	3201				65.24
	3130	139.77			D147/1
	9317	46.86	1		
Daily News Ltd.	3317				193.44
The Aurora	3274				89.11
Western Star	3297				140.40
0	3296	52.22			a attended at a war a
~	3226	4,432.85			
2			-		
	į.		- []	
i			1		

ROYAL COMMISSION - FOREST PROTECTION AND NAVAGEMENT - 1980/81 lag-orditures

NEE	vo. No.	TRAVELLING	CONSULTANTS	PAYIY)LL	MISC.
Robinson-Blackmore	3237	X 4			434.39
	3386	3.00			
Green Bay News	3368	M			125.36
•	3491	42.50			
Dr. Paul Patey	3472		257.14		
	3518	375.30			
	3263	518.25		1 1	
	3269	109.70			
=	3260	36.00			
	3576	43.52	-		
	3750	43.20			
	3758	141.76			
()	3769	,	-	12,250.00	
Sunday Horald	3704				429.00
Dr. Martin Tweeddale	3706		125.00		
W. C. Wilton	3670		1,938.14		
	P-3			4,000.00	
Nfld. Telephone	4024				163.99
N.U.N.	4019	# D			6.50
	4014	341.20			
	3964	157.70			
Martin, Easton, Woolridge & Poole	3965		1,470.33		
	4041	370.36		*	
0	4056	114.59			
Transfer, Refund of Imprest	JV-30	55.03			

13.17.10	VO. NO.	TRAVELLING	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	MISC.	
E.U.N.	4098	¥ §			32.90	
	4095	i04.13				34.1
Provincial Business Djuippent	4141	:::			19.48	
Mild. Welcyhone	4139				225.76	
SLU.N.	.4275°		*	8,842.62		
Prov. Business Rquipment	4280	*	a)	,**	15.76	
	4250	12.00			a.	
M.U.N.	4198				43.33	5.
*]	4197	328.30	2			13%
Samuel R. Crowley	4186				97.50	
	4288			2,000.00		
()	P-4			336.10		
MININ.	4.388				159.54	
	4382	170.60				
Murtin, Daston, Woolridge & Poole	4386		1,204.90			
	4400	IN A		7,200.00		
	P-5			389.02		
W. J. Carroll	4428		72		520.00 •	
W. C. Milton	4548			2,360.16		
NflG. Telephone	4536				360.21	
Transfe:, Refund	JV-34	750.00			<u>.</u>	
of Imprest	4587	83.00				
	4591	15.00				
Onton.	4602	.85			77.96	
E.U.S.	4638				11.90	
ĸ						
				190		

The second secon

NOVAL COMPRISSION - FOREST PROTECTION AND MANAGEMENT - 1980/81 Expenditures

-("YEE	VO. NO.	. TRAVELLING	CONSULTANTS	PAVIOLE	Man
Nfld. Telephone	4737 3232	231.51		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	MISC. 288.94
					268.94
	Sub-Total	\$21,896.37	\$24,708.40	\$55,622.90	\$8,622.42
	TOTAL	\$110,850.09			-
	(#3 Mg.)			9 .	
30			-		
0		(16c)			
			<		
,	8				
f a					ı
					g 12
0				and the second	
20°					

Z. Jones	vo. no.	TNUCMA
Esta Gales lámited	, 2561 3457	\$ 499.50 310.80 \$ 810.30
icks and Company Limited	2001	13.85
H. F. Fanning and Sons Ltd.	3952	89.91
Y cost focurthy	3772	1,327.53 40.40 \$1,367.93
Harvey's Travel Agency	3896	710.00
10011	P11 'P12 P13 P15 P16	658.31 533.31 533.31 773.31 900.48
Pred Lukins	3722	7,493.81
Aylward, Morris & Pittman	5431	33,807.86
- *	. TOTAL	\$47,692.33
0		
E .		

.

POYAL COUNTSION - FISHERIES 1980/81 Expenditures

	2300 of 155chartages				
Y VEE	VO. №.	TTONVELLANG	CONSULTANTS	PAVIOLI,	MISC.
	9167	\$ 1,000.00			Tatave =
	9168	1,000.00			
	9169	1,000.00		a a	
	P20			\$ 600.00	
1. A. Parsons Ltd.	2035 .		5	9 000.00	25.52
	P21			8,250.00	26.57
I.B.M. Canada	2316			8,230.00	
	P22			5 505 00	1,008.99
Micks and Company Ltd.	2339	-		5,565.00	
Dicks and Company Ltd.			*)		222.00
	ID 40			1	36.07
lational Office Equip.	2397				8.32
Office World Ltd.	2399				26.54
Milice World Ltd.	2400			1	193.70
1. A. Parsons Ltd.	2402	9		Í	131.20
Pooton's Limited	2404				73.26
terox Canada					321.90
Dicks & Company Ltd.	2406	1			324.12
	2383	1			41.66
Dayald Bowering	2385				1,432.23
). Dowering	2385	18			72.15
nicks & Company Ltd.	2384	Ī			1,007.33
Century Ltd.	2381				216.45
. Sparrell, C.A.	2460		\$ 3,230.00		
Office World Ltd.	2456	· ·			2,516.37
0	P-23			2,750.00	
	P-24	(4)		3,760.00	
ifid. Telephone	2618			1	523.88
195					

TOOLOR EXPEREITURES

100 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	vo. No.	TRAVELLING	CONSULTANTS	DVABOUT'	MISC.
		, ,			(E)
Gray & Cookland	2658				37.14
	P-25			4,520.00	
Touche, Ross & Co.	3003			3,920.00	51
	P-26			3,510.00	
F. M. Mitchell	2856	(a)	8,170.00		
7 chnical Sales	3047				18.32
H. R. Donne & Co.	3040		11,405.25		
How of Canada	3054				1,239.81
'orra Nova Tele	3048		37	A	22.31
: tional Office Equip.	2880		14		1,989.12
	P-27			12,760.00	
() % & Co. Ltd.	3157	19	=		87.69
W lter P. Miller & Co.	3168		13,782.05		
Dicks & Co. Jan.	3318				46.62
Raird & Baird	3336		12,499.50		
Office World Ltd.	3290				389.21
E. R. Spurrell, C.A.	3294	ji	2,280.00		
Mishop & Hogan, C.A.'s	3430		12,877.00		
P. M. Mitchell	3473		4,234.07		1540
H. R. Poame & Co.	3463		1,181.25		
Dicks & Co. Ltd.	3462				23.31
Egrox of Canada	3527				460.93
	P-1			3,070.00	
	3251	19.46			
Chincis O'Dea	3577		2,080.00		
					-
	ar <u>ar</u>				
		1	i		

POYAL COMMISSION - PISHERIES 1980/81 Impanditures

_() <u>=</u>	VO. NO.	TRAVELLING	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	MISC.
Dicks & Co. Ltd.	3639	1.			23.31
H. F. Fanning & Sons	3683	¥			16.65
J. C. Pratt (1974) Ltd.	3728				1,305.36
	P-2 ·			3,010.00	2.0
Evening Telegram	3817			v.*	60.07
Office World Ltd.	3793		_		489.51
E. R. Spurrell, C.A.	3879			1,380.00	_
Technical Sales Ltd.	3880				79.39
H. F. Fanning & Sons	3862				149.85
Superior Office Equip	3938	*			266.40
	P-3	*		3,510.00	
Qional Office Equip	4021				106.56
Terra Nova Tel	4033				5.60
M. A. Parsons Ltd.	3900				24.14
National Office Equip.	3998				26.64
Touche Rosse & Co.	4112		19,214.00		
Aska Sales Ltd.	4118				235.32
Radio Shack	4229				30.47
Robinson-Blackmore	4231				382.20
Wells, O'Dea	4237		5,240.00		
Herald T.V. Week	4255				546.00
Host Rent-A-Car	4257	271.26			
Robinson-Blackmore	4205				804.61
Notel Mortier	4202				83.25
0	4293	31.80			
	P-4			3,760.00	

1980/81 Dependitures

	#	VO. NO.	TRAVELLEG	CONSTITUTO	PAYIOLL	MISC.
	Acha Sales Ltd.	4362	* 3			177.60
		P-5			3,760.00	
	Bobb Publishing	4463			.	78.00
	M. A. Parsons Ltd.	4443				56.19
	Thorne, Russel	4544		22,306.53		1
	Terra Nova Tel	4574				23.38
	Anglican Church	4549				50.00
		4,582	494.02		-	30
		4719	25.48	ca.		
	Hobinson-Blackmore	4671				98.84
	Cooks Travel	4657	1,142.20			
7 3	Robinson-Blacksord	4671				382,20
		4663		Ž		70.00
	Xerox Canada	4679		1		539.54
	Evening Telegram	4659				590.89
	Labradorian Printers	4662				46.80
		P-6			3,760.00	
	Hr. Breton Lions	4701				77.70
		×	\$4,984.22	128,759.65	\$62,585.00	19,323.81
		TOTAL	\$215,652.68			
0						
				×		
3						Ì
	***					į
	o !		-	•		

COMMISSION OF INDUTRY - ELLZAPIAN TOWERS FIRE - 1980/81 Expenditures

Chyre	VO. NO.	Tructa
Allan LeGrow	806 1069	\$ 39.00 416.90 \$ 455.90
Fatrell, James	3188	975.01
Mercer, Spracklin, Heywood and McKay	-	\$50,366.85
ja.	TOTAL	\$51,797.76.
	*	
		191
2010		
		A)
0		

	ROVAL COMMISSI	ION - PUBLIC WORKS INQUIRY - 1	980/81 Expenditures	2
	() VAYEE	VO. NO.	TYJUOMA	
-	Nild. Telephone Co. Ltd.	2470	\$379.73	
	Catis, Dawe, Russell, Formell, Winsor, and Strokes	*	7,011.32	
	P at Marwick, Mitchell and C pany		1,534.50 5,196.00 8,418.00	
	94k		\$15,148.50	
_	. Derek Green	TOTAL	7,402.50 \$29,942.05	
	()	TOTAL	427/2/2/2	
- *		E		
			*	
				v
-	0			
	_			
				×
- :				*

UPPER CHURCHILL TASK FORCE

Expenditures 1990/81

O'AEE	VO. NO.	AMDUNI
Martin, Faston, Woodridge and Poole	4308 • 474 1134	\$10,320.25 10,093.68 7,248.28 \$27,662.21
O'Dea, Greene and Puddester O'Dea, Greene and Puddester	. 4226 1437	2,600.94 14,041.83 \$16,642.77
Fowler, Kendell	82 1519 1010 668 548	3,360.00 8,562.00 10,151.45 3,780.00 4,200.00 \$30,053.45
Niller and Hearn	2851 169 1011	8,707.54 12,724.59 4,857.86 \$26,289.99
Nfld. and Labrador Hydro	2453	97.86
CNIB Caterplan Services	2532 2379 1890 1220	7.33 5.00 10.16 2.50 \$24.99
Dyke's Delicatessen	2046 2649	109.49 33.54 \$143.03
orw Society of Nfld.	1621 994	30.29 33.05
O Postaurant	412	\$63.34 90.00
	TOTAL	\$101,067.64

INSHORE FISHERY

DAREE :	TRAVELLING :	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	RENTAL	MISC.
we all 7	2 2	M.	\$1,406.15		
Fayroll 9		1	\$3,760.00		
Payroll 8		e ig	\$3,760.00	. =	, A.
Asien Maloney		*	17		\$62.81
Paval Canadian 1-gion D.V. Paddock	\$141.59	e ii a s ^{an}	\$50.00		
Tivid E. Howley	\$138.80	20.4	9 1		Broth T
hayroll 10		¥	\$1,260.00	*	عالو ا
touche Ross & Co.		\$5,073.00	*		\$8-01(<i>0</i> ქეία
Cls. O'Dea, Halle	y .	\$158.84	\$3,010.00		supplies
mgden's Taxi btd.					\$12.60
ska fates Ltd.	9			\$99.90	
Aska Sales Ltd.				\$88.80	
Nerox Canada Inc.					\$454.53[cor
Louche Ross & Co.	304 ES S	\$4,325.00	2	\$111.00	9 ,
. In Telephone Co.					\$178.15[pho
Tilden Rent a Car				\$436.16	
Code Travel World	\$137.15				
-In & Inb. Comput Pervices	ok ,				\$2793.13
Ox Canada Inc.					\$500.18 (copies)

INSHORE'
FISHERY Page 2

13		4			
PAYEE	TRAVELLING .	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	RENTAL	MISC.
Avalon Business Equipment Ltd.		· ·			\$22.20(20) typewriter)
Payroll 12			\$3,760.00		(gpeoniae)
Payroll 13		3	\$2,500.00		
Thorne, Stevenson K'Kellogg		\$11,811.17	* ***		1
Touche Ross & Co.	2 2 2 x	\$5,050.00		4	ů
National Office L'quipment				\$53.28	
Aska Sales Ltd.	-		120 8	\$111.00	
Drose Paddock	\$86.26	32		887	
Brian J. Donahue	\$23.85	96/40		7	
The Daily News :			3		\$54.45 (30
Oan Donahue	\$18.51	1	8.1		scriptio
Touche Ross & Co.		\$3,450.00			
Thorne, Stevenson & Kellogg		\$29,388.86			
M.A. Parsons Ltd.					00 001-111
IBM Canada Ltd.			1		\$9.99(0666
Payroll 14	- 1	TE IE	\$3,000.00	*	\$85.03 (supplies)
Payroll 15			\$3,000.00	QI 122	
ayroll 16			\$1,750.00		
National Office Equipment			41,730.00	\$79.92	
CLD Telephone Co.		1	199		\$589.11(ph
adio Shack	:				\$15.25 (44)
ska Sales Ltd.				\$166.50	(1)
1011 17			\$2,794.00		

PAXLE	TRAVELLING .	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	RENTAL	MISC.
Nerve Canada Inc.		8 mo 20			\$546.03
Lietl 18		•	\$3,338.00		\$546.03
Sorbety of United Fisherman		948 <u> </u>		\$80.00	
/ ka Sales Ltd.	24. 2			\$55.50	4 . 7.
cllogg		\$44,852.88	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,*,	
Perolator Courier	=			25 A	\$14.00
Syroll 19			\$3,544.00	. 2	2 8 W
Feyroll 20		-	\$3,544.00		1.5
: 'lls, O'Dea, Halle		\$7,840.00	*		
() ox Canada Inc.	<u>}</u>		£.		\$438.45
Morne, Stevenson, Kellogg		\$75,811.58			(copies)
Fayroll 21			\$3,544.00		
Merox Canada Inc.				*	\$529.29
Payroll 22			\$907.50		(copies)
Payroll 23	8		\$2,250.00	i Vi	
Fayroll 24			\$2,531.25		
l: ian Donahue	\$55.60				
National Office Equipment		-	4.	\$26.64	
Estional office				\$26.64	
M. A. Parsons	Ţ.				\$15.54
O"'s Travel World	\$137.15	**			[supplie

INSHORE FISHERY

Page .4

PAYEE .	. TRAVELLING .	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	RENTAL	MISC.
Xerox Canada Inc.	1 T 4				\$722.70
MUN		\$25,894.86		Į.	leopie
Xerox Canada Inc.				782	\$1,589.
Thorne, Stevenson,		\$6,949.42			(carie
Kellogg					1
	1	•			,
*	8 4		12.		9 9 10
				-	
S			3		
E			i		
0		,		,	
		-		ar	
	9 2				
		-			
		*			
	2 1				
^		20			
(_3					

OTAL \$279,214.47

MARYSTOWN SHIPYARD =

) PANDE	TRAVELLING .	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	RENTAL	MISC.
Droks & Co. Ltd.	. *				\$21.09 (supplies
Farmop & Hogan	*	\$1,260.00			, te
canadian Pacific Lt.		\$1,576.00		•	
8					
*					
		4			×1
	a * .			8	
	8)		æ 2		
	s				
0					

TOTAL \$2,836.00

RUYAL COMMISSION PUBLIC WORKS

1981/82 Impanditures

(T)	. W		'a'		
PAYEE	TRAVELLING	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	RENTAL	MISC.
berek J. Green		2,762.50		1	1
Peat, Warwick,				,	
Mitchell & Co.		3,469.50			
Curtis, Dawe,				٠	100
Russel & Co.		10,187.89			
Peat, Warwick					200
Mitchell & Co.		4,043.00			
				.**	
				* *	
	1				3
			To the same of the	8	
*					
*					
# P				R	
		- N	1		
			1		
			1		
	1		1		
			1		
			1		
			1		
		1			25
	1	*]	
				I	(9)
	1	1	1	-	
			180	į	
				-	
			4	A CONTRACTOR	
				1	
				Ž.	

1981/82 Expenditures

14-11	1981/82 Expenditures	3		
() PAYER,	TRAVELLING CONSULTANTS	PAYROLE	RENTAL	MISC.
Hadde Duplicating	, , ,			\$ 3,461.82 (copies)
38				
ě			*	
				The state of the s
		34	7	
a.			a	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
0	*			Age of the company of

ROYAL COMMISSION

FOREST PROTECTION AND MANAGEMENT

	1	TECTTON FIND PINI	NAGE PLEAT		
() PAYEE	TRAVELLING	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL		The F
1950/1 7			\$2,500.00	PHNTAL	MISC.
/hgro11 8			\$1,076.44		
Dr. C. F. Poole	\$151.09	al es	+1,070.44		
Hoticay Inn		20.2			
NFLD Telephone Co.			#	\$27.75	
S. Fon McLennon .	\$15.60				\$170.14 Phone
NYLD Telephone Co.		A			
X 33		, ,			\$96.77 (phone)
Entron McLennon	u.		\$1,000.00		\$17.85 remar Sipostage)
Tilden Rent a Car		į,	\$1,000.00		
MELD Telephone Co.	ä			\$36.02	
Pryroll 13			\$65.52		\$94.41 [phone]
() C. Wilton	\$212.15	\$2,000.00	. \$05.52		510 10 2004
Sir Wilfred Grenfel Lollege	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	12,000.00			\$3.32(2000
J. Carroll	\$798.02				s postage)
NFLD Telephone Co.					
Legrow's Travel	\$177.10	j			\$38.64 (pho)
Payroll 15			C2		
Blaron McLennon	America		\$3,500.00		
C.F. Poole	\$148.19				\$15.00 (3=e/akt)
Shuron McLennan	\$20.60				\$31.18
and Telephone Co.		1	Ĭ		SERVICE OF THE O
5.0				Total Control of Contr	\$58.30(;440,
Caproll 18			\$196.56	and a second	\$47.89(vene pestage
(), Busineas Equi			OR BURNING AND ADMINISTRA	Avenue	
				<u>}</u>	1360, 1000

		se 15			(26) (6)
F-X			-		
DAKEE	TRAVELLING	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	RENTAL	MISC.
B.P.A. Ltd.	-				\$20.50
E.P.A. Ltd.		1		1	(ineight) \$142.00 (delivery)
Tilden Ront a Car		*		\$290.88	(dexivery)
MPN					\$34.59
3 r-14	• 00				
! LD Telephone Co.					\$36.25(xeto
W. J. Carroll	-				\$68.17(phon
C. F. Poole		\$4,800.00		\$360.00	
MIIN		\$4,800.00	2	-	
					\$6.30
NoLD Teleghone Co.			9		\$86.22(phon
3:0N					\$12.67 _{(xero}
() ron McLennon	\$15.60				
Sir Wilfred Gren- fell College				8	\$46.80(xeno 5 postage)
$V_{\rm GH}$			121		1
AFLD Telephone Co.					\$15.00 (freight)
Payroll 25			\$27.55		\$81.34 (phone
Sharon McLennon	\$100.74	2	, , ,	g w	
C. F.Poole	\$182.85		¥	H)	
W. J. Carroll	\$303.90				
Dave Gulliver Cabs	1,303.50	¥			
EPA '		*			\$20.40 (deliver
	V-2				\$45,00 Ideliveni
leGrow's Travel	\$185.75				490
Payroll 24			\$33.06		and the state of t
Martin, Woolridge,		\$382.25			

FOREST PROTECTION AND MANAGEMENT Page 3

			· ·			34.43
() PAYEE	TRAVELI	ING ·	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	RENTAL	MISC.
MUN		9 5			1	
			,			\$79.15
W. C. Wilton			\$400.00			
MUN						57 23
Prov. Business Equi	p.					\$7.23
Sharon McLennon						\$27.42(o
Maron McTennon	17.			\$2,000.00		;
MUN .				1	5 - 25	\$5.13(xe
C.F. Poole		-	\$3,300.00		27 Y	postage
Sir Wilfred Gren-			Account Congression of the state			
fell College	ox.				+	\$1.50 (po
Sir Wilfred Gren- fell College			191			\$6.58 (po & phose)
NFLD Telephone Co.				er .		\$297.43()
T. A.T. Rowe						
9	8.	ν,		-		\$2,750.0 (impres
						~
			¥.			
		.	30			
	3			**		
		27	3 * 5			
					2	
		1				5
	-	i i		1	**	
	9			1		
		91.5	P.	1		
		1		Contra		750
4		1		1		
		1		l		
0				-		

1981/82 Expenditures

) PAYES	TRAVELLING	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	RENTAL	MISC.
Payroll 7			\$1,600.00		
'-le Snelgrove	\$1,149.07			- Andrews	
hary Keough	\$409.01	3.			160-
Cr. man Mercer	\$820.76				
kry Keough	\$157.25	- 2			
· ic Snelgrove	\$460.43	i.		***	*
Graham Mercer		* W3	\$6,600.00	. 1	
ofessional Personal Personal Personal And Office Services		¥	\$1,399.50		24 197 1985 1985
hayroll 9		-	\$2,462.50	Þ	54
Graham Mercer			\$3,000.00		
() nell & Office			\$771.77		12.
Contre			· g	\$75.00	
Hayroll 10			\$1,112.50		
Graham Mercer	\$195.41				
-Mary Keough	\$203.00		10.	*	
Eric Snelgrove	\$496.67			8	
Printing Ltd.		7/			\$52.26(ad
Evening Telegram				5/	\$243.36[a
C. S. Doyle Ltd.					\$75.04[ta
Lagrage Temporary Forvices			\$296.24		
O sting Ltd.		3.			\$104.83(a

() PAYES	TRAVELLING	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	RENTAL	MISC.
Graham Mercer	g. 8		\$3,000.00	1	T-22-31-
Payroll 11			\$1,175.00		
Professional Per- sonnell & Office Services	¥		\$761.13		
Dicks & Co. Ltd.					0463 64
Graham Mercer	\$250.04				\$461.64(066. supplies)
Colonial Broadcasti: System	g	T _i gh.			\$187.20 (ad)
Graham Mercer		\$3000.00			
Mary Keough	\$239.96				
Craham Mercer	\$373.66				
Eric Snelgrove	\$517.32	10			
O. Doyle Ltd.		N.			
Hermitage Lions Club				075 00	\$75.03 (tape
Payroll 13			\$1,187.50	\$75.00	
Community of Pool's Cove			27,107.50	\$40.00	
Professional Per- . sonnell & Office Services			\$354.27	8	
-Harvey's Travel Agency	\$604.75				
Harvey's Travel Agency	\$121.00	Ü			-
Lions Club Comm. Centre				\$50.00	
Lreck Cove Comm. Centre				\$25.00	
3.boyle Ltd.					\$75.03 _{[Genes}

		· a	- white		2 . 70
PAYEE	TRAVELLING	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	RENTAL	MISC.
Claham Mercer	-	l ·	\$3,000.00		
Professional Per- connell & Office Services	185		\$845.33		· ·
Lary Keough	\$181.97				
Craham Mercer	\$467:05				1
l ic Snelgrove	\$419.42 -			l	
Graham Mercer			\$3,000.00	- A	
1O.L. #164	720	1.00	× 5	\$125.00	श्रु । अ अ
Community Centre- St. Jacques				\$125.00	, = '*
Cartis, Dawe, Russe	lı.	\$7,379.32			월
Harvey's Travel.	\$362.80				
()fessional Personnell & Office Services	ā		\$634.86		g (40
1.5.M. Canada Ltd.				\$151.24	
Dicks & Co. Ltd.				7	\$84.51
Graham Mercer	8.50		\$3,000.00		(supplies)
Professional Per- sonnell and Office Services			\$336.72	×	
Graham Mercer	\$239.00				*
Dicks & Co. Ltd.		5.		\$820.29	603.6
Harvey's Travel	\$375.90			7020.29	\$216.90 [supplies]
Curtis, Dave, Russell etal	- A	\$7,033.98			
Orahum Mercer			\$3,300.00		
() Telephone Co.					\$196.17

() PAYES ;	TRAVELLING .	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	RENTAL	MISC.
I.B.M. Canada Ltd.	c #			\$78.81	
Gerald S. Doyle Ltd		i ·			\$75.04
Professional Per- sonnell & Office Services		÷.	\$978.60	The state of the s	(tapes)
Payroll 14	* *		\$2,212.50		
Graham Mercer			\$3,300.00		
Payroll 16	1	ž(\$2,087.50	:er*	1000
Graham Mercer	\$78.99				
Mary Keough	\$25.06	*			-
Professional Per- sonnell & Office Services	N.		\$336.72	**************************************	
Snelgrove Graham Mercer	\$75.41		\$3,300.00		
Graham Mercer	\$398.06		\$3,300.00		
Dicks & Co. Ltd.	1,220.00			\$426.24	
Seaton Development Limited		\$448.50		4,120,23	
Harvey's Travel	\$125.30				
Dicks & Co. Ltd.				\$426.24	
Payroll 17	,	and the second s	\$1,437.89		•*
I.B.M. Canada Ltd.				\$78.81	
Dicks & Co. Ltd.					\$62.22
Professional Per- sonnell & Office Services			\$336.72	And the second of the second o	leggiee Ma
L.B.M. Canada Ltd.		*		\$85.47	Banking and the same of the sa
Troll 18			\$1,208.54		4

PAYEE	TRAVELLING .	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	RENTAL	MISC.
Craham Bereur	i, 6	e a 😹	\$3,000.00		
Professional Per- tranell & Office Services	-	t as	\$1,129.41	* 4	
Professional Per- connell and Office Scruices	W (#		\$308.66		
Curtis, Dawe, Rus- sel, Bonnell et al		\$3,550.00			
The Community of		₹ 2		\$50.00	
Graham Mercer	\$155.40	*		370	
J.B.M. Canada				\$78.81	
Payroll 19	-		\$1,308.54		
Licks & Co. Ltd.					\$14.99(11660
ald S. Doyle Ltd		,			\$100.93
Copical Stationary & Office Equipment					recording equ \$83.25
Eric Snelgrove	\$62.52	9			
Graham Mercer	X **		\$3,300.00		
Professional Per- sonnell & Office Services			\$308.66	2	
nicks & Co. Ltd.	130			\$426.24	5 6
Eric Snelgrove	\$338.96			The state of the s	
Graham Mercer	\$537.36			opposite the same of the same	
Liry Reough	\$63.08		þ	-	
Hary Keough	\$237.85				
Ompany		\$3,957.50			· Control of the Cont

O TOTATORE PININSULA Page 6

PAYEE	THAVELLING .	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	RENTAL	MISC.
Payroll 20	2 157	· ·	\$1,208.54		
Staham Mercer			\$3,300.00		
Professional Per- sonnell and Office Services		्रति।	\$729.56	5	
Estern Audio Ltd.	240 4			\$199.80	, a -
odge LOL ∄164				\$50.00	
1.B.M. Canada Ltd.		3 4		\$78.81	New York
rald S. Doyle Ltd					\$119.00
Clobe Travel	\$138.25				office suppl
Tric Snelgrove	\$284.26				
Payroll 21	*		\$2,093.17		
ham Mercer		7	\$3,300.00		
tucks & Co. Ltd.					\$24.06
curtis, Dawe, Russell, Bonnell et al		\$3,018.85			
Payroll 22			\$1,857.53		
Eric Snelgrove	\$498.84				
Mary Keough	\$85.90				
Graham Mercer	\$276.50				
Graham Mercer			\$3,300.00		
Dicks & Co. Ltd.					\$109.11
Enstern Audio Ltd.				\$199.80	อล์ล์เออ ระบาท
.ayroll 23			\$1,833.83		Ì
Cary Keough	\$369.20				
: O: Snelgrove	\$527.80				No.

() PAYEE	TRAVELLING .	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	RENTAL	MISC.
Graham Mercer	\$268.20				
Slobe Travel Ltd.	\$414.75	34E 8			
1.B.M. Canada	3	• 9		\$78.81	
1.B.M. Canada				\$78.81	-
Douglas G. Harris and Company		\$1,950.00		el .	4 *
Payroll 24		* 01.	\$1,789.35	v 4 %	
Dicks & Co. Ltd.		•		\$32.19	Son 1
Payroll 25		ğ	\$2,281.23	£	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Globe Travel Ltd.	\$414.75				
Mary Keough	\$223.96				
Graham Mercer :	\$455.22				
('c Snelgrove	\$505.70	~.			
Schald S. Doyle LTD					\$75.04[tapes]
Morrison L.O.L. #55				\$100.00	adjourned in the second
I.B.M. Canada Ltd.				\$78.81	
Payroll 26			\$2,368.97		
Payroll 1			\$1,835.34	2	
Globe Travel Ltd.	\$414.75	-			
I.B.M. Canada Ltd.		As and distance		\$59.08	, ,
Payroll 2			\$1,627.12	1 si	
Gerald S. Doyle Ltd	1				\$75.04(tapes)
Vollison L.O.L. #55				\$100.00	- Advantage
A.C.W.A.				\$50.00	
obe Town of Harbour		-		\$125.00	

.

.

CONNATGRE PENINSULA Page 8

·					
7) DAMES	TRAVELLING -	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	RENTAL	MISC.
Dicks & Co. Ltd.	- F	1.			\$57.99
I.B.M. Canada Ltd.				\$78.81	essice suppl.
Payroll 3			\$1,786.21	1.0.01	
Graham Mercer	\$753.34				
Eric Snelgrove	\$544.42			1	F
Globe Travel Ltd.	\$138.30				1 .
Dicks & Co. Ltd.	14			\$852.48	
Modern Business Equipment Ltd.	w.	.083 28	-	,	\$642.69(dic phone)
Mary Keough	\$247.33				phone :
J.B.M. Canada Ltd.	+			\$78.81	
Dicks and Co. Ltd.				1.0.01	\$47 00latti
Oinson-Blackmore Printing and Pub-	2	. (9)			\$47.83[066ic supplies]
lishing Ltd.				A STATE OF THE STA	(ad)
Payroll 4			\$1,796.97		
Curtis, Dawe, Russell et al	<i>i</i> .	\$9,878.22			
Dicks & Co. Ltd.				g.	\$77.83(066-
Graham Mercer			\$3,300.00		supplies.
Payroll 5			\$1,782.34		**
I.B.M. Canada Ltd.			Was to the result.	\$78.81	
I.B.M. Canada Ltd.	7.8	*	3 "	\$78.81	
Payroll 6			\$2,396.20	133.00	
1.8.M. Canada Ltd.				\$78.81	
Dicks & Co. Ltd.				\$32.19	
Ciks & Co. Ltd.		,	(m)	\$354.05	

; PAYEE	TRAVELLING	CONSULTANTS	PAYROLL	RENTAL	MISC.
Dicks & Co. Ltd.	4	* 0 0		\$1,278.72	
1.0.1. Lodge #164				\$83.25	
Graham Mercer		÷	\$23,000.00		

* OTAL \$191,690.96