



Province of Newfoundland

FORTIETH GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
OF  
NEWFOUNDLAND

---

Volume XL

Fourth Session

Number 27

---

**VERBATIM REPORT**  
*(Hansard)*

*Speaker: Honourable P.J. McNicholas*

Monday

2 May 1988

The House met at 3:00 p.m.

MR. SPEAKER (McNicholas):  
Order, please!

On Friday morning the hon. the member for Fogo rose on a point of privilege, but before making his case asked that I consider Paragraph 83 of Beauchesne, Fifth Edition. I would ask the hon. member to now make his point of privilege. If, indeed, I need to hear the transcript of any information that was broadcast, I shall endeavour to obtain that information.

MR. TULK:  
Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:  
The hon. the member for Fogo.

MR. TULK:  
Mr. Speaker, firstly I want to thank you for your ruling. On Friday I felt and I still feel, that a point of privilege was necessary concerning allegations by a person outside of this House that a member of this House, namely the Premier, was lying. At that time, as you said, I did not have the transcripts. I now have the typed transcripts and I will table them after I am finished.

I would like to make my point of privilege in this regard: On Thursday the Premier stated in this House, and I quote Hansard, "Mr. Dobbin offered me a job and I turned it down." On Friday morning CBC Radio carried Mr. Dobbin live saying in reply to a question put by one Ted Blades of CBC radio, and I will quote exactly what he said, 'So are you saying that he is lying?' Obviously this was referring to the Premier, if you will read the interview. Craig Dobbin:

'Absolutely! He is lying.'

Now, Mr. Speaker, I want to make the point that I am not interested in any personal controversy between the Premier, in this case I will name him, Brian Peckford, and Craig Dobbin. I am not interested at all. I am interested only in the Office of the Premier and this Legislature, and the fact that the highest member of this Parliament, the highest claimed Minister of this Parliament has been called a liar by a person outside this Legislature.

AN HON. MEMBER:  
Did you discuss this issue with Harry Steele in Gander (inaudible) at your meeting?

MR. SPEAKER:  
Order, please! Order, please!  
Order, please!

MR. TULK:  
Mr. Speaker, that I submit to Your Honour reflects on all of us, and the question obviously is: Does that constitute a prime facie case of privilege? Of course, it is my contention that it does.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to, if I may, refer Your Honour to certain parts of Beauchesne, just as reference for Your Honour, and I will start with section (16): 'The privileges of Parliament, Privileges of Parliament are rights which are "absolutely necessary for the due execution of its powers." They are enjoyed by individual Members, because the House cannot perform its functions without unimpeded use of the services of its Members; and by each House for the protection of its members and the vindication of its own authority and dignity.'

I would also like to refer Your Honour to Page 18, Section 45. There is ample precedent to show that indeed the statements made by Mr. Dobbin, unless, I suggest to Your Honour, he can prove that they are correct, are a contempt to Parliament.'

Again I refer your Honour to Section 45: 'Traditionally, articles in the press reflecting badly on the character of the House have been treated as contempts. It goes on to say, "Two members of the staff of the House have been dismissed for writing such articles, and in 1873 the House judged an article written by a Member to be a "scandalous, false and malicious libel upon the honour, integrity and character of this House, and of certain Members thereof, and a high contempt of the privileges and constitutional authority of this House".'

Section 48: "The House has occasionally taken notice of attacks on individual Members".

Section 49: "Following reports in various newspapers in 1976, the remarks of Mr. Auguste Choquette, which appeared to accuse unnamed Members of accepting bribes, were referred by the House to the Standing Committee on Privileges and Elections".

Mr. Speaker, those are all examples of where, once a person outside or inside the legislature decided to comment upon the character or make allegations against the character of another individual, it was found it to be a contempt of parliament, and a contempt of a legislature.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to also refer to some other quotations

from Beauchesne, and I am sure your Honour will have no trouble finding them. When any of these rights and immunities both of the Members individually and of the Assembly in its collective capacity, which are known by the general name of privileges are disregarded or attacked by an individual or authority, the offense is called a breach of privilege and is punishable under the law of parliament.

I would also like to refer Your Honour to one other thing that is in Beauchesne. Libels upon members and aspersions upon their relation to parliament and interference of any kind with their official duties are breeches of the privileges of members, but to constitute a breach of privilege they must concern the character or conduct of member's in their capacity.

Mr. Speaker, I will contend to you that what we heard from Mr. Dobbin fits those rules exactly, in that the allegations he has made reflect upon the character of the Premier of this Province as being, and I use the word only as he used it, a liar and, therefore is a contempt of this House; it is libel against one of the members of this House, namely the Premier of this Province, and unless Mr. Dobbin can prove to this parliament, which is the highest court in the land, that what he has said is not, in fact, a libel, then I would suggest to you, your Honour, that he is in contempt of this parliament, of this court, and should be brought before this House to explain his actions.

I would also like to refer Your Honour to one other precedent that happened on August 7, 1938, when a dispute arose between one John

Kent and Dr. Edward Kieley. Kent claimed that Kieley verbally assaulted him because of remarks Kent had made in the House of Assembly concerning a Newfoundland Hospital. Not content to seek redress through the courts, Kent claimed Kieley's actions had been a violation of his rights and privileges as a member of the House. In that particular case, Carson, the Speaker, summoned Kieley before the bar of the House and demanded an apology.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I would contend to you again that Mr. Dobbin has libelled the highest minister in this Province. He has done so in relation to statements that the Premier made in this House and outside it. I am not concerned with statements the Premier made outside, but I am concerned with the statements he made here.

I will refer your Honour again, as I said, to the statements made by the Premier on April 28 in this House, when he said in answer to a question by the member for Fortune - Hermitag, "Mr. Dobbin offered me a job and I turned him down." Mr. Craig Dobbin says that that is a lie. I say to you, that unless Mr. Dobbin can prove otherwise, then he is libelling the Premier, and the way for us to decide whether he is or not, is to pass the appropriate motion. Mr. Speaker, I say to you, if you are prepared to find a prime facie case of privilege here, I am prepared to move the following notice of motion. I can move it now, or I can move it later, whichever your Honour decides to do.

AN HON. MEMBER:

It has to be (inaudible) first.

MR. TULK:

I understand you have to have a prima facie case first.

MR. SIMMONS:

Tell them what it is going to be.

MR. TULK:

I am giving notice that if the Speaker does find a prima facie case, I will move the following motion:

Whereas it has come to our attention that Mr. Craig Dobbin has made a serious accusation against a member of the House; and

Whereas such an allegation constitutes a breach of privileges of the House; and

Whereas it is incumbent upon all members to protect the privileges of the House;

Therefore be it resolved that this House agree to refer the matter to the Committee on Privileges and Elections for appropriate action.

Your Honour, if you find a prima facie case, I am prepared to move that motion.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. SIMMS:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the President of the Council, to that point.

MR. SIMMS:

Mr. Speaker, it is rather obvious to me that the Hon. member spent an awful lot of time this weekend digging very deep to try to find anything to substantiate this particular claim of a breach of privilege. He referenced for Your

Honour's consideration situations that went back to 1873 and 1906, which did not have anything to do with the situation that he is describing here today, or trying to describe for Your Honour.

He neglected conveniently, of course, to go on further and quote paragraph 47 for you, the first sentence where it says, Mr. Speaker, and this is right after these other two incidents he referred to, 'More recently notice has occasionally been taken of such articles or comments but the House has failed to take any action.'

What I find rather ironic about this whole issue, the question of whether one person is calling another person a liar or whatever, is that the Liberal party would even bother to raise this particular matter. It was only a week or so ago, for example, that the Leader of the Opposition (Mr. Wells), the member for Windsor - Buchans, a so-called highly respected member of this House - not a member of the general public, but a member of this House - aspiring to be Premier, called another member of this House a liar outside the House - outside the House -

AN HON. MEMBER:

(Inaudible) prepared to defend it.

MR. SIMMS:

Prepared to defend it! Prepared to defend it!

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. SIMMS:

Big deal! Big deal!

That is rather ironic. Let me give you some references from

Beauchesne, Mr. Speaker, if Your Honour is prepared to consider this seriously, and I would assume that he is. I will stick with Beauchesne, the Fifth Edition. I want to quote several references for Your Honour that I will use in argument against this silly point of privilege.

First of all, Beauchesne, Fifth Edition, page 11, paragraph 17: 'A point of privilege is so serious it ought rarely to ever come up in Parliament.'

Secondly, he was not talking about what happened outside the House, he was talking about inside. Page 12, paragraph 19 (1): 'A dispute arising between two Members, as to allegation of facts, does not fulfill the conditions of parliamentary privilege.' That is clear.

Page 25, paragraph 84: The Speaker cannot rule on a question of privilege. His function when a question is raised is limited to simply deciding whether the matter is so serious and of such a character to allow the motion he talked about in order to take priority of all the other business of the day.

Mr. Speaker, I hardly think this matter they have raised is that serious. With respect to what the Premier himself had to say, let me give Your Honour another couple of quotations. Page 114, paragraph 322: 'It has been formally ruled by Speakers that a statement by a Member respecting himself and particularly within his own knowledge must be accepted.' And, it goes on to say: 'No imputation of intentional falsehood is permissible. On rare occasions this may result in the House having to accept two contradictory

accounts of the same incident.' That, Mr. Speaker, is precisely what we have here, nothing more, nothing less.

Let me quote for Your Honour from the House of Commons debates, June 14, 1978 when the Speaker of the day was ruling on a similar question of privilege against the then Prime Minister, Pierre Trudeau. On Page 6404 of those debates the Speaker said, 'The long established practice of parliament is very clear. That is to say, when an hon. member of this House rises in his place, puts the matter on the record' - as the Premier has done - 'it is accepted by the House. There is a very long-established practice that the word of any member of this House is accepted.'

In February 28, 1978, House of Commons debates, Page 3295, the Speaker ruled again: 'When matters of this sort or similar have been raised a Minister or a Member has risen in his place, and under the practices of this House his word has always been accepted and the matter has always been finalized.'

Finally, let me give Your Honour one more quote, and this is a quote from Your Honour's own ruling in March 1987, using another ruling, incidentally, that Your Honour gave on 13 June, 1986, just to show you how consistent Your Honour is on matters of this nature. Responding then to a point of privilege raised by the member for Fortune - Hermitage against the then Minister of Public Works in a very, very similar matter, making accusations of all kinds, and I will conclude with this quote, Mr. Speaker: 'I do not know whether the statements are in fact

untrue. It is not the role of the Speaker to determine the truth or falsity of a member's statements to this House. It is incumbent upon a member making allegations of that nature to proceed not by way of a point of privilege, but by another method that has been described in the past.' A substantive motion could be laid down or something of that nature, but certainly it does not constitute a point of privilege. And even Your Honour told a member of this House the same thing; he cannot proceed by way of point of privilege to try to accuse somebody of being truthful or untruthful.

All the other references I think speak for themselves. When a member of this House or a member of any legislature speaks, his word is accepted, Mr. Speaker. And that has always been the situation, for years and years and centuries and centuries, I suppose. Hon. members opposite, for some strange reason, see this as a political opportunity, no doubt, more than anything else, to try to capitalize on something as silly as this. If they want to continue to play this kind of silly game, then, Mr. Speaker, we are prepared to let them continue to play and hang themselves. If they want to make substantive motions, we are prepared to do the same, too, Mr. Speaker, quite prepared.

MR. FENWICK:  
Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:  
The hon. the member for Menihek.

MR. FENWICK:  
Mr. Speaker, the Opposition House Leader brought up the Carson/Kielly affair, which is a

unique use of that particular precedent, because it did occur in this House back, I think, between 1832 and 1855, at the time of Representative Government but before Responsible Government. I think the member should have continued on with the entire account, because what, in fact, happened in the Carson/Kielly affair was William Carson was the Speaker of the Chamber at the time and essentially got into a fight out on the street with Kielly, who was the Surgeon General of the Colony at the time, I believe. Because of that fight, Carson felt that his privileges as a member of the House were violated. What happened was the House then drew him before the bar, or attempted to. Actually I think they sent the unfortunate Sergeant-at-Arms of that time out to grab Kielly and bring him in. What happened, Mr. Speaker, was that both the courts and Westminster, at the time, clearly overruled this Chamber and said, 'You have nothing to do with a private dispute like that, even if it is a member of the House.'

I think the analogy is exact here. We are not talking about malfeasance on the part of the Premier with regard to his office, we are talking about a dispute between him, as a private individual and another individual. On that basis it has no business whatsoever here, and if we bring him before the bar, we create the same mistake that this House made over 100 years ago and, quite frankly, we should be ashamed of ourselves if we do it.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. SIMMONS:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the member for Fortune - Hermitage.

MR. SIMMONS:

Mr. Speaker, I was tempted to say that the member will probably get an interview now on what should have been done with Kielly.

Mr. Speaker, to the point, which I believe is a very serious one, I submit, first of all, that my good friend from Grand Falls has based all his argument on membership in the House. I submit to him and to Mr. Speaker that in all his citations he talked in terms of persons who were members of the House and in so doing he missed the entire point put down by my friend and colleague for Fogo (Mr. Tulk), that the whole burden here, the whole issue here relates to the actions of a non-member, an individual of the public who is not a member of this House. Point number one.

Point number two: The gentleman for Fogo is in no way questioning the veracity or the truthfulness of the word of a member of this House, in this case the Premier. Indeed, the contrary is true. The gentleman for Windsor - Buchans, the Leader of the Opposition has given the House the undertaking that he accepts the Premier's version of the incident.

Indeed, Mr. Speaker, as the gentleman for Grand Falls has said, it is standard practice, it is tradition that the House accepts at face value the word of any member, and the incident quoted by the gentleman for Grand Falls involving the former Prime Minister, Mr. Turdeau, where you had the word of two members, the respective versions being contradictory, the word of each

respective member was taken because the rule applied in each case, they were, in each case, members of the Chamber.

None of these situations apply here, Mr. Speaker. If I may say so, it seems to me from the vocal support the gentleman for Grand Falls gets from his bench, that all of these people have some difficulty fathoming anything that is not cast in a partisan context, and our purpose here transcends the partisan concern.

AN HON. MEMBER:

They should make you a saint.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. SIMMONS:

Here is a person who is not a member of this House, Mr. Speaker, who has apparently libelled a member of this House. Now, surely that ought to be a member of concern to everybody in this Chamber irrespective of his partisan label.

MR. SPEAKER:

Order, please!

MR. SIMMONS:

Mr. Speaker, I am sure, Sir, you will find it a bit amusing that the people who ought to be defending the member of the House are the people who, for some strange reason, do not want to see him defended on this particular occasion, and that, itself, raises some questions.

Mr. Speaker, we ask you to consider very carefully the point of privilege -

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Oh, oh!

MR. SPEAKER:

Order, please!

MR. SIMMONS:

- raised by the gentleman for Fogo. We hope you will find a prime facie case so we can put down the appropriate motion, so we can bring Mr. Dobbin in here and get at the very bottom of this matter. If he wants to perpetrate lies against the Premier, let him do it to the Premier's face in this Chamber.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. SPEAKER:

Order, please!

To that point of privilege, I will give this due consideration and should be able to bring in some more comment on the matter in the next few days.

#### Statements by Ministers

MR. RIDEOUT:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Minister of Fisheries.

MR. RIDEOUT:

Mr. Speaker, the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador has long recognized that the growing aquaculture industry world wide has particular applications for our own fishery. The Departments of Fisheries and Rural, Agricultural and Northern Development continue to promote the accelerated development of an aquaculture industry within the constraints and limitations of our unique geographic location and environmental conditions.



An integral part of such promotion is the provision of a sound legislative base on which an industry can be developed. A draft bill for The Aquaculture Act was presented to the House of Assembly during the Spring Session of the Fortieth General Assembly and received third and final reading in June of 1987.

The Aquaculture Act, in its present form, is the result of an exhaustive review of similar existing legislation in other areas of Canada and other countries such as Norway. In addition, close consultation was held with the industry and legal experts in the field of aquaculture and ocean law.

As a result of these measures, Mr. Speaker, Newfoundland now has one of the most progressive and comprehensive pieces of aquaculture legislation currently in existence. The Aquaculture Act has been drafted in a broad context to promote an orderly development of commercial aquaculture in this Province, where participants can be confident of government intention towards this industry.

Under these new regulations, the annual fee for an aquaculture lease will be \$100, a sum which will ensure genuine commitment but will not be overly prohibitive. This licence will expire on the last day of the calendar year in which it is issued and is not transferrable.

Site boundaries are required to be clearly identified and have visible the name, licence number, and lease number of the proponent.

The Registrar of Aquaculture shall regard as confidential and refuse

access to members of the general public any information which describes any unique trade practices or technology used by the licensee, unless these trade practices or technology are protected by patent, copyright or industrial design. The Registrar of Aquaculture shall also treat as confidential any information which concerns the financial backing, obligations, or performance of an aquaculture facility or an aquaculture enterprise. The Registrar of Aquaculture shall only regard information as confidential and refuse access to members of the general public to such information if a request for a designation of confidentiality is made in writing by the licensee. The Registrar shall, however, only regard information concerning unique trade practices or technology as confidential for three consecutive calendar years and shall release such information at any time to an individual who is authorized to receive such information by the written consent of the licensee.

Mr. Speaker, although a great deal of time and energy has been committed by this government to the aquaculture industry in this Province, we realize that our industry is actually just beginning to develop. It is in the best interests of this developing industry to keep initial regulations to a minimum rather than attempt to encompass all potential areas of concern.

Ongoing developments in the industry will be monitored on a continual basis to determine if any amendments to the Act or additional regulations become necessary.

I am very pleased to announce

today that this Aquaculture Act has been proclaimed in force by the Lieutenant-Governor in Council and the draft aquaculture regulations, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Executive Council, are approved for gazetting.

The Government of Newfoundland and the Government of Canada wish to establish a regime governing the promotion, development and regulation of an aquaculture industry in Newfoundland. The respective governments also wish to ensure that the application of their legislative jurisdictions occurs in a streamlined and effective manner. Therefore, concurrent with the development of The Aquaculture Act and regulations, a memorandum of understanding has been signed between the Government of Newfoundland and the Government of Canada which allows for shared responsibility in the development and management of an aquaculture industry in the Province.

I feel that the Aquaculture Act and regulations together with the recently signed memorandum of understanding will enable the aquaculture industry in Newfoundland to develop in an orderly and organized manner. I am confident that this industry will contribute significantly to the overall economic development of this Province from both a fisheries and a rural development perspective.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. W. CARTER:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the member for

Twillingate.

MR. W. CARTER:

Mr. Speaker, it is quite obvious, I believe now, to the government and to people in the private fisheries sector that aquaculture is going to be a very important industry in the future. In fact, already it is gaining momentum, I am told, in that world production, for example, has increased very dramatically in the past few years. In fact, I believe it has gone from about 2.6 million tons in 1970, which was the level then, to a present level of about 10 million tons; it probably exceeds 10 million tons right now.

In fact, Mr. Speaker, I think the importance of the aquaculture industry can be summed up by saying that at the present time about 12 per cent to 15 per cent of the world's total production of fisheries products, the fishery output, is done through means of aquaculture.

Now, there are a few things, I believe, that the minister should keep an eye open for: a) that we not overregulate the industry. If there are any complaints I have been hearing in the past couple of years it is that it has become too regulated. For example, a fisherman wishing to commence aquaculture has to, first of all, acquire title to land on the landwash. That, in itself, is a time consuming and rather very involved problem.

I note in the minister's statement he is saying now that it will be a one-stop-shopping type operation, you will go to a department of government and hopefully acquire all the necessary permits to start an aquaculture industry or farm, whatever you want to call it.

Of course, on the other hand, bearing in mind that the aquaculture industry is capital intensive, it is a risky business. Then again, I suppose, there must be certain regulations to protect the people themselves, those who want to get involved in the industry.

Therefore, while certain regulations are necessary, and certain guidelines, I would caution the minister not to allow the bureaucrats to run away with it and to make the regulations too stringent, because there is no more effective way of stymieing the growth of aquaculture in this Province than to allow it to become bound with bureacratic red tape, which, as we all know, is often the case.

Mr. Speaker, there is a recommendation in the House Royal Commission Report on Employment and Unemployment that I believe has some merit, and it is that maybe the time has come, or at some point in time in the foreseeable future, that some of the smaller aquaculture operators, farm operators would get together and organize themselves into a joint marketing agency, where they would share facilities and share the cost of promoting their product, and, of course, share the cost of transporting their product from the various regions in the Province to the marketing area.

Mr. Speaker, I think that aquaculture is going to be one of the means in the future of maybe offsetting, counteracting some of the shortages that we are now experiencing in fish. If properly developed, it seems to be a surer way of guaranteeing a certain supply. In fact, aquaculture is not new in this Province. I am

sure the minister is aware that there is a pond in St. Mary's Bay called Holyrood Pond - I am sure my friend from that district, St. Mary's - The Capes, is aware of this story - which is a huge body of water, and going back one hundred years that was being used for aquaculture purposes. I think there was an English gentleman who came out here and started raising lobster, I believe, in Holyrood Pond. It is not new, but certainly it is an exciting and fascinating science.

MR. SPEAKER:

Order, please!

The hon. member's time has elapsed.

MR. W. CARTER:

Mr. Speaker, I conclude by saying that it is one that we should keep an eye on, make sure it is not over-regulated, yet, at the same time, make sure that people who invest their time and money into aquaculture get the protection they need. Thank you.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the member for Menehik.

MR. FENWICK:

I am pleased to see the statement and the fact that the regulations and the Act has been promulgated, although I am still not entirely sure that this government is on the right approach with the aquaculture development. We saw last year the very unfortunate situation where the co-operative and the people in Bay d'Espoir, the small operators, were bypassed and National Sea was brought in to take 60 per cent of the product from the fish hatchery, and basically to get some sort of a hammerlock on the whole operation. These initiatives on the part of the government, this

direction that they take, I think flies in the face of the way in which development should be done.

If you look very closely at the House Royal Commission, and you look at the Economic Council of Canada study back in 1980, you will see that there is a completely different direction they are talking about there. When you look at a large operator, you are looking at a mega project. What they are saying is that small operators, who are at a widely dispersed basis and who can have a degree of competence built up over the years, are the best way to go and I would like to see that used as the major impetus for developing it. Now, we all know that there has been a considerable amount of development by individual operators, mostly with regard to mussels, and a bit of salmon work as well.

AN HON. MEMBER:  
(Inaudible).

MR. FENWICK:  
Yes, but generally, I think, that is the direction you should have gone in holus-bolus. I think that is the place we can get our people, and we can get the most amount of employment created out of it as well.

Mr. Speaker, there are just one or two things in the statement that are quite mystifying and I would ask if maybe the Minister of Fisheries, somewhere along the line, could clarify them. On Page 2 he mentions the confidentiality of the information for a three year period of time, and in reading the last couple of sentences of that paragraph it is completely obscure what he means by it. He talks about, 'The Registrar shall, however, only

regard information concerning unique trade practices or technology as confidential for three consecutive calendar years and shall release such information at any time to an individual who is authorized to receive such information by the written consent of the licensee." It seem to me, if you have the consent of the licensee, he can give you the information that he has registered there. So maybe the Minister of Fisheries, somewhere along the line, could clarify that. Does this mean that after three years all the information is available?

MR. RIDEOUT:  
Yes.

MR. FENWICK:  
That is what it means? In which case, it makes a bit of sense. I think, maybe, you should talk to your speech writer.

Finally, Mr. Speaker, it is nice to see a memorandum of understanding between the federal and provincial governments because, of course, fish farming and aquaculture is an area where you do have to have some land-based operations, you do have to have some sea-based operations. It is pleasant to see the federal and provincial governments getting their act together so that we have one operation and one place that is responsible for developing it. In that way, I hope we will be able to develop the industry to the extent that it can be.

AN HON. MEMBER:  
Hear, hear!

MR. DINN:  
Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Minister of Mines.

MR. DINN:

Mr. Speaker, on behalf of the hon. Ron Dawe and the other ministers on the St. George's Gypsum Mines Divestiture Committee, I am very pleased to announce today that Domtar Gypsum has decided to reactive the gypsum mining operation this Summer. This decision comes after a year of uncertainty about the operation following Domtar's earlier decision to sell the operation. I am sure this will come as welcome news to the people in the Flat Bay area.

For 1988, operations will be at a reduced level to produce gypsum to supply local consumers and cement plant markets outside of the Province. The Flat Bay mine had supplied material to United States cement plants a few years ago and it appears that market conditions make that feasible once again.

The level of future operations will depend on a decision this Summer on Domtar's proposed new gypsum wallboard plant in New Hampshire. A decision to proceed with the New Hampshire plant will trigger increased production at Flat Bay, beginning in 1989, to supply the new plant. This will require the development of a new quarry at the mine site.

It was just about a year ago that Domtar announced their intention to sell St. George's Gypsum Mines Inc. They had earlier acquired the mining operation when they purchased the assets of GenStar. At the time it did not appear that they would need gypsum from the Flat Bay operation. A joint Company/Government Divestiture Committee was formed with the government side chaired by the

hon. Ron Dawe and including the hon. Hal Barrett, the hon. Neil Windsor and myself, with a number of officials.

The Divestiture Committee was very thorough in its efforts and considerable interest was shown in the operation. However, a sale was not achieved by the original deadline of December, 1987. In the meantime, changing market conditions caused Domtar to re-evaluate the situation and ultimately to decide that the Newfoundland deposits would be the best source of supply for their proposed new plant in New Hampshire.

While operations will be at a reduced level for this year, the company has agreed that every effort will be made to maximize employment, including conducting some rehabilitation of the old quarries. We understand that there is good probability of a decision to proceed with the New Hampshire plant. This will create a long term, stable market for gypsum from Flat Bay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. SIMMONS:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the member for Fortune - Hermitage.

MR. SIMMONS:

Mr. Speaker, firstly, on behalf of the official Opposition, I want to welcome the announcement. We welcome any announcement which has a connotation of job creation, employment, economic development in this Province. Indeed, we are going to be just as enthusiastic

this year at welcoming the announcement as we were when we welcomed the same announcement last year. Mr. Speaker, as the Minister did not bother to say, this is the same initiative that fell through last year.

The second thing, Mr. Speaker that I want to say is I am a little taken aback by the minister's almost unbridled enthusiasm for a plant in New Hampshire. Nowhere did he address the question of why the plant to construct wallboard could not be put in Newfoundland, if it is going to depend upon a raw resource from Newfoundland.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:  
Hear, hear!

MR. SIMMONS:  
I understand that a plant in New Hampshire would create a stable market for gypsum from Flat Bay, but a plant in Newfoundland would create a stable market for gypsum and also create some additional jobs and allow us to do some further processing of our raw resource.

MR. HODDER:  
We have one, in Corner Brook.

MR. SIMMONS:  
Oh, the member for Port au Port has found that out, too. I could have told him that. I am not talking about the one in Corner Brook, I am talking about the proposed new plant in New Hampshire.

If the Premier and the member for Port au Port are indicating that they are satisfied because we already have one, I say to them we are not satisfied.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I take some encouragement from the fact that

the old quarries are going to be looked at with a view to rehabilitation, and I hope that the Fischells' deposit will be taken into account in that particular context.

Mr. Speaker, the minister did not indicate, but I hope he will subsequently, just how many workers are going to be hired for this operation this Summer. That would be helpful information to the people, particularly, who live in the immediate area. Otherwise, we believe it is a good initiative on the part of Domtar, it is part of a good initiative, and we hope they will go all the way and process the gypsum here as wallboard.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:  
Hear, hear!

MR. SPEAKER:  
The hon. the member for Menihek.

MR. FENWICK:  
Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

We must be the biggest fools that every walked the face of the earth. About a year ago, that same minister stood up in this House and announced as a great opportunity the sale from GenStar to Domtar of this particular operation, and said that it would be a good move; after all it was the free market working and everything else. Three or four weeks later, he comes back into this House to announce it was being closed down. Why Mr. Speaker? Was it because we were running out of Gypsum? No. Was it because we ran out of markets and there was no place to sell it? No. It was because the new operator had other mines and other operations and just wanted the market. He did not particularly

care at all about what went on in St. George's.

Now, after a year of tremendous uncertainty and people running out of unemployment insurance, we have the company coming back and saying, 'Well, we have a little need for it this year, so we will operate it for a couple of months this Summer and maybe will open up an operation in New Hampshire.

Mr. Speaker, it is typical of the way we developed our economy, not just since this government has been in place but for the last eighty or ninety years, since we started with foreigners. When I talk about foreigners I mean foreign capital from the United States and from other parts of the country dominating our economy, telling us exactly what we should do. Mr. Speaker, it is not in our best interests. It clearly has not been in the past, it will not be in the future, and I think we are going to see the minister stand up a month from now and say, 'Whoops, I am sorry! They are not going ahead with the new Hampshire plant. We are still going to have to lay the people off' and very little will happen. Mr. Speaker, we are fools. We never, ever learn.

#### Oral Questions

MR. WELLS:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Leader of the Opposition.

MR. WELLS:

Mr. Speaker, on Friday when the Premier was dealing with the question of the proposed simulator facility, he suggested that an

offer that had been made - Hansard says 'submitted to the federal government on May 2 ... and approved on April 23,' but I believe that should be March 2 because the chronology he submitted suggested March 2, so Hansard is in error there - he went on to say, 'and they still have not concluded the deal. They still have not accepted the offer.' Where does the projects stand at this moment?

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Premier.

PREMIER PECKFORD:

We are still waiting. I will table now, Mr. Speaker, the Order in Council, which I tabled this morning to the press, of February 26, approving it to from the Province, and then a letter that went forward to the federal government, then the approval from the federal government, and our letter of approval, too, which included both federal and provincial approvals based upon a set of conditions. The conditions are attached, which I tabled as well.

Number one, I just want to clear it up because the Leader of the Opposition brought it up on Friday, and one of the other members asked for the Order in Council, so I have a copy of the Order in Council here as well, which clearly indicates that there was no conflict of interest here between myself and the company. Because this was all done back in February and March and the letter went out May 8 to the company from us on behalf of both governments, with the attached conditions, which are here as well.

So the company has not been able to meet the conditions that the

two governments placed upon the project in order for us to release our money and for the project to go ahead. We are still waiting for the company to get back with an acceptance of the offer that was put to them last year, and I table some of the information. The Leader of the Opposition might want to go over some of the conditions that were in that letter that went out to them last year. So we are still waiting for the company to respond, as I understand it, to the offer that was made by both governments.

MR. WELLS:  
Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:  
The hon. the Leader of the Opposition.

MR. WELLS:  
That was on May 8 from the letter I saw, there is a copy of it on my desk, but I did not see the conditions that were attached. Are they tabled?

PREMIER PECKFORD:  
Here it is.

MR. WELLS:  
I am sorry. It does contain those four conditions. On October 9 there was a NIS news release, a formal statement made by the Hon. John C. Crosbie, the Hon. Mr. Ottenheimer, who was then Minister of Energy, and I believe, a Mr. Mark Dobbin, who was Vice-President of Sealand Helicopter Limited. It was released over the NIS wire and stated that the proposal was going ahead, that it was going to be developed. Were the conditions, then, met at that time, and since unable to be met? Or why was it announced on October 9 that the

project was going ahead?

MR. SPEAKER:  
The hon. the Premier.

PREMIER PECKFORD:  
Well, it was approved by us. I will have to look at the exact statement that went out because I have not got that here. I guess at that time the company thought that they had met the conditions. I do not know. I checked over the weekend and there still is not a complete agreement on it.

MR. SIMMONS:  
The first sentence.

MR. TULK:  
The first line.

PREMIER PECKFORD:  
Yes.

MR. WELLS:  
'Will go ahead.'

PREMIER PECKFORD:  
'Will establish,' yes. Well, they have not met our conditions as of this day.

MR. WELLS:  
Then, why was it announced on October 9 if conditions were not met?

PREMIER PECKFORD:  
Well, there was supposed to be an announcement of an offer. I will have to check and see why it went out this way. I do not know. It does say 'will,' I agree with you. But there is no deal, as of this time, because they have not written us back meeting all the conditions that we offered. I do not know why it was made that way.

MR. WELLS:  
Mr. Speaker.



MR. SPEAKER:

A final supplementary, the hon. the Leader of the Opposition.

MR. WELLS:

I understand that the Premier may need a bit of time to look at this.

PREMIER PECKFORD:

I will just have to check with the people downstairs who told me that.

MR. WELLS:

Yes.

MR. PATTERSON:

(Inaudible) what your salary is.

MR. SPEAKER:

Order, please!

MR. WELLS:

Mr. Speaker, I understand the Premier may need some time to look at it. Would he tell us what conditions have not been met, where the matter stands now and give us an explanation as to why it was firmly announced as a project going ahead on October 9, 1987?

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Premier.

PREMIER PECKFORD:

Yes. Mr. Speaker, I have no problem with that at all. The wording here is as the Leader of the Opposition said, and my understanding, in going through it over the weekend, is that the company has not come back accepting all of the conditions of the offer that was made by both governments.

MR. TULK:

All of them or some of them?

PREMIER PECKFORD:

I do not know if it is all of them. I suppose they met some of

them, but the package, as presented, has not been accepted by the company.

MR. SIMMONS:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the member for Fortune - Hermitage.

MR. SIMMONS:

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I want to raise a question with the Premier on a related issue. It goes back to last Thursday here in the House when I put a question to the Premier about the now much publicized job offer with Canadian Helicopters. He indicated at that time that the offer was not pursued. I could read the words to him but I am sure he remembers what he said on that occasion. The essence was that it came up in one meeting and the offer was not pursued because he was not interested.

Now, Mr. Speaker, subsequent to that exchange between the Premier and me here in the House, indeed on Friday, I believe, the Premier told the press that a job offer was, indeed, discussed on at least four occasions, in three separate meetings and in a telephone call. I wonder would the Premier indicate to the House again which version is correct, the one he told the House or the one he told the press?

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Premier.

PREMIER PECKFORD:

No, Mr. Speaker, I did not say four meetings to the press. I think Mr. Dobbin has said four meetings. I have indicated that

in an initial meeting, which was on another subject altogether, which I explained in the House last week-

MR. SIMMONS:  
Strawberry Hill.

PREMIER PECKFORD:  
Yes, it was Strawberry Hill.

- that was when the offer came up and there was one subsequently meetings to that, after Mr. Dobbin made the offer to me, where he explained it to me, and then I did not accept.

MR. SIMMONS:  
There were two meetings.

PREMIER PECKFORD:  
Yes.

MR. SIMMONS:  
Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:  
A supplementary, the hon. the member for Fortune - Hermitage.

MR. SIMMONS:  
Mr. Speaker, by way of a supplementary, Mr. Dobbin has said, in talking about the details of the deal - or I guess we ought to be saying the alleged deal insofar as this House is concerned because the Premier has told us otherwise - but Mr. Dobbin has said publicly, in talking about some of the terms of the alleged deal, that it included a \$100,000 corporate loan at low interest rates. Again, I want to give the Premier an opportunity to put on the record what his recollection is of this particular detail, if it ever came up at all. Will he confirm that this issue was discussed at all? Or will he tell us exactly what Mr. Dobbin is alluding to here when he talks

about a \$100,000 corporate loan at low interest?

PREMIER PECKFORD:  
Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:  
The hon. the Premier.

PREMIER PECKFORD:  
I think I have already answered that, Mr. Speaker. That is news to me. I was offered a job by Canadian Helicopters International - or Canadian International Helicopters, whatever the name is, whatever way the words go together - for \$150,000 a year for five years. And it is the first time I ever heard of anything to do with a \$100,000 loan. It gets expensive, see. What is it? Eight hundred and fifty thousand dollars in total.

MR. SIMMONS:  
The \$1 million man.

MR. K. AYLWARD:  
Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:  
The hon. the member for Stephenville.

MR. K. AYLWARD:  
Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I was hoping to address the question to the Minister of Labour (Mr. Blanchard), but he is not here today. I would like therefore to address the question to the hon. Premier. The situation exists in this Province today whereby Newfoundlanders and Labradorians are being denied work on defense construction, Canada's north warning sites at Cartwright and Saglek.

The situation has existed for the past two years and it is

anticipated by all concerned when work commences within the next few weeks there will be no difference this year, which is the final year of the three year construction project. It is also expected to be a most labour intensive year for construction work at the north warning sites in the Fall. And this is from a brief, Mr. Speaker, that was presented to an hon. federal minister, but also to us in the provincial government.

Could the Premier tell us what he and his government officials are doing about this matter to correct it so that Newfoundlanders and Labradorians can get a chance, an opportunity, to work on these projects here in the Province?

PREMIER PECKFORD:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Premier.

PREMIER PECKFORD:

Mr. Speaker, this is somewhat similar to situations that arise when we have federal - provincial agreements. This is even worse, in one sense, where it is a completely federal job. When this whole early warning system came up in the beginning, a couple of years ago, we made representation to the federal government, first of all, to break up for the design and engineering of the work into small packages to allow engineering firms in Newfoundland to participate. We have been - what shall I say? - fairly successful in that effort and we persuaded the federal government, and Mr. Crosby and others, to organize the schedule and organize the work in such a way that Newfoundlanders in the engineering fraternity would get an opportunity to get in on the

engineering and design of it. And that has worked and a number of engineering firms in the Province have gotten involved.

It came to my attention sometime ago, all through this whole project up North in Saglek and in Cartwright and so on, and the whole business of jobs for Labradorians and for Newfoundlanders. And we are the devil's own job getting through to the federal government to put some preference on Canadians who live in Newfoundland and Labrador. It is the same way in the tender system on federal-provincial projects. If it is a completely financed provincial project our local preference applies, and we can exert some pressure to see that our own people are employed.

But when you get tender calls going out, involving federal money and provincial money - even though it is all Canadian money - and tenders come in from a contractor in Newfoundland and Labrador and a contractor on the Mainland, even if the contractor in Newfoundland comes in only 5 percent more expensive, most times - if not always - it goes to the mainland firm.

Given that this is 100 percent federal, what are we doing? to come directly to your question. We are doing everything we can to try to persuade the federal government to hire as many Labradorians and Newfoundlanders as is possible. But, you know, we can just keep shouting and bawling and doing all that is necessary to do, and writing and telephoning to do it, but, of course, in the final analysis they either are dented by our influence or are undented by our influence. We are doing all we can to try to get as

many jobs for our own people as we can.

MR. K. AYLWARD:  
Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:  
A supplementary, the hon. the member for Stephenville.

MR. K. AYLWARD:  
Mr. Speaker, so much for consultation and co-operation, because this is a problem that has gone on for two years, and is now entering a third year.

I would like to ask the Premier what specific actions is his government taking with this problem? Are there discussions with the federal Minister of Supply and Services (Mr. Jekinek) or the federal Minister of Labour (Mr. Cadieux), or the Intergovernmental Affairs Secretariat? We would like to know what specific actions are taking place right now, because the work is going to be starting in the very near future.

MR. SPEAKER:  
The hon. the Premier.

PREMIER PECKFORD:  
Specifically it has been handled in large measure by Intergovernmental Affairs (Mr. Dawe) but in the last few months by the Minister of Northern Development (Mr. Warren) as well. So for specific action, tomorrow afternoon in Goose Bay there will be a meeting between the Minister of Northern Development, the Minister of Intergovernmental Affairs with the Defense Department to once again hammer this issue with the federal government to try and get more jobs.

There is a meeting tomorrow afternoon with the Defense Department directly, with the Minister of Intergovernmental Affairs and the Minister of Northern Development, as a follow-up to other letters, telephone calls, representations, meetings that we have had for the last six or eight months. We are trying to bring it to a head again tomorrow.

MR. K. AYLWARD:  
Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:  
A final supplementary.

MR. K. AYLWARD:  
They are trying to bring it to a head, Mr. Speaker. I once heard a Premier up in Alberta saying, 'When can we come home?' We have people here in this Province now who cannot even get an opportunity - to get work on projects in Newfoundland and Labrador.

So, I would like to ask could the Premier, while these discussions and this meeting is going to occur now - finally something is going to happen, at least to stimulate the discussion - table any correspondence that he or his ministers or his government have had with the federal officials? While he is doing that, I will table for him my own correspondence to the federal Minister of Supply and Services and also my own correspondence to the federal Minister of Labour, both of whom say there is nothing much they can do, that is the way it is, and, sorry, but that is the way it is going to be. Can you answer that question?

MR. SPEAKER:  
The hon. the Premier.

PREMIER PECKFORD:

Mr. Speaker, we get exactly the same response as the hon. member gets to our representation.

MR. K. AYLWARD:

Co-operation.

PREMIER PECKFORD:

Exactly. On a matter which is totally within federal jurisdiction, they can go ahead and do as they like.

I just find it a little bit interesting. I mean, the hon. the member for Stephenville is old enough to recognize that when I started on this local preference business years ago, as it related to the offshore and then generally, I did not get that much support from members opposite or from anybody who sat opposite.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

PREMIER PECKFORD:

Talk about representation! I cannot remember getting one letter from members of the Opposition saying, 'As Premier of Newfoundland, even though you are a different political colour, I support you on local preference.' I did not see too many representations coming to me from the hon. member or his party during that time.

I can remember, Mr. Speaker, going on a nation open line show on CBC right across the country, and the first call that came in was from a Newfoundlander saying, 'My son, what is wrong with you, Peckford, bringing in local preference into Newfoundland.'

MR. SIMMS:

See the same crowd, too.

MR. FENWICK:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the member for Menihek.

MR. FENWICK:

Mr. Speaker, my question is for the Minister of Health (Dr. Collins), and it concerns an article that was in yesterday's Sunday Express. In reading the article, Mr. Speaker, the impression is given that the Minister of Health looks upon the omission of sexual orientation in the Human Rights Code as an effective method for fighting an AIDS epidemic. Since I know the minister is considerably more intelligent and articulate than that, and would not make such an irresponsible statement, the question I have for him is: Would he please get up in his place and correct the obvious inaccuracy in an article like that?

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Minister of Health.

DR. COLLINS:

Mr. Speaker, I have not seen the newspaper in question. I do not have a subscription to the newspaper in question, and I will have to borrow it, I guess, and find out what the hon. member is talking about.

MR. FENWICK:

A supplementary, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

A supplementary, the hon. the member for Menihek.

MR. FENWICK:

In that case, Mr. Speaker, I will put it in more context, because I thought that the minister had read the article concerned.

AN HON. MEMBER:

Table it.

MR. SIMMS:

What do you want more research funds for, Peter?

MR. FENWICK:

No problem. I will be glad to table it.

The question is this: Is the minister looking upon denying people with a different sexual orientation in our Province the same human rights protection we have from discrimination in employment or housing or other social services? Is the minister looking on that as an effective method of discouraging people of different sexual orientation and is he looking on that as a means of allegedly combating an AIDS epidemic as is alleged in the particular article?

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Minister of Health.

DR. COLLINS:

Mr. Speaker, I think the facts are well known by now, that there are certain circumstances that are related to the AIDS infection and the AIDS disease. Those circumstances are in 90 per cent of the cases due to illicit intravenous drug abuse, and that is because there is multiple use of needles that are not properly sterilized and, therefore, the infection gets into the bloodstream in that way. The second way that 90 per cent of cases arise is through homosexuality activities or bisexual activities, and those activities are of a particular type that have been documented in the medical literature. Now, Mr. Speaker, there are a smaller number of cases that come in other

ways. For instance, unfortunately, before the AIDS virus was well identified and so on, blood products that were given medically were contaminated, but that is not now the case. All these products, in the Western world anyway, or most countries of the Western world, are now protected so that there is no risk from blood transfusions or blood products given to hemophiliacs, so on and so forth. Then there is another group whereby infants of mothers with AIDS infection themselves become infected from blood exchange across the placenta. So those are the small number of cases, but the vast, vast majority are from intravenous drug abuse and from certain sexual proclivities of the homosexual and bisexual community. My approach is we have to try to discourage those types of activities if we want to get at the AIDS virus. There is nothing wrong with putting out all sorts of general information, it is great stuff and all that sort of thing, but really to hit the source of the problem you have to get at those two particular sources of infection.

MR. FENWICK:

A supplementary, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

A final supplementary.

MR. FENWICK:

My supplementary would be to the Premier, if he were in his seat, because I am quite concerned that what we seem to have is a government position over there whereby they are denying human rights protection to a class of individuals under the guise of saying that it is some sort of health matter. Now, this question will be to the Deputy Premier, or whoever wishes to answer on behalf

of the government. It is a straight, clear-cut question. I want to know what the government's position is with regard to including sexual orientation as a protected category under which individuals shall not be discriminated in our human rights code? That means they cannot be fired for having a different sexual orientation, that means they cannot be denied housing for it, that means they cannot be denied other general social services. Would someone from the government please answer my question directly? Do you believe in protecting those rights as Quebec, Ontario, Manitoba and the Yukon now do, or do you not?

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the President of the Council.

MR. SIMMS:

Mr. Speaker, I will refer the question to the Deputy Premier and let the Deputy Premier answer it.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Minister of Health.

DR. COLLINS:

Mr. Speaker, the hon. member is correct, that certain provinces have acted on this particular matter, but the majority have not. I presume that the other provinces have it under study, under consideration. These types of serious alterations in government policy, and so on, often take time to put into effect because not infrequently they have wide-ranging ramifications and you need to be aware of those and you need to study them to see where you are going. I cannot really speak for the other provinces which have not enacted the sexual orientation aspect of things. I cannot speak for them but I can

speak for our own government, and that is that we have it under consideration and at some point in time a definitive decision will be made in the matter.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the member for the Strait of Belle Isle.

MR. DECKER:

My question is for the hon. the Minister of Education (Mr. Hearn).

The minister will know that the Throne Speech announced the immediate appointment of a task force to find out why one-third to one-half of high school graduates fail in math and science in their first-year courses in post secondary institutions.

I want to go on record as praising the hon. minister for such a progressive plan. It has been welcomed by educators throughout the Province.

I would like for the minister now to give the House an interim report on the progress of this task force and, while he is at that, would he give the House the names of the members who are serving on the task force?

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Minister of Education.

MR. HEARN:

Mr. Speaker, first of all let me thank the hon. member for his complimentary remarks on the idea of coming up with the task force, one which is badly needed, as we know.

I would like to report to the hon. gentleman and the House that the guidelines for the task force, the Terms of Reference, have now all been drawn up, have been agreed

to, and a number of names of individuals have been pooled to make the final selection, which will be done within a very, very short time. I would say within days. I will certainly notify the House and the hon. gentleman at that time.

MR. DECKER:

A supplementary, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

A supplementary, the hon. the member for the Strait of Belle Isle.

MR. DECKER:

Unfortunately, Mr. Speaker, I have to withdraw my compliments.

The Throne Speech said that the task force would be put in place immediately. Will the minister explain why he is sitting on this very important issue? Has the minister lost some of the sense of urgency that was portrayed in the Throne Speech?

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Minister of Education.

MR. HEARN:

Mr. Speaker, no, not at all, but, unlike the hon. gentleman and the members opposite, fools rush in where angels fear to tread, so we took our time to develop the Terms of Reference. It is a very serious study, a very complicated one. We are looking at, actually, something which will affect greatly the future success of many of the young people in our schools. So, consequently, the proper Terms of Reference had to be established and, in order to establish such Terms of Reference for such a detailed look at the topic, then a number of agencies had to be consulted. That did take some time, and finding the

proper people, of course, to do such a study. You know, you just cannot pick everyone whom you might think is qualified because people have commitments too. So, consequently, putting all of this together does take time. We have been working steadily on it and, as I say, within a very short time we will be announcing the start of the project.

MR. DECKER:

A final supplementary, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

A final supplementary, the hon. the member for the Strait of Belle Isle.

MR. DECKER:

I guess the minister is too busy fighting with teachers to play around with such a trifle.

The minister will know that if this math problem is to be overcome, students will have to receive a maximum of math instruction at the day school level. Will the minister explain whether or not provision will be made to increase math instruction when the junior high programme is put in place? It is my understanding that junior high math will be reduced with the introduction of the new junior high report.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Minister of Education.

MR. HEARN:

Mr. Speaker, in order to properly fulfil the mandate, the committee will have to look at all the reasons why our students are not doing as well as they possibly should at the post-secondary level. The answer could be that there at some problems at the post-secondary level, it could be



all the problems exist at the senior high school level, or perhaps we will find many of the problems start well before that. As you know, especially in math, it is something that builds. Consequently, these are the things that will be looked at and the concerns raised by the hon. member could be very legitimate ones and certainly, we expect him and others to make submissions to the task force when they start operating.

MR. W. CARTER:  
Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:  
The hon. the member for Twillingate.

MR. W. CARTER:  
My question goes to the hon. the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Rideout). And again, it concerns the Canada-France fishery dispute, and boundary dispute. News reports over the weekend, Mr. Speaker, indicate that Canada and France, within the next week or ten days, will be appointing a mediator to work on the dispute, to mediate the dispute. Will the minister tell the House if Newfoundland has had any input in the selection of that mediator?

MR. SPEAKER:  
The hon. the Minister of Fisheries.

MR. RIDEOUT:  
Mr. Speaker, I can tell the hon. gentlemen and the House that since we have been provided with the mediation agreement that was signed in Paris, I believe a week ago Friday - anyway, the latter part of the week before - we have had an opportunity to study that particular document. We have communicated to the federal government some concerns that we

have with the mediation process, and we have indicated to the Government of Canada that we would be quite prepared and anxious to pass on our comments and our suggestions and our recommendations on the proposed person who may end up being the mediator.

MR. W. CARTER:  
Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:  
A supplementary, the hon. the member for Twillingate.

MR. W. CARTER:  
Mr. Speaker, my supplementary is question to the minister. It seems to me that this matter is of such importance to this Province, the selection of that mediator is of such importance, that the Minister should not be asking or expressing an interest in having input, but should be almost demanding it. I wonder, Mr. Speaker, has the Premier, then, received any indication at all back from the powers that be to the effect that Newfoundland will be given a say in the selection of that mediator? And if that information is not forthcoming, Mr. Speaker, within the next few days, would the minister not communicate with his counterpart in Ottawa and, in light of its importance, demand that Newfoundland have a say in the selection of that person?

MR. SPEAKER:  
The hon. the Minister of Fisheries.

MR. RIDEOUT:  
Mr. Speaker, the hon. gentleman will be mindful, no doubt, of the fact that the mediator, if both sides can agree on a name, is supposed to be selected by the end of this week. That is one of the

concerns that we have with the particular accord that was signed in Paris; we think that it is actually too tight on timing in terms of the selection of the person. We have been in communication with the federal government, with our representative in the federal Cabinet, I believe the Premier with the Prime Minister's Office and the Minister of Intergovernmental Affairs with the appropriate people in Ottawa, and we have been in touch with Mr. Siddon outlining some concerns that we have with the accord, and we are going to demand that we have an opportunity to address those as best we can.

I do not really think, for example, that it is practical to be married to the end of the week deadline for the selection of the particular individual. That is one area, but there are others where we have concern with this particular accord.

MR. W. CARTER:  
Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:  
A final supplementary.

MR. W. CARTER:  
Mr. Speaker, I assume that once the mediator has done his thing that he will be then reporting back to a general meeting of both the Canada and France negotiators.

That being so, Mr. Speaker, would the minister then not consider it necessary that Newfoundland be represented at that conference when the mediator brings back his recommendation? Would the minister not agree, in light of the importance of what is happening, that it is important that Newfoundland have

representatives or observers at that meeting to ensure that our rights are protected?

MR. SPEAKER:  
The hon. the Minister of Fisheries.

MR. RIDEOUT:  
Mr. Speaker, the hon. gentleman will be aware, no doubt, that it was from the formal negotiating team that Newfoundland withdrew back several months ago after non-surplus Northern cod had been placed on the bargaining table. We have since that particular time continued to be represented on the industry and provincial advisory committee that continued to advise the federal negotiator and the federal negotiating team, on and off as negotiations have been since that particular time.

I should also say to the hon. gentleman that the mediator is a facilitator. He is not a negotiator, he is not an arbitrator, under the terms of the accord there is not meant to be any recommendations, there is not meant to be any formal negotiations, there is not meant to be any arbitration. The mediator's role is solely to explore back and forth between both sides whether or not a possible solution might be available if you do this, or if you do that, or if you do something else.

In the final analysis, Mr. Speaker, while there will be no written report, no written recommendations, any agreement that may come out of the mediation process has to be ratified by both sides, the Government of Canada and the Government of France.

And, of course, in terms of the Government of Canada, we are still

very active in the federal-provincial-industry-government advisory committee that is still existing and operating.

MR. SPEAKER:

Order, please!

The time for oral questions has elapsed.

Presenting Reports by  
Standing and Special Committees

MR. WINDSOR:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Minister of Finance.

MR. WINDSOR:

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to table the annual report of Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro for the year 1987.

Answers to Questions  
for which Notice has been Given

DR. TWOMEY:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Minister of Public Works.

DR. TWOMEY:

Thank you.

I wish to table in the House an answer to Question 118 asked by the hon. the member for Burgeo - Bay d'Espoir (Mr. Gilbert).

I wish to also answer questions that were asked at the Estimates Committee by the hon. the member for Burgeo - Bay d'Espoir. He questioned 2.02.02.07; another

question asked in the Estimates referred to 3.1.03.04; another one asked in the Estimates details the salary voted for Newfoundland Information Services; another question asked in the Estimates was to list the location of all rental office space leased outside St. John's by the Province, give the length of time for the lease of each rental agreement, and give the financial terms of each rental agreement.

Petitions

MR. GILBERT:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the member for Burgeo - Bay d'Espoir.

MR. GILBERT:

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have a petition from the community of McCallum. The petition reads:

To The Hon. House Of Assembly, In Parliament assembled. The petition of the undersigned fishermen of McCallum in the district of Burgeo - Bay d'Espoir humbly sheweth:

WHEREAS the fishermen's wharf in McCallum only measures 30' x 30' and is not adequate to serve the needs of the fishermen; and

WHEREAS the fishermen have difficulty in unloading their fish; and

WHEREAS the fishermen have no place to tie their boats; and

WHEREAS the fish collector has problems trying to get the fish on

board the boat; and

THEREFORE, the undersigned, your petitioners, humbly pray and call upon the House of Assembly to ask the federal Minister of Fisheries and Oceans to allocate monies immediately for a fifty-foot extension to this wharf.

As in duty bound your petitioners will ever pray.

Mr. Speaker, it is an interesting petition in view of the fact I have already has some correspondence with the provincial Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Rideout) concerning this. He informed me the fish shed and wharf was owned by the provincial government but leased to Clarendville Ocean Products. They felt that they could not do much with it while the term of the lease was in place.

I have checked with the federal Department of Fisheries to see if they could do anything about it and they say no. If it was theirs, they could then, possibly, do something about it.

So it seems to me to be a situation where the buck is being passed between the Province and the federal government and then back, and still the people who suffer are the fishermen in McCallum.

There are over forty boats using this wharf in McCallum, and anyone who knows anything about the South Coast realizes that these are two-men boats, in most cases, and they fish outside of McCallum, outside of Pushthrough and out in that area of the South Coast.

They go through enough hardship when they go out to fish in the

morning without having to come in and suffer further hardship by waiting for hours to try and unload, sometimes not even able to get near the wharf for hours.

For your information, Mr. Speaker, I would like to point out there was almost 3 million pounds of fish landed in McCallum last year which was taken and processed in the fish plant at Hermitage, and that created jobs for about one hundred workers for an additional four months.

We have a bunch of people in McCallum that are not asking for anything out of the ordinary. They are a hard working, industrious group and they are contributing their fair share to the economy, I might add.

Mr. Speaker, if you just took the statistics from the amount of fish they caught last year, and the overall contribution to the economy by the employment they created in the fish plant in Hermitage, I think they have served better than what they were actually getting, especially the runaround they are getting. The provincial government owns it and they say they cannot do anything because it is leased. The federal government say 'Yes, we would, but it is not ours. We think something should be done.' They have been in and had a look at it.

Not only is the wharf small, but the fish shed that those men have to use is small as well, and that comes under the same broad scope as the wharf. Again, it is leased to Clarendville Ocean Products and nobody wants to take responsibility for it.

It seems to me to be another example of this government's

attitude toward rural Newfoundland. It seems to me as if there is an attempt to bury them in McCallum, instead of doing something to help them in a very vital industry, one that is the backbone of Newfoundland.

This government can find \$22 million for a Sprung project in Mount Pearl, but yet, they cannot find a few thousand dollars to expand the wharf in McCallum that is going to contribute more to economy, I submit, Mr. Speaker, than ever the Sprung project is going to contribute, where we put \$22 million in.

What I say is they should be able to find money to support the fishing industry. Mr. Speaker, it is the backbone of our economy. I would like to point out, Mr. Speaker, that to this House, as the fishing industry in McCallum, so goes the fish plant in Hermitage because it is interrelated. It is a situation where if the community of McCallum stops fishing, or is not able to land the fish they catch, it affects the dollars that are produced in the fish plant at Hermitage.

MR. SPEAKER:  
Order, please!

MR. GILBERT:  
In conclusion, Mr. Speaker, I would ask that the minister pay very close attention to this petition and ensure the people of McCallum are looked after and get the rights and justice as they are entitled as hard-working Newfoundlanders.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:  
Hear, hear!

MR. RIDEOUT:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:  
The hon. the Minister of Fisheries.

MR. RIDEOUT:  
Mr. Speaker, let me take a few minutes to acknowledge the presentation of this particular petition by the hon. gentleman for Burgeo - Bay d'Espoir and to make a few remarks on it.

First of all, Mr. Speaker, I should say that I believe over the last several days or weeks the hon. gentleman has been in touch with me regarding the possible transfer of this particular facility from the provincial Department of Fisheries to the Small Craft/Harbours Board.

It is my understanding the Small Craft/Harbours Board are prepared to invest some money to create an extension of this particular wharf, and, of course, that is the role and the constitutional responsibility of the Small Craft/Harbours Board moreso than it is the Provincial Department of Fisheries. Albeit we have over the last several years built a number of these facilities, and rightly so, in a number of fishing communities around the Province in an effort to expand the infrastructure necessary for the prosecution of the fishery.

If the Small Craft/Harbours Board wish to have responsibility for this particular facility, then certainly we will not impede that nor will we stand in the way of that. We will ensure that haste is made or we will ensure that we quickly move to have that particular facility transferred to their jurisdiction, if that is what they wish, and if they make some legitimate commitments for

further expansion of the facility.

I just want to make a comment on the hon. gentleman's statement about government's attitude towards rural Newfoundland and say to him at least the facility was put there by the provincial government. The wharf was built and the shed was built by the Provincial Department of Fisheries. I would say to him that is at least some degree of commitment towards providing an appropriate facility.

What has happened, obviously, is that over the last few years the facility has been outgrown by the particular activity in McCallum. It is an important facility and the fishery of McCallum is very important for the fish plant in Hermitage, as he legitimately points out.

I can assure him, Mr. Speaker, that if the commitments that he talked to me about from the Small Craft/Harbours Board can be substantiated, then I will ensure that this particular facility is transferred posthaste so that the fishermen of McCallum can have their facility expanded and improved to the -

AN HON. MEMBER:

I notice the word 'posthaste'.

MR. RIDEOUT:

Well, it will go from us posthaste. Whether Small Craft/Harbours Board will accept it posthaste remains to be seen. But we would not stand in the way of that transfer, Mr. Speaker, so that a lot of money could be spent legitimately upgrading it.

MR. SIMMONS:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the member for Fortune - Hermitage.

MR. SIMMONS:

Mr. Speaker, the petition from the good fishermen at McCallum deserves the full support of this House and I want to give my support to the petition. I am pleased to hear the minister say that he will expedite the transfer. As I understand it, once the transfer is affected, then the former Small Craft/Harbours administration, or whatever they call themselves these days, that particular division of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans, has expressed an interest in helping facilitate that fifty foot extension to the fishermen's wharf at McCallum.

I know the wharf well and I know well the people who used that wharf. Mr. Speaker, there is nowhere else in this Province more hard working fishermen than the ones you find at McCallum. They have a very stable fishery at McCallum, essentially a year round fishery. They take their fishing very, very seriously, all species, all year round.

Mr. Speaker, in addition to helping to stabilize the economy of the McCallum community, the other economic contribution made by the fishermen at McCallum needs to be noted. The minister made reference to it and the gentleman for Burgeo - Bay d'Espoir (Mr. Gilbert) made reference to it, and that is the improving viability of the fish plant operated by Clarenville Ocean Products at Hermitage.

Do you know, Mr. Speaker, that last year in calendar 1987 that

plant put out \$11 million worth of products in 1987, \$11 million, completely from inshore sources including McCallum, of course, but also including to a degree Gaultois, but particularly Hermitage, Sandyville and Seal Cove, and even a bit over the road.

Mr. Speaker, it is an amazing operation and one of the reasons it is is because of the continuing supply that is forthcoming from McCallum. That supply can be increased and it can be stabilized with a proper fishing facility at McCallum which they do not have.

They have wrestled with substandard facilities for many years. I believe now the time has come to ensure that they have the tools with which to do the work. I am delighted that the minister is going to do his part to help facilitate that.

Thank you.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:  
Hear, hear!

#### Orders of the Day

MR. SIMMS:

We will have a couple of first readings first. Motion 4.

Motion, the hon. the Minister of Rural, Agricultural and Northern Development to introduce a bill, "An Act Respecting The Newfoundland Institute Of Agrologists." (Bill No. 38)

On motion, Bill No. (38) read a first time, ordered read a second time on tomorrow.

Motion, the hon. the Minister of Consumer Affairs and

Communications to introduce a bill, "An Act To Amend The Insurance Companies Act." (Bill No. 39)

On motion, Bill No. (39) read a first time, ordered read a second time on tomorrow.

MR. SIMMS:  
Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:  
The hon. the President of the Council.

MR. SIMMS:  
I wonder if I might be bold enough to ask members opposite if they would permit me to revert to Notices of Motions for about thirty seconds, and with a slight explanation. Would there be any argument to that?

MR. TULK:  
The last motion (inaudible)?

MR. SIMMS:  
It was not exactly a lost motion. I apologize to my colleague, the Minister of Consumer Affairs, I told him he was going to get twenty lashes because he lost this notice of motion, but it was not exactly his fault. The Clerk at the Table, it was not exactly their fault. I have it here now, as a matter of fact. I accept all the responsibility.

In giving this notice, Mr. Speaker, I have talked to the Opposition House Leader and I do not know if the hon. members in the corner were aware. I believe I did mention it in the House, though, one day. Certainly the member for Waterford - Kenmount did as the critic. He is aware.

Order No. 6 on the Order Paper, which was Bill No. 4 on judgement

recovery, I would like to withdraw that now, by agreement, and the notice is the replacement bill which was really re-done because of legal technicalities and all that stuff.

I would like get permission or agreement from the House to have Bill 37 fit in where Order 6 is now. Is there any big problem with that or would that be acceptable?

MR. SPEAKER:  
Is that agreed?

MR. WELLS:  
What is the bill number?

MR. SIMMS:  
It will be Bill No. 37 when it is distributed.

MR. WELLS:  
Is that on judgement recovery?

MR. SIMMS:  
Yes.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:  
Agreed.

MR. SPEAKER:  
That is agreed.

MR. SIMMS:  
There is an agreement, Mr Speaker, and the Clerks at the Table can make note of it for when they get it printed.

I, therefore, give notice, on behalf of my colleague, the Minister of Consumer Affairs and Communications (Mr. Young), that I will on tomorrow ask leave to introduce a bill entitled, "An Act Respecting Judgement Recovery (Nfld.) Ltd." (Bill No. 37)

I thank hon. members for their co-operation.

We will move to Motion 3, the Meech Lake Accord.

MR. SPEAKER:  
Motion 3.

Before recognizing the hon. member for Menihek, I want to rule on the motion raised by him and seconded by the member for St. John's East. It was to refer the motion on Meech Lake to a Select Committee of the House.

I have consulted authorities in other jurisdictions on this matter and this is not a dilatory motion, but a substantive one. It is asking for a certain action to be taken. It cannot be entertained as there can be but one question pending at the same time, as hon. members would note in Beauchesne, page 149.

So I rule that that motion is not in order.

The hon. the member for Menihek adjourned the debate. He is speaking to the amendment.

The hon. the member for Menihek.

MR. FENWICK:  
Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

What I will then be doing is moving another amendment which is a dilatory motion because it is the exact wording that is there. I will give you the wording of it now, but I do not intend to move it until I finish speaking.

It is moved by the member for Menihek and seconded by the member for St. John's East that consideration of the question be postponed until November 2, 1988.

This would be the exact form that is in the book. I will give a



copy to the Speaker before I actually -

AN HON. MEMBER:

A six-month hoist.

MR. FENWICK:

No, it is not. It is moved that consideration of the question be postponed until November 2, 1988. That is not a six-month hoist. It is directly out of the book.

MR. SIMMS:

It is a dilatory motion.

MR. FENWICK:

A dilatory motion, exactly. If the Page wishes to come up, I can give him a couple of copies so the Speaker and both parties can get a copy of it.

MR. SPEAKER:

Before recognizing the hon. the member, the hon. member now, if he wishes, is speaking to the amendment.

MR. FENWICK:

Yes, it does not matter, Mr. Speaker, six of one and a half dozen of the other. It can go either way.

MR. SPEAKER:

Would the hon. member please take his seat for a moment?

MR. FENWICK:

Yes, okay.

MR. SPEAKER:

I am not quite clear and I do not know if anybody is. I am certainly not clear what the hon. member is doing. At this moment, as far as I understand, he was just reading this previous matter but I have already ruled that that matter is out of order. Maybe the hon. member will explain.

MR. FENWICK:

Mr. Speaker, if the page comes here, I will give you a copy of it.

I intend to move a motion and I want to give you a copy of it before I did that so you would have a chance to look at it and I read it into the record.

Mr. Speaker, the wording on it is exactly the wording in the section on dilatory motions and I will refer to that. If you look at page 151, you will see in there 'Dilatory motions are designed to dispose to the original question either for the time being or permanently. They are usually the following type: That consideration of the question be postponed to', then it says in brackets, 'date'.

So the motion I will be moving is that consideration of the question be postponed to November 2, 1988, which is approximately six months from now.

AN HON. MEMBER:

A hoist.

MR. FENWICK:

No, it is a motion following exactly that format. It seems to me if those motions are in order at that point, then this one would be in order. You seem to indicate in your ruling on my previous motion that a dilatory motion would be in order at this time. So that is the motion I was going to make. I gave you a copy of it because I felt it important not to hold up the proceedings of the House while you had a chance to look at it and make sure that it is in order. But as I sit down I will move that motion.

MR. SIMMS:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the President of the Council.

MR. SIMMS:

Mr. Speaker, I must offer for Your Honour an opportunity for further consideration. I am sure you would want that, I am sure members of the House would want it. I want it because I want to be certain that what is being proposed here is, in fact, in order.

The hon. member, when he moved his motion a day or two ago, whenever it was now, argued very strenuously that his motion was in order. Your Honour has just ruled it was not in order. Therefore, Your Honour, and hopefully the member would not mind very much if I was dared to stand and question again that perhaps the hon. member is not in order.

The only quick reference I have - but it is an important matter, Mr. Speaker, and that Your Honour -

MR. FENWICK:

On a point of order, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SIMMS:

I am on a point of order now.

MR. DINN:

This is a point of order.

MR. FENWICK:

The point of order is that there is no motion entered. I just gave notice of one.

MR. SIMMS:

I am on a point of order.

That gives me more reason, Mr. Speaker, to be suspicious about whether he is right or wrong. He does not even know when another member is on a point of order the

hon. member cannot stand.

Mr. Speaker, it is an important matter and one that we should be very, very sure of. I am not too concerned about anything in particular, because we can always negate the amendment anyway, but I want to be sure that the rule is right. That the practice is right. That the procedure is right. That is all I am concerned about. I am more interested in the procedure for future and Your Honour may even wish to take five minutes to have a look at it.

The only quick reference I have to it, and I did not have notice of it so I did not have much time to do any research on it, but in Sir Erskine May's Twentieth Edition, now the hon. member may not have that there at his fingertips, so he should not rely solely on Beauchesne. There are many other parliamentary references which Speakers consider. In Sir Erskine May, page 386, there is a section there which deals with restrictions on motions for the adjournment of the House or adjournment of the debate. This motion clearly is in the second category. It is a motion that adjourns the debate until November 2, or whatever. It is also very suspiciously like the six month hoist motion which also in Beauchesne has been ruled - and we talked about it, Mr. Speaker, the other day, you may recall that that applies to bills, readings, it does not apply to motions, which we are dealing with here.

Just for consideration, and I realize there is some ambiguity here, but there is a reference here that says: "Members already spoken to the main question" - well, I guess he has not spoken to the main question, because he was

speaking to the hon. the member for St. Barbe's (Mr. Furey) amendment, I suspect. But, certainly, if you have spoken to the main question, you are not permitted to move any form of dilatory motion, nor, having moved a dilatory motion - he argued that he was moving a dilatory motion - he cannot then speak to the main motion. Similarly, if he has moved a dilatory motion, he is not entitled to move another in the course of debate on the same question. So, the question here is, I guess, whether it is a dilatory motion, as the hon. member said it was, or whether it is not. If it is, as he said it was the other day, then he cannot move another dilatory motion. It is very clear there in Sir Erskine May.

MR. LONG:

(Inaudible) confuse the issue.

MR. SIMMS:

No, it is not confusing. It might be confusing for the hon. member, I understand that. The hon. member easily is confused, but the members of the House on this side and on that side over there - I know, I am looking at them, they are nodding, they know that I am right.

Anyway, Mr. Speaker, it is a serious matter. Obviously, we do not want to have to be in a position to vote down this particular motion. We will if we have to, but why waste all that time? Let us check the procedure. Let us ensure the procedure is correct and that the motion is, in fact, in order. I do not believe it is. I have some suspicions about it, and if I have five or ten minutes, as Your Honour will likely take, perhaps I will find the appropriate

references to assure the House that the procedure he is suggesting is done properly.

MR. FENWICK:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

To that point of order, the hon. the member for Menihek.

MR. FENWICK:

As you know so clearly, this motion has not been moved and, therefore, the request to attack it on a point of order -

MR. SIMMS:

You gave copies to the Speaker and everybody else.

MR. FENWICK:

Yes, but it has not been moved. I gave a copy as a courtesy to the Speaker so that he would have a chance to look at it and a chance to be able to consider whatever decision he would make on it, knowing that the Government House Leader has this usual way of stealing the time.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Oh, oh!

MR. SPEAKER:

Order, please!

MR. FENWICK:

But, to the substance of the arguments that the Government House Leader raised, Mr. Speaker, you ruled, just a few minutes ago, that the motion that I made the previous session was a substantive one. So it was not a dilatory motion and the argument from Erskine May, that you cannot move two dilatory motions, should not apply. Also, it is the exact same wording as is indicated on Page 151 of Beauchesne.

MR. SIMMS:

Which deals with bills.

MR. FENWICK:

No, it does not, it deals with motions. This is a dilatory motion on that. It is perfectly within order. But, Mr. Speaker, at this point, I am speaking to the amendment that was - What was the amendment?

MR. SIMMS:

You are on the point of order first. Have you finished the point of order?

MR. FENWICK:

No, no. I am just trying to think of where I would be, because we have not actually moved this motion at this point. We would be discussing the amendment of the member for Stephenville (Mr. K. Aylward), I believe, the amendment that he proposed.

MR. LONG:

St. Barbe.

MR. FENWICK:

The member for St. Barbe. And that would be where the debate would continue. That is where I would like to continue with the debate, prior to getting a ruling from the Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

Order, please!

I understand the hon. the member has got up to speak on the point of order.

The hon. the President of the Council.

MR. SIMMS:

To confuse it a bit, if I may. The hon. member says now that he has not moved.

MR. FENWICK:

No.

MR. SIMMS:

That is what he says. He just said he has not moved this motion. He said he just sent up a piece of paper saying he was going to do it -

MR. FENWICK:

Yes.

MR. SIMMS:

- even though the piece of paper says 'moved and seconded'. I believe Your Honour, if I recall, interrupted the hon. member and asked him to explain what he was doing.

MR. FENWICK:

And I did.

MR. SIMMS:

And I seem to recall the hon. member saying he was moving a motion.

MR. FENWICK:

No! You heard wrong. Clean your ears out! Get Dr. Collins to do it for you!

MR. SIMMS:

Mr. Speaker, the hon. member was wrong yesterday; he could very well be wrong again today. Perhaps Your Honour might wish to recess for five or ten minutes, at least to check what the hon. member said, Mr. Speaker. If he did not say it, then that is quite fair.

MR. SPEAKER:

I think the hon. the member for Menihek can clarify this. Was he moving this particular motion or not?

MR. FENWICK:

No. Mr. Speaker, knowing the

argumentative nature of the Government House Leader, and how he makes all these petty interruptions, I, as a courtesy, supplied a copy to Your Honour, a copy to the Opposition benches and a copy to the government benches, indicating, at the end of my time period, I would move that, so that you would have a chance to see it and you would have a chance to make an informed decision on it without wasting the time of the House, which the Government House Leader is obviously doing now. No, I have not moved this motion at this point. That is the answer.

MR. TULK:

To that point of order, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

To that point of order, the hon. the member for Fogo.

MR. TULK:

Mr. Speaker, as I understand the motion that the member for Menihék (Mr. Fenwick) has moved, it is a motion asking that consideration of the question be postponed until 2 November.

I would refer, Your Honour, to Section 417 and that falls under the section of "Types of Motions." In this particular case, a privilege motion, and in this particular case, the subhead again is a dilatory motion and if it is a motion, I see nothing wrong or out of order with it. As to the time when it can be moved, it must be given the right of way when proposed during a debate, a privilege motion, which this is, even though it is a dilatory motion, and they are, "designed to dispose of the original question either for the time being or permanently." The motion has done that.

The only question that I have in my mind, Mr. Speaker, is if you find this in order and the motion should pass, does this mean that debate on this bill stops as of the time that motion is voted on and passed, or not? I see the motion as being perfectly in order and, I think, to say otherwise would be incorrect.

MR. SPEAKER:

I think the simplest and the best thing to do is I am going to recess for a few minutes and check into this matter.

### Recess

MR. SPEAKER:

Order, please!

I have checked into this matter. If the motion is moved by the hon. member, I will deal with the matter then. In the meantime, I recognize the hon. member as speaking to the amendment.

The hon. the member for Menihék.

MR. FENWICK:

Mr. Speaker, if it is in order, I will move it now.

Moved by the member for Menihék and seconded by the member for St. John's East (Mr. Long) that consideration of the question be postponed until 2 November, 1988.

I am assuming it is in order.

MR. SPEAKER:

Order, please!

It is moved by the member for Menihék and seconded by the member for St. John's East that consideration of the question be postponed until 2 November 1988.

All those in favour of the motion please say 'Aye.'

Those against the motion say 'Nay.'

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Nay!

MR. SPEAKER:

The motion is defeated.

MR. FENWICK:

A point of order, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

Order, please!

MR. FENWICK:

The point of order is: Are you ruling that this is a non-debatable motion? Is that what you are saying?

MR. SPEAKER:

The motion proposed by the hon. member was not debatable.

MR. FENWICK:

Okay, that is fine.

Mr. Speaker, in that case I have another amendment.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the member for Menihek.

MR. SIMMS:

Are you moving another amendment?

MR. FENWICK:

No, this is an sub-amendment to the amendment that is on the Order Paper.

MR. SIMMS:

Are you moving it now or are you just telling us you are going to move it later on?

MR. FENWICK:

Mr. Speaker, the amendment is a series of four or five different sheets of paper, but I will read

it and we will have the whole thing as one sub-amendment to the amendment that is currently on the Order Paper. Then I will be able to speak to the whole works at once.

I was talking to the Government House Leader before, and this seems to be the only way we can adequately move it in.

MR. SIMMS:

(Inaudible) sub-amendments?

MR. FENWICK:

They are only small. Anyway, here they are:

The first one: Moved by the member for Menihek and seconded by the member for St. John's East that the Constitution Act, 1987, Section 1, sub-section ii, be amended by adding the words, 'and promote' after the word 'preserve'. I will give you a copy as we go through them, Mr. Speaker. But they are all considered as one sub-amendment. That is the way we would like them to be considered.

MR. SIMMS:

A point of order, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

A point of order, the hon. the President of the Council.

MR. SIMMS:

Whether the hon. member would like them to be dealt with as one sub-amendment is one question. Whether it is proper and the Chair is prepared to accept them as one sub-amendment is another question.

The hon. member gets up and he reads this little thing that says whatever it was he said - I do not know - 'read, and, add, so,' or

whatever, but, Mr. Speaker, how in the name of the world can we determine whether or not that is a proper amendment, if we do not know exactly what he is talking about. Your Honour is going to have to go through each of these, if this is the way he insists in dealing with it, or else do the courteous thing, give them to His Honour, let His Honour study them, and deal with them at the appropriate time, as to whether or not they are in order. You cannot deal with five pages of legal and wordy amendments like that. That is too silly to talk about.

MR. FENWICK:

Mr. Speaker, to that point of order.

MR. SPEAKER:

To that point of order, the hon. the member for Menihek.

MR. FENWICK:

Mr. Speaker, if you find it convenient, we can give you all of them at once so that you can have a look at them, but I would like to at least introduce one, because I have a feeling, judging by all the procedure time that I have consumed, that if I do not introduce at least one of them, and I would like to introduce them all at the same time, then I will have very little time to speak on any of the substantive issues that we have ahead of us. I will be glad to give you a copy of all five of them and then speak on them. Then you can rule whether or not you have accepted them all or whether or not they would have to be introduced at a different time.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Oh, oh!

MR. SPEAKER:

To that point of order raised by the hon. President of the Council, I am certainly not going to rule on any matter that I am not satisfied in my mind about. If the hon. member has many sub-amendments, I certainly want to have a look at them, study them and take whatever time is necessary to see in my mind if they are correct and get the proper advice on the matter. I am not prepared to take a bunch of any sub-amendments and say they are either right or wrong. So this might take quite a considerable amount of time to deal with. If it does, I will take that time.

I think the hon. President of the Council got up for the clarification of the Chair as much as anything else. I am prepared to deal with this matter as it arises.

MR. SIMMS:

Mr. Speaker, if I may, to a point of order, then. He has proposed an amendment now that Your Honour has. So Your Honour now is going to determine whether or not the amendment is in order before he can obviously speak to it.

MR. SPEAKER:

Order, please!

As far as I can see, this particular amendment, if you like, to a change in the Constitution Act, I am sure that took days and weeks and months of thinking and working out just what was debatable and what was going to be proposed to the House of Commons and to every other House of Assembly. I am certainly not going to say off the top of my head that everything is in order. I am just going to study the matter.

So if the hon. member wishes to speak to the amendment that we have at the moment, I am quite prepared to study the others. I cannot say how long it is going to take but they will be studied.

MR. FENWICK:

Now for the \$64,000 question, Mr. Speaker.

MR. TULK:

Mr. Speaker, a point of order.

MR. SPEAKER:

Order, please!

A point of order, the hon. the member for Fogo.

MR. TULK:

He is speaking to the amendment. Your Honour has not yet ruled on the sub-amendment.

MR. SPEAKER:

That is correct.

MR. TULK:

How much time is your Honour going to allow the hon. gentleman to speak?

MR. SPEAKER:

How much time has he had?

The hon. member has fifteen minutes.

The hon. the member for Menihok.

MR. FENWICK:

Mr. Speaker, that is fine. I will go ahead and speak to the sub-amendment that we have before us now, or the amendment we have before us. I hope.

MR. SIMMONS:

Mr. Speaker, a point of order.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the member for Fortune -

Hermitage.

MR. SIMMONS:

I am not interested in taking sides in this particular issue, but there is another issue here. I believe what we are about to do establishes a precedent in this House and, I would submit, in every other parliament in our parliamentary system.

Mr. Speaker, the member has moved what he purports to be a sub-amendment. It is either a sub-amendment or it is not. I submit the Chair must tell him which it is before we can proceed with the business of the House or else we have the situation where members are proposing amendments or motions or whatever, and are not in a position to know whether that amendment is in order. That is an unconscionable situation to be in.

I submit at this point in time there is only two things that the House can do at this point, allow the member to speak to the sub-amendment, which de facto means the sub-amendment is in order, or recess the House to determine whether the sub-amendment is in order.

I do not see that we can proceed without determining the admissibility of the sub-amendment.

MR. SIMMS:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the President of the Council.

MR. SIMMS:

Your Honour, I know this is not a good day for you, but I find myself unusually in agreement with my cousin from Fortune -



Hermitage, now that he has made the comments that he has made and given me an opportunity to think a little bit more about it, I think he is absolutely right. I know that is what your Honour is trying somehow to do, but you are kind of boxed in a corner and maybe the appropriate move - certainly we would not mind at all and it would not come out of the hon. member's time, so it is really irrelevant - but for your Honour's own good, I suppose, the appropriate thing to do might be to recess for a couple of minutes with the Clerks and just see -

MR. BARRETT:

Call it six o'clock and come back tomorrow.

MR. SIMMS:

Well, that is up to his Honour. He may only need five minutes to have a look at this particular sub-amendment. Maybe that would be the appropriate thing to do your Honour, so that you will feel comfortable with it yourself.

I do not feel comfortable now, after hearing the member for Fortune - Hermitage. I think he has made a very valid point.

MR. SPEAKER:

To that point of order, I am prepared to deal with that matter now. I think the point raised by the -

Would the hon. member please take his seat?

I am prepared to agree. I think the point is well taken. On reflection I think I will have a recess. I can assure hon. members I will have as many recesses as is necessary after all these number of amendments because the thing is going to be done correctly. I am

just going to call another recess now, and we will deal with this one sub-amendment I have.

This House is recessed.

### Recess

MR. SPEAKER (McNicholas):

Order, please!

I have studied the sub-amendment proposed by the hon. member for Menihek and I received advice on the matter. As hon. members know, a sub-amendment's function is to alter the amendment, not the main motion, and as I see, the sub-amendment proposed by the hon. member for Menihek deals with sub-section (2) of the main motion and not the amendment as proposed by the hon. member for St. Barbe. For that reason, it is out of order.

The hon. the member for Menihek.

MR. FENWICK:

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate that that is an accurate ruling. The reason I proposed it that way, of course, was that in the previous debate on a railway resolution a number of weeks ago, we did something entirely different, and it was ruled in order of that time. I thought that we had a new precedent to work with in our House, but I am glad to hear you are saying now that a sub-amendment should be on the amendment because that is the way I have operated meetings for as long as I have been involved with meetings, and I think it is a good ruling in that regard. I thought we had new rules.

Mr. Speaker, since this is the first opportunity I have had to

speaking on the Meech Lake Accord, I must say that we were, when the Meech Lake Accord originally was agreed to and when the details of it came out, quite supportive of the general thrust of it because we think it is extremely important that the country, from one end to the other, be seen to be a country, act as though it is a country, and think as a country. That, indeed, was one of the major objectives of the Meech Lake Accord, that the province of Quebec would feel that it was part of it.

However, Mr. Speaker, since that time, it seems to me that the Meech Lake Accord has run a very rocky road. There were obviously problems with it, problems with the provisions for francophones outside of Quebec and anglophones within Quebec, and those are very serious ones that when this amendment is dealt with, we will then move our regular amendments to it and try and get those back on the Order Paper back on the table so they can be debated as well.

There were concerns from women's groups and individuals who felt that the special status for Quebec somehow could override the equality provisions of the constitution. Although my own reading of it does not suggest that, there does seem to be a very simple way of amending that, and later on, we will show you that amendment as well. It is possible, by just inserting one additional number, to make sure that that is covered itself.

There is, Mr. Speaker, a great travesty in this Meech Lake Accord, and that is the one group that has really lost, lost beyond any shadow of a doubt are the

people of the Northern territories of the Yukon and the North West Territories.

Prior to the Meech Lake Accord, if the Yukon, or the North West Territories wished to become a province, it was a matter between themselves and the federal government, much as the inclusion of Newfoundland and Labrador into the Confederation in 1948 and 1949 was simply a matter between the federal government in Ottawa and Newfoundland and Labrador.

It is, I think, a particular kick in the teeth to those Northerners, who I have a considerable amount of empathy with, since they had no input whatsoever into the proceedings and have therefore lost the right to establish those territories as provinces in the same manner as Newfoundland and Labrador, and, indeed, in the same manner as all the provinces of Canada, except for the first four, which formed the Dominion of Canada back in 1867.

Mr. Speaker, that was one of the amendments we will be looking at in the future. It is a problem with the Accord that I think has to be corrected. It is a case really of simple justice in a sense that it is something that was taken away in this whole process. Some of the other amendments we are proposing are nice to have, are additions to the rights that these groups currently enjoy, but this was clearly a right that was enjoyed by the Northern people that was taken away.

At the same time, Mr. Speaker, we will be proposing amendments to the particular Meech Lake Accord in which the Northern territories will also participate in the

selection of Senators, and Supreme Court Justices.

One of the changes of this particular Accord has been that we are in a position to nominate Senators to the Senate. Before I go on I should tell you that I believe the Senate is a totally useless appendage as it presently constructed and would certainly like to see it phased out.

But, Mr. Speaker, if in the future, because the Meech Lake accord does have it on the agenda, if in the future it has a role, if it is elected, if it does have powers, if it has a responsibility of the regions, maybe in some future date that opinion of myself and of the federal and provincial sections of the party, may change. We may actually see there is a role for it there, and if there is, then the selection of Senators surely should have some responsibility to the provinces, and this is what is given in it.

At the same time, the Northwest Territories are excluded from that function and an amendment should be made there so both the Northwest Territories and the Yukon would also be in a position to nominate individuals to the Senate from their area and to nominate individuals to the Supreme Court of Canada, if indeed that is what is going to continue as well.

Finally, of the major holes we see in the Meech Lake Accord, there is the whole question of aboriginal rights. To us it is extremely important that aboriginal rights be enshrined in the constitution in such a way as they are seen to be given the right to some meaningful self-government. Mr. Speaker, it is consistent with the

position that we took in this Chamber several weeks ago with regard to the development of Labrador and the possible of future military development up there.

We said, and we put it on the record at that time, that we felt that the aboriginal peoples of Labrador, both the Innu and Inuit, had a right to say what goes on in the territory that they have claimed for these 10,000 years or more.

At the same time, Mr. Speaker, we say that for all the aboriginal peoples in Canada. They must have some say in self-government. For them not to, Mr. Speaker, would continue the dependency that we have seen for the last 125 years that has led to more native people living in poverty with substandard services in terms of municipal infrastructure and so on, than any other group within our society. Mr. Speaker, that is one of the other groups we wish to address, and we will when we get to other amendments that will be brought to this particular thing.

Finally, Mr. Speaker, I think it is important to realize that the Meech Lake Accord is now, for all intents and purposes in its present form, a dead initiative. Mr. Speaker, that development only occurred last week with the election in Manitoba.

Prior to that there was one of ten provinces that was opposed and that was the Province of New Brunswick. But the Province of New Brunswick had essentially one individual, the new Liberal Premier of New Brunswick who was opposed, and there may have been way to placate that individual. For example, they might have

decided to build additional ships or something in his drydocks at Saint John, New Brunswick, and on that basis there may have been a deal struck. I am not suggesting the man would have necessarily gone for it, but there were ways of overcoming one individual's reluctance to it and as a matter of fact, as a strategic move, I think it made a lot of sense. He was put in a very strong bargaining position, vis-à-vis the federal government and in a position to extract something in return for the support of the Meech Lake Accord. Up until the Manitoba election, this was the only province offside, but the offside position may not have lasted very long.

The situation in Manitoba is, in my opinion, impossible to be resolved so that the Province of Manitoba will ratify the Meech Lake agreement. It is absolutely impossible. What you had in Manitoba prior to the election was an NDP government there that was lukewarm on it at best, that had a lot of individual wrangling among its own members and was reluctantly supporting it because of the nation building aspects of it. But concerns were raised everywhere and the party, if it had its own wishes, would have been less eager to do it, but did it as part of this nation building that it was committed to.

Mr. Speaker, they were also committed to holding hearings, to having a committee travel the Province to get the input of the francophone groups within Manitoba, to get the opinion of the aboriginal groups and to listen to the entreaties being made by the Yukon and the Northwest territories, by women's groups and so on.

That process, I would suggest to you, may still occur, but it is pretty much irrelevant at this point because even that lukewarm support that was there is now, of course, gone. You now have in Manitoba three different sections, none of which forms a majority, the largest of which is headed by a Premier who is committed to the Meech Lake Accord, as I understand, but has only twenty-five seats in fifty-seven seat House.

The next largest group is headed by a woman who is adamantly opposed to Meech Lake, and under those circumstances would not agree to it.

And the third group of twelve, which is headed by Gary Doer, is also a group which would be very reluctant to agree to it offhand; they would demand considerable amount of change to it in order to entrench the rights of aboriginal groups and so on.

Mr. Speaker, Manitoba then creates a problem, but at the same time,-

MR. J. CARTER:

A point of order, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

Order, please!

A point of order, the hon. the member for St. John's North.

MR. J. CARTER:

The member for Menihek, wherever he is from - he is not from here, I know that - has occupied the time of the House for most of the afternoon -

MR. LONG:

He did a good job. He did a very good job!

MR. J. CARTER:

- with spurious points of order and procedural hassles, and now his time is certainly up. I think he should be invited not only to sit down but to leave the House.

MR. FENWICK:

To the point of order, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the member for Menehik.

MR. FENWICK:

I am not sure when the member for St. John's North became Speaker. I assume it is your responsibility still to let us know when we have time, and I have been informed I have three minutes.

MR. SPEAKER:

There is no point of order.

The hon. the member for Menehik.

MR. FENWICK:

Mr. Speaker, what do we have? We have a flawed document which at this point has two provinces adamantly offside, but there is one a little bit more adamant than the other. It seems to me that the urgency has gone, and if the urgency has gone, why not take the opportunity to allow input from the groups within our society who have clear objections to it, including the Francophone Association of this Province, including women's groups that I have talked to, including other people who have major concerns about aboriginal groups. Why not strike a committee of some sort, and I know I am speaking to the previous resolution, but there is no reason that the government cannot go ahead with one if they wish to, why not have a committee struck to listen to the people of our Province about what they want done with it? Because, Mr.

Speaker, as sure as you are sitting there and I am standing here, we will have to amend this legislation because of the circumstances in those two provinces.

If we have to, let us get the input that we did not have a chance for before. Let us find out what the problems are. Let us see if we can come to the table the next time with a couple of other changes that may be in the better interests not only of Newfoundlanders and Labradorians, but, Mr. Speaker, in the better interests of the rest of Canada, as well.

What I do is urge the government opposite not to rush this motion through any more, let us have the time to consult with the people of the Province. Because, after all, it is not just ten men's constitution that was done overnight in secret, it is the constitution of Canada and it deserves a lot more consideration that it has gotten. We have the time, we have the opportunity, let us make sure we do not make any major mistakes. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the Minister of Mines.

MR. DINN:

Mr. Speaker, first of all let me say what an honour it is to participate in the debate on the Meech Lake Accord. Indeed, as the hon. member for Menehik said, we are in the process here today of nation building. Having gone through the Meech Lake Accord, I think it is very important that everybody is heard, that we give

our thoughts on the proposal and, in my opinion, Mr. Speaker, support it wholeheartedly because I think it is very important to this nation.

As a matter of fact, I think our Socialist friend down there did a disservice to this House today by, basically, his stalling tactics, with his amendments, etc. I think he should have thought about it a little more and put a little more time into what we are actually doing here today, and what we will do in the next few weeks with respect to the Meech Lake Accord.

Now, Mr. Speaker, the hon. the member for Menihek has not been the only one who has spoken against the Meech Lake Accord and, therefore, obviously, there is some concern within the Nation as to whether we should or should not vote for it. I will deal with the hon. member for St. Barbe and his amendment a little later on. But I would like to deal with some other things before I really get into the hon. member's amendment and deal with that.

For example, I would like to deal with the Leader of the Opposition. I heard him on the radio today talking about how all Liberals should get together now and support the federal leader, Mr. Turner, and get on with the business at hand. Mr. Speaker, if you analyze that statement with what the hon. gentleman has done over the past little while, one would have to ask, is there credibility in anything that he says? He, himself, said let us get on with supporting Mr. Turner. Yet, Mr. Turner supports Meech Lake and the hon. Leader of the Opposition does not support Meech Lake. So that is not showing a lot of support for poor,

old Mr. Turner, is it? That is contrary to what Mr. Turner wants the Liberal Party to do.

MR. WELLS:

You missed the point.

MR. DINN:

Sure, I missed the point. Everybody misses the point of what the hon. the Leader of the Opposition is trying to say, because one day he is saying one thing and the next day he is saying an entirely different thing. Let us support Mr. Turner today, but we cannot support him on the Meech Lake Accord. So, I mean, one has to wonder.

Let us have a look at what is happening opposite. He said, 'During the debate we will not be proposing any amendments,' and the hon. the member for St. Barbe gets up shortly thereafter and proposes amendments. So, Mr. Speaker, one has to wonder.

MR. SIMMS:

You missed the point again, I guess.

MR. DINN:

Yes, I missed the point again.

One has to wonder what the Leader of the Opposition really means when he says anything. We are not going to propose amendments because we know that that is useless to do, and then the member for St. Barbe gets up the day after and proposes an amendment.

Let us have a look at all the other members opposite and their credibility in this whole debate. Last year, when they had a new leader, or another leader - he was new last year too, was he not? He was a relatively new leader last year - that leader said, We all

support Meech Lake and everybody nodded, Yes, we all support Meech Lake. This year we get another new leader and he says, 'We do not support Meech Lake,' and they all say, 'We do not support Meech Lake.' So, where is the credibility of anyone outside of, say, the hon. the member for Mount Scio - Bell Island who at least got up last year and said, 'I support Meech Lake.' Everybody nodded then, although they had their knives drawn, ready to give him the big dagger. He said, 'I support Meech lake,' and everybody nodded in agreement, 'We all support Meech lake.'

MR. SIMMS:

The interim leader.

MR. DINN:

The interim leader obviously supported Meech Lake, Mr. Speaker, last year, and this year-

MR. SIMMONS:

A point of order, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

Order, please!

A point of order, the hon. the member for Fortune - Hermitage.

MR. SIMMONS:

I just want to help my good friend for Pleasantville.

MR. DINN:

Oh, My God, I am in danger now!

MR. SIMMONS:

I know. That is why I got up. A little bit of chronology for the gentleman which will help him in the brilliant argument he is putting. The anniversary of Meech Lake was a year ago yesterday, so we are talking April 29 or 30, or May 1. Put that, I say to him, in the context of the

fact that the gentleman for Mount Scio - Bell Island stepped down from the leadership in March, I think, March 20 or 23.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

You call that stepping down? It seems more like stepped on, to me.

MR. SPEAKER:

Order, please!

MR. SIMMONS:

The point is that at the time Meech Lake became a fact, the gentleman from Mount Scio was not leader of the party. So he should at least get his facts straight. His arguments may be flawed, but at least base them on some facts, would he?

MR. SPEAKER:

Order, please!

There is no point of order.

The hon. the Minister of Mines.

MR. DINN:

Mr. Speaker, there is no point of order, all the hon. member wants to do is dig himself a deeper hole. And I suggest that he does not need any help from me to dig a hole, he has been doing that ever since I can remember, digging holes for himself to jump into.

Anyway Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that what we have to do is deal with the credibility of anyone over there, actually, who is now opposed to Meech Lake. Last year they were for it, and this year they are obviously against it. Two weeks ago the Leader of the Opposition was not going to make amendments, and the hon. member for St. Barbe gets up right after him and makes an amendment. So somebody has to show a little bit of leadership in the Liberal Party

over there. They have had a lot of leaders, but somebody over there really has to get all his guys together in caucus now, Wednesday, stand them all to attention and really lay down the law. What are we going to do? If we are going to take a position today, we cannot change it tomorrow because we are losing credibility in this Province.

Now, Mr. Speaker, let us deal with the amendment proposed by the hon. the member for St. Barbe. He purports to put credibility in this amendment. If the hon. member succeeds with this amendment, he purports to say that this will really change the world. As a matter of fact, I was not in the House for all the time the hon. member was speaking, so I got Hansard and I read it through just to see if anything could be substantiated on the basis of what the hon. member was saying. Is it true that in any substantive way Quebec is getting an advantage over anyone else? I mean, if one analyzes the situation, obviously Quebec does not. There is no possibility at all that there is anything great happening with respect to Quebec. So the hon. the member for St. Barbe is really making an amendment here and obviously he does not believe in what he is saying himself. What is the amendment?

The hon. member purports to change section 1 (c) and say, 'the recognition that Newfoundland and Labrador constitutes within Canada a distinct society.' What does that do? 'and to add part (5) to section 1 "2" to read: '(5) The role of the Legislature and Government of Newfoundland and Labrador to preserve and promote the distinct identity of Newfoundland and Labrador referred

to in (1)(c) is affirmed.' I think this is great stuff. Now we will do the same thing for -

SOME HON. MEMBERS:  
Hear, hear!

MR. SIMMS:  
So, that means that they are going to vote for it now, I guess.

MR. DINN:  
Oh, I think so. Yes.

MR. SIMMS:  
Are you voting for the amendment?  
Is that the idea?

MR. PEACH:  
They do not know.

AN HON. MEMBER:  
They have not had a meeting on it as yet.

MR. SIMMS:  
Otherwise, what is the point of putting the amendment?

It is an interesting question.

MR. DINN:  
What is that?

MR. SIMMS:  
Presumably they made an amendment to allow the House to vote for it. So if we support their amendment, are they now going to change their position again and vote for Meech Lake?

MR. SIMMONS:  
Sir, if the member for Pleasantville is finished I would like to have a few words.

MR. DINN:  
The hon. the member for Fortune - Hermitage, or wherever he is from, already spoke in the debate, number one.



MR. TULK:  
Not on this one.

MR. DINN:  
And, number two, I do not think anybody in Newfoundland is interested in hearing what the hon. gentleman says; he was not reported widely. Mr. Speaker, he has had his crack at a lot of things in this Province, and I suggest that he sit down and be quiet and listen to other speakers speak on the Meech Lake Accord.

MR. SIMMS:  
The Opposition House Leader said if we vote in support of the amendment, they will now vote for the Meech Lake Accord. They are on the record.

MR. DINN:  
Is that right? The Opposition House Leader has now changed his mind again, is that it?

MR. SIMMS:  
Yes.

MR. DINN:  
Well, I do not think the hon. the Government House Leader should put any import into that, either. What he said yesterday he might not say today, and what he says today he might not mean today; he might change his mind again tomorrow, and, Mr. Speaker, we just cannot put any credibility into it.

The Leader of the Opposition now says, We do not support Meech Lake. We will not put any amendments, but we do not support it. The hon. member for St. Barbe does not agree with that. He gets up and he says, 'Well, we do not agree with what he says, we are going to put amendments.' They have put an amendment, and the amendment really says nothing and

does nothing. And the hon. member knows that, as well. He knows that that amendment does nothing.

Any arguments that the distinct society clause creates new rights or privileges or powers for Quebec have been proven to be unfounded. They just do not.

MR. TULK:  
(Inaudible).

MR. DINN:  
Well, anybody who reads it.

MR. TULK:  
Reads what?

MR. DINN:  
Reads the Meech Lake Accord. It does not provide anything.

AN HON. MEMBER:  
It is proven in the Accord.

MR. DINN:  
Oh, sure! I mean, anybody who reads that would understand that it does not provide any special rights for Quebec. It is only a matter of reading the Accord, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SIMMONS:  
Tell us now about the Sabbath Day. Did you really rest on the seventh day?

MR. DINN:  
We are past the deadline now. I would suggest the hon. member go out and do a little bit of ciphering to make sure he gets it in this year, accurately. That is what I would suggest he do, instead of interrupting and breaking the rules of order of this House. He has lots of things he should be doing.

AN HON. MEMBER:  
He did not know (inaudible) first.

MR. DINN:

No, he did not know at first, now he is starting to become aware and he is getting a little bit better with figures, too. I noted that last week, when he was interrupting and breaking the rules of the House. Mr. Speaker, obviously we do not care, because we know that really he has no credibility not only in this House but outside. So we do not mind him interrupting. He can interrupt, but I suggest there are better things that he could do. I suggested that to him several years ago and he did not do it, but he should do it this year.

Mr. Speaker, I would suggest that he should have, whether he knows it or not, better things to do. Go out and do a little consulting or something like that, and this time declare it, which would be an important thing, and then the hon. the Leader of the Opposition will not have to take any severe action against the hon. member.

Mr. Speaker, it being six o'clock, I adjourn the debate and will get back to the Meech Lake Accord on tomorrow.

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Hear, hear!

MR. SPEAKER:

The debate is adjourned by the hon. the Minister of Mines.

MR. SIMMS:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

The hon. the President of the Council.

MR. SIMMS:

Mr. Speaker, just to remind members again, tomorrow - the Minister of Rural Development, I

hope he is paying attention now - tomorrow and Tuesday the Resource Committee will deal with the estimates of the Department of Energy and Hydro. So both the Premier and the Minister of Finance will be here tomorrow morning for their estimates in the Resource Committee.

MR. HODDER:

We are going to do Fisheries tomorrow morning, as well.

MR. SIMMS:

Oh, Fisheries as well. At the beginning, I guess?

MR. HODDER:

After 11:00 a.m.

MR. SIMMS:

After 11:00 a.m.

And the Minister of Fisheries will be there. So the Resource Committee will have the Premier, the Minister of Finance and the Minister of Fisheries. The member for Fortune - Hermitage is going to be there, too, I believe.

I understand the Resource Committee is the most difficult one to deal with. I do not know why. The Government Services Committee will be dealing with Transportation tomorrow night at 7:30; Wednesday the Social Services Committee, the hardest working Committee of all, are having two meetings; they are having one in the morning to deal with Education and they are having one in the evening to deal with Social Services. Then, finally, on Thursday the Resource Committee again will be back to do - I have here a continuation of Fisheries.

MR. HODDER:

No, that is changed now.

MR. SIMMS:

That is changed now. Nobody told me.

MR. HODDER:

It is the fault of the Vice-Chairman (Mr. Simmons). He is hard to get along with.

MR. SIMMS:

The fault of the Vice-Chairman, I will mark that down here. So Thursday it will be Rural Development and Northern Development. That is 9:30 Thursday morning. Then, of course, Thursday afternoon the reports will be presented to the House. Perhaps they will be presented before that. Government Services might be.

Anyway, tomorrow in the House the Estimates of Consolidated Revenue, Legislative and Executive Council will be brought forward.

MR. TULK:

How much time do we have left on the Estimates.

AN HON. MEMBER:

None, boy.

MR. SIMMS:

Well, we can deal with that after the House closes. Wednesday, Private Member's Day, Mr. Speaker; Thursday we will continue with Meech Lake. Everyone got that? We will be continuing with Meech Lake on Thursday. Whether we will finish it on Thursday, I do not know. If we do finish it, we will get into legislation. If we do not finish Meech Lake, well, then, obviously we will carry on with Meech Lake all day.

MR. TULK:

(Inaudible) on Friday?

MR. SIMMS:

No, this is still Thursday now. I am going too fast for the hon. member.

Friday we will deal with the Concurrence Reports, get into the Concurrence debates Friday, Monday, Tuesday, until we get the Concurrence debates out of the way. That is the following week.

DR. COLLINS:

What are you going to do on Saturday and Sunday?

MR. SIMMS:

I will not give you much else now, that would be too much.

I move that the House adjourn until tomorrow Tuesday, May 3, at 3:00 p.m. - I will be in hospital tomorrow morning for an operation, by the way, anybody who wants to send me flowers or fruit or anything like that - and that this House do now adjourn.

On motion, the House at its rising adjourned until tomorrow, Tuesday, May 3, at 3:00 p.m.

Index

Answers to Questions

tabled

May 2, 1988



ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ASKED IN THE HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY:

QUESTION # 118 - Mr. Gilbert (Burgeo-Bay d'Espoir) - To ask the Honourable the Minister of Public Works and Services to lay upon the Table of the House the following information:

- (a) Is the vehicle the Minister currently drives purchased by the Department or leased by the Department? In either case, did it go to Tender?
- (b) Provide a list of the companies who tendered on the vehicles and the amounts of their bids.

ANSWER: (a) The vehicle presently driven by the Minister was purchased by the Department through the tendering process and complied with all criteria and regulations of the Government Purchasing Agency.

(b) Eleven firms were invited to bid. Three bids were received as follows:

1. Hickman Motors Limited - \$11,483.47
2. Royal Garage Limited - \$11,719.65
3. City Motors Limited - \$10,792.00

The vehicle was bought from Hickman Motors Limited which was the only firm that could deliver the car from existing stock, as requested in the tender call. The delivery period for the low bidder was an unreasonable ten weeks.

APRIL 18, 1988.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ASKED AT THE ESTIMATES' COMMITTEE:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND SERVICES:

Mr. Gilbert (Burgeo-Bay D'Espoir) To ask the Honourable the Minister of Public Works and Services to lay upon the Table of the House the following information:

---

QUESTION: Account 2.2.02.07 - Regional Administration - Property Furnishings and Equipment. Why the increase from \$10,000 in 1987-'88 to \$126,000 in 1988-'89?

ANSWER: Currently our three regions operate a fleet of 47 motor vehicles. Normally each year, 8 - 12 vehicles are purchased as replacements and 2 - 4 for new vehicles (adding to the fleet). As a specific cost cutting measure in the 1987-'88 budget, all funding related to vehicle purchase was cut. Only \$10,000 for the purchase of office furniture and equipment was provided.

This current year we are provided with:

\$10,000 - Office Furniture and Equipment  
82,000 - For replacement vehicles  
34,000 - For purchase of 2 additional vehicles

---

\$126,000

No. 123, 000

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ASKED AT THE ESTIMATES' COMMITTEE:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND SERVICES:

Mr. Gilbert (Burgeo-Bay D'Espoir) To ask the Honourable the Minister of Public Works and Services to lay upon the Table of the House the following information:

---

QUESTION: Account 3.1.03.04 - Government Office Supplies - \$1,887,600. How much of the money expended was without tender?

ANSWER: All purchases over \$5,000 were made by public tenders with the following exceptions:

1. P0767171, June 15, 1987 for correctable tapes and ribbons for Olympia Startype Typewriter, \$6,048.00. Order issued to Spartan Business Machines and Electronics Limited.
2. P0785590, August 14, 1987 for correctable tapes and ribbons for I.B.M. Wheelwriter # 3 and # 4, \$6,716.64. Two firms invited to quote and order issued to Automated Office Concepts Limited.

These orders were issued to the only available source of supply. Typewriter ribbons and correctable tapes, which are stocked by the Supply Centre, are purchased from the companies who sell the brand required. Numerous problems have been encountered, in the past with brands obtained from companies who supply ribbons and tape which do not correspond to the specific brand of typewriter.



*For use only, 1987*

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ASKED AT THE ESTIMATES' COMMITTEE:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND SERVICES:

Mr. Gilbert (Burgeo-Bay D'Espoir) To ask the Honourable the Minister of Public Works and Services to lay upon the Table of the House the following information:

---

QUESTION: Details of the salary vote 3.4.02.01 - Newfoundland Information Service.

ANSWER:	1	Director of Information Services	\$ 38,115
	1	Public Relations Specialist	26,138
	2	Clerk Typist II	34,770
	2	Clerk II	34,210
		Overtime	1,000
		Other Earnings	500
		Enforced Savings Reduction	(7,800)

Unclassified Positions:

News Service Co-ordinator	\$22,600	
Offset Press Operator	16,900	
Research Co-ordinator	19,900	
Salary Increases	<u>4,767</u>	
	<u>\$64,167</u>	<u>64,167</u>
		<u>\$191,100</u>

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ASKED AT THE ESTIMATES' COMMITTEE:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND SERVICES:

Mr. Gilbert (Burgeo-Bay D'Espoir) To ask the Honourable the Minister of Public Works and Services to lay upon the Table of the House the following information:

---

- QUESTION:
1. List and location of all rental office space leased outside of St. John's, by the Province?
  2. Give the length of time for the lease of each rental agreement?
  3. Give the financial terms of each rental agreement.

ANSWERS: Per attached documents.



R E N T A L   I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Bridle Building Red Bay, Labrador	5540	Mrs. Blanche Bridle	Rural, Agric. and Northern Development	647	14.95	9,672.65	2 years	90 03 31	Expires	
Bridle Building Red Bay, Labrador	5541	Mrs. Blanche Bridle	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Wildlife Division)	122	14.95	1,823.90	2 years	90 03 31	Expires	
Bridle Building Red Bay, Labrador	5542	Mrs. Blanche Bridle	Forest Resources & Lands	122	14.95	1,823.90	2 years	90 03 31	Expires	

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Community Council Building, Nain	5514	Community Council	Social Services	889.5	9.00	8,005.50	Monthly	tenancy		
Community Council Building, Nain	5514	Community Council	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Wildlife Division)	105	9.00	945.00	Monthly	tenancy		
Goose Real Estate Bldg., Davis Inlet	5544	Goose Real Estate Ltd.	Social Services	840	21.00	17,640.00	3 years	89 11 30	2 years	2 months
Goose Real Estate Bldg., Davis Inlet	5546	Goose Real Estate Ltd.	Rural Development	180	21.00	3,780.00	3 years	89 11 30	2 years	2 months
Town Council Bldg., Churchill Falls, Lab	5526	Churchill Falls (Labrador Corporation Ltd.)	Justice (Royal Nfld Constabulary Office)	915		2,400.00	Monthly	tenancy		

R E N T A L   I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Community Council Bldg., Cartwright	5509	Community Council Cartwright	Social Services (District Office)	240	17.50	4,200.00	2 years	88 05 31	1 year	1 month
Forestry Building Cartwright	5510	Hudson Bay Company	Forest Resources & Lands	Land Lease		100.00	10 years	98 04 30	Expires	
Carol Moving and Storage, Wabush	5539	Carol Moving and Storage Ltd., Labrador City	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Wildlife Division)	600	7.00	4,200.00	3 years	89 07 14	2 years	1 month
Sir Wilfred Grenfell Hotel, Wabush	5525	Chador Limited	Development (Regional Office)	1,500	6.50	9,750.00	3 years	89 06 30	2 years	1 month
Bruno Plaza, Labrador City	5545	M & S Investments Ltd.	Career Development & Advanced Studies (Adult Training) (Rent paid by that Department)	3,000	9.00	27,000.00	1 year	07 31		
			(ADDITIONAL SPACE)	1,000	9.00	9,000.00	1 year	07 31		

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Mitchelmore Building Happy Valley	5538	Goose Real Estate Ltd.	Development and Tourism	837	14.00	11,718.00	34 months	89 06 12	2 years	2 months
Mitchelmore Building Happy Valley	5505	Goose Real Estate Ltd.	Justice (Provincial Court)	3,098	13.50	41,827.50	5 years	90 08 18	Expires	
Mitchelmore Building Happy Valley	5516	Goose Real Estate Ltd.	Justice (Crown Pro- secutor's Office)	356	13.50	4,800.00	5 years	90 08 18	Expires	
Mitchelmore Building Happy Valley	5515	Goose Real Estate Ltd.	Justice (Adult Pro- bation Officer)	711	13.50	9,600.00	5 years	90 08 18	Expires	
Mitchelmore Building Happy Valley	5537	Goose Real Estate Ltd.	Consumer Affairs & Communications	688	14.00	9,632.00	3 years	89 06 12	2 years	2 months
Mitchelmore Building Happy Valley	5536	Goose Real Estate Ltd.	Social Services (Regional Office)	2,858	14.00	40,012.00	3 years	89 06 12	2 years	2 months

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
<u>LABRADOR</u>										
Goose Real Estate Bldg., Hopedale	5543	Goose Real Estate Ltd.	Social Services	1,020	21.00	21,420.00	3 years	89 10 21	2 years	2 months
Hancock's Variety Bldg., Forteau	5533	Hancock's Variety Ltd.	Social Services	1,017	14.95	15,204.15	3 years	88 11 14	2 years	2 months
Labrador Bargain Store, Labrador	5523	Labrador Bargain Store	Justice (Supreme Court)	4,603	15.50	71,346.50	1 year	88 05 31	Expires	
Building #412 Goose Airport	5508	Public Works Canada	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Wildlife Division)	143	6.10	872.76	Monthly	Tenancy		
Goose Bay Airport	5513	Transport Canada	Forest Resources & Lands (Lease of Trailer Sites)	5,000 (Land)		Eff. Oct/87-175.48 Eff. Oct/88-182.50	5 years	89 09 30		
Acreman Building Mary's Harbour	5524	Henry Acreman	Social Services	700	12.85	9,000.00	2 years	89 01 12	Expires	



R E N T A L   I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Municipal Building Roddickton	4543	Town Council, Roddickton	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Wildlife Division)	240	5.54	3,600.00	1 year	89 01 31	1 year	1 month
			(Storage)	410						
White Bay North Development Associat- ion Bldg., St. Anthony	4573	White Bay North Dev. Association	Fisheries (Field Office)	180	13.33	2,400.00	1 year	88 12 01	1 year	1 month
Lourdes Community Council Building	4574	Lourdes Community Council	Fisheries (Field Office)	300	7.40	2,220.00	2 years	90 03 31	Expires	
McArthur Building Doyles	4541	Mrs. Imelda McArthur, Doyles	Health (Public Health Nurse)			1,200.00	Monthly	Tenancy		

R E N T A L I N D E X  
 AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
 (Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Tourout Building Piccadilly	4535	Mr. John Tourout Tourout Enterprises Ltd.	Social Services	4,056	12.00	48,672.00	3 years	89 02 28	2 years	2 months
Tourout Building Piccadilly	4569	Mr. John Tourout Tourout Enterprises Ltd.	Health (Public Health Nurse)	640	12.00	7,680.00	3 years	88 10 31	2 years	2 months
Tourout Building Piccadilly	4575	Mr. John tourout Tourout Enterprises Ltd.	Public Works & Services (Various Departmental Use)	132	12.00	1,584.00	3 years	89 02 28	2 years	2 months
Bay St. George South Dev. Association Bldg., (McKays)	4549	Bay St. George South Development Association	Rural, Agric. and Northern Development (Agriculture)	280	12.86	3,600.00	3 year	90 12 11	1 year	1 month
Canada Post Corp. Building, Port aux Basques	4576	Receiver General for Canada	Fisheries	205	9.83	2,016.00	1 year	88 05 31	None	

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Municipal Building Port Saunders	4526	Town Council	Social Services	892	12.47	11,124.00	2 years	88 11 30	2 years	1 month
Municipal Building Port Saunders	4586	Town Council	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Wildlife)	149	\$300.00 per month	3,600.00	22 months	88 11 30	2 years	1 month
Municipal Building Pasadena	4529	Pasadena Town Council	Health (Public Health Nurse)	254.75	198.70 per month	2,354.46	1 year	88 12 31	Expires	
Town Council Bldg., Englee	4532	Town of Englee	Social Services	956	6.00	5,736.00	2 years	89 11 30	Expires	
Town Council Benoit's Cove	4556	Town Council of Halfway Point - Benoit's Cove John's Beach, Frenchman's Cove	Health (Public Health Nurse)	400	12.00	4,800.00	3 years	89 01 31	2 years	1 month

R E N T A L   I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
C.N. Terminal North Sydney	4515	Canadian National	Development (Tourism Information Booth)	445	1.48	660.00	Renewable	Yearly		
C.N. Terminal North Sydney	4540	Canadian National	Livestock Inspection Station			1,200.00	Monthly	Tenancy		
Harmon Corporation Bldg. #327 Stephenville	4520	Harmon Corporation	Justice (E.M.O. Medical Stockpile)	3,007	Eff. Jan. 1/88	- 21,192.00	3 years	89 05 31	None	
					Eff. Jan. 1/89	- 22,116.00				
Building #327 Stephenville	4521	Nfld. & Labrador Housing Corporation	Social Services (Work Activity Pro- ject) (Rent Paid by Social Services)	1,528	Eff. Jan.	1/88-10,560.00	14 months	88 12 31	None	
Building 834 Stephenville	4567	Nfld. & Labrador Housing Corporation	Fisheries (Lobster Pot Storage)	5,600		1,200.00	2 years	89 03 31	None	

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Lundrigan Building 2nd Floor	4579	Lundrigans-Comstock Ltd.	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Youth Ser- vices)	634	10.00	6,340.00	5 years	91 01 19	5 years	6 months
Lundrigan Building 2nd Floor	4580	Lundrigans-Comstock Ltd.	Labour	2,352	10.00	23,520.00	5 years	91 01 19	5 years	6 months
Lundrigan Building 2nd Floor	4581	Lundrigans-Comstock Ltd.	Career Development & Advanced Studies (Manpower Training)	2,115	10.00	21,150.00	5 years	91 01 19	5 years	6 months
Federal Building Burgeon	4582	Public Works Canada	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Wildlife Division)	248	9.24	2,292.00	1 year	88 08 31		
Midtown Sales & Ser- vices Bldg., Burgeo	4564	Midtown Sales & Services Limited	Health (Public Health Nurse)	479	12.00	5,748.00	2 years	89 11 30		Expires
Midtown Sales & Ser- vices Bldg., Burgeo	4565	Midtown Sales & Services Limited	Social Services	606.25	12.00	7,275.00	2 years	89 11 30		Expires

R E N T A L   I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Millbrook Mall Corner Brook	4563	Lundrigans-Comstock Ltd.	Forest Resources & Lands	2,288	6.00	13,728.00	4 years 11 months	89 04 30	2 years	3 months
Millbrook Mall Corner Brook	4562	Lundrigans-Comstock Ltd.	Environment (Storage)	590	6.00	3,540.00	4 years 7 months	89 04 30	2 years	3 months
Lundrigan Building Corner Brook 1st Floor	4571	Lundrigans-Comstock Ltd.	Social Services (District Office)	5,101	10.00	51,010.00	5 years	91 01 19	5 years	6 months
Lundrigan Building Basement	4571	Lundrigans-Comstock Ltd.	Social Services (Lunchroom, Board- room & Storage)	4,102	10.00	41,020.00	5 years	91 01 19	5 years	6 months
Lundrigan Building 3rd Floor	4578	Lundrigans-Comstock Ltd.	Forestry (Regional Office)	5,101	10.00	51,010.00	5 years	91 01 19	5 years	6 months
Lundrigan Building Basement	4578	Lundrigans-Comstock Ltd.	Forestry (Regional Office)	432	10.00	4,320.00	5 years	91 01 19	5 years	6 months

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Herald Towers Corner Brook	4512	Lundrigans-Comstock Ltd.	Labour (Hydro In- spection Office)	500.33	13.00	7,252.44	3 years	89 09 30		
			Plus Common Area	57.55						
Herald Towers Corner Brook	4551	Lundrigans-Comstock Ltd.	Development	1,168.55	12.50	14,606.88	3 years	88 10 31	Expires	
			+Common Area	146.79	12.50	1,834.88	3 years	88 10 31	Expires	
Herald Towers Corner Brook	4572	Lundrigans-Comstock Ltd.	Auditor General Department	1,217.27	13.00	15,824.51	3 years	89 09 30		
Herald Building Corner Brook	4560	Lundrigans-Comstock Ltd.	Forest Resources & Lands	18,409	13.50	248,521.50	5 years	89 04 30	2 years	3 months
Lundrigan Building Brakes Cove (Garage)	4578	Lundrigans-Comstock Ltd.	Forest Resources & Lands	425	3.53	1,500.00	Monthly	Tenancy		

R E N T A L I N D E X  
 AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
 (Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
WESTERN REGION, NORTHERN REGION, NORTHERN PENINSULA										
Supreme Drug Mart, Deer Lake	4504	Mr. Ronald Hogan	Social Services	2,145	12.00	25,740.00	3 years	89 08 31	2 years	2 months
Supreme Drug Mart, Deer Lake	4545	Mr. Ronald Hogan	Rural, Agric. and Northern Development (Rural Development)	271	12.00	3,252.00	3 years	89 08 31	2 years	2 months
Deer Lake Airport, Deer Lake	4557	Transport Canada	Forest Resources & Lands	15,000	Airport Maintenance Charge	1.00 225.00	1 year	88 06 30	Year to Year Maximum	5 years
Deer Lake Airport, Deer Lake	4568	Transport Canada	Dept. of Public Works & Services (Parking Facilities)	4 spaces		800.00	1 year	88 08 31	Renewable	Yearly
Community Council, Meadows	4555	Community Council	Health (Public Health Nurse)	596	12.00	7,152.00	3 years	89 03 31	2 years	1 month



R E N T A L   I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Harold Burton Bldg., Robert's Arm	3591	Mr. Harold Burton Robert's Arm, Green Bay	Health (Public Health Nursing Off. and Clinic)	770	7.01	5,400.00	3 years	90 02 22	2 years	1 month
Municipal Building Robert's Arm	3592	Town of Robert's Arm	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Wildlife Division)	176	6.82	1,200.00	1 year	89 02 24	Expires	

R E N T A L   I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
LaScie Properties Bldg., Baie Verte	3577	LaScie Properties, LaScie	Forest Resources & Lands	230	8.00	1,840.00	3 years	88 09 30	2 years	3 months
			(EXTRA SPACE)	405	8.00	3,240.00	26 months	88 09 30	2 years	3 months
LaScie Properties Bldg., Baie Verte	3588	LaScie Properties, LaScie	Transportation (Motor Registration)	255	8.00	2,040.00	26 months	88 09 30	2 years	3 months
LaScie Properties Bldg., Baie Verte	3576	LaScie Properties, LaScie	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Wildlife Division)	220)	8.00	3,200.00	3 years	88 09 30	2 years	3 months
			(Storage)	180)						
Morgan Building Eastport, B. Bay	3582	Dr. William A. Morgan Eastport	Health (Public Health Nurse)	406	9.60	3,900.00	3 years	89 03 31	2 years	1 month

R E N T A L   I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
The Porte Village Ltd Lewisporte	3594	The Porte Village Ltd.	Social Services (District Office)	2,560	11.50	29,440.00	3 years	90 08 31	2 years	2 months
The Porte Village Ltd Lewisporte	3595	The Porte Village Ltd.	Health (Public Health Nurse)	1,030	11.50	11,845.00	3 years	90 08 31	2 years	2 months
The Porte Village Ltd Lewisporte	3596	The Porte Village Ltd.	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Youth Ser- vices Division)	300	11.50	3,450.00	3 years	90 08 31	2 years	2 months
The Porte Village Ltd Lewisporte	3597	The Porte Village Ltd.	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Wildlife Division)	220	11.50	2,530.00	3 years	90 08 31	2 years	2 months
The Porte Village Ltd Lewisporte	3598	The Porte Village Ltd.	Transportation (Motor Registration Division)	440	11.50	5,060.00	3 years	90 08 31	2 years	2 months
Chipp Building Baie Verte	3559	William Chipp	Social Services	1,862	6.00	11,172.00	2 years	88 05 03	Expires	

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Hewlett Building, Springdale	3537	Hewlett Leasing	Social Services (District Office)	2,000	7.50	15,000.00	Monthly	Tenancy	)	(Pending completion of tendered premises)
Hewlett Building, Springdale	3537	Hewlett Leasing	Social Services (EXTRA SPACE)	519	7.50	6,142.50	Monthly	Tenancy		
Hewlett Building, Springdale	3569	Hewlett Leasing	Transportation (Motor Registration)	525	9.00	4,725.00	Monthly	Tenancy	)	(Pending completion of tendering process)
Hewlett Building, Springdale	3571	Hewlett Leasing	Labour (Electrical Inspection Division)	585	9.00	5,265.00	Monthly	Tenancy		
Cohen's Furniture Bldg., Springdale	3567	Springdale Realty Ltd.	Dept. of Health (Public Health)	1,320	10.22	13,500.00	2 years	89 10 31		
Western Canadian Mining Corp. Bldg. Springdale	3593	Western Canadian Mining Corporation	Mines (Core Storage Facility)	3,000	2.00	6,000.00	2 years	88 06 30		

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Private Dwelling, Porterville	3535	Mr. Bruce Porter (Wildlife Officer)	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Wildlife Officer)	Room in Private Dwelling		300.00	Monthly	Tenancy		
Municipal Building, Centreville	3536	Community Council, Centreville	Health (Public Health Nurse)	289	5.00	1,445.00	2 years	89 09 30	Expires	
Maico Building Windsor	3573	Mr. Clarence Young, St. John's	Public Health Nurse	180	13.33	2,400.00	Monthly	Tenancy		
Pamar Holdings Building, Buchans	3574	Pamar Holdings Limited, Buchans	Development (Regional Sub-Office)	180	11.67	2,100.00	3 years	90 11 30	2 years	1 month

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Municipal Building Comfort Cove, Newstead	3528	Community Council	Health (Public Health Nurse)	538	5.57	3,000.00	2 years	89 08 31	1 year	1 month
Municipal Building Pool's Cove	3529	Community Council	Health (Public Health Nurse)	130	13.85	1,800.00	Monthly	Tenancy		
Notre Dame Bay Mem. Hospital, Twillingate	3572	Notre Dame Bay Memorial Hospital	Health (Health Inspection Office)	400		NO CHARGE				RENEWABLE WITHOUT CHARGE
Manuel Realty Bldg., Twillingate	3530	Manuel Realty Building	Social Services	2,120	8.00	16,960.00	3 years	89 03 31	2 years	2 months
Rico Holdings Bldg., Grand Falls	3583	Rico Holdings Limited	Social Services Regional Office	2,800	13.25	37,100.00	5 years	91 04 30	2 years	2 months
Rico Holdings Bldg., Grand Falls		Rico Holdings Limited	Career Development & Advanced Studies, Head Office Central Comm. College (Rent paid by that Dept.)	1,200	14.55	17,460.00	3 years	90 10 31	2 years	2 months

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Burden Building Gander	3522	J. B. Holdings Limited	Labour	387	12.75	4,934.23	2 years	90 08 31		Expires
Burden Building Gander	3580	J. B. Holdings Limited	Consumer Affairs & Communications	318	12.75	4,054.50	2 years	90 08 31		Expires
Burden Building Gander	3524	J. B. Holdings Limited	Fisheries	572	12.75	7,293.00	2 years	90 08 31		Expires
Burden Building Gander	3581	J. B. Holdings Limited	Justice (Adult Probation Office)	470	12.75	5,992.50	2 years	90 08 31		Expires
Burden Building Gander	3525	J. B. Holdings Limited	Transportation (Motor Registration)	449	12.75	5,724.75	2 years	90 08 31		Expires
Burden Building Gander	3526	J. B. Holdings Limited	Public Works and Services	1,625	12.75	20,718.73	2 years	90 08 31		Expires
Burden Building Gander	3579	J. B. Holdings Limited	Development (Regional Office)	1,494	12.75	19,048.50	2 years	90 08 31		Expires
Burden Building Gander	3527	J. B. Holdings Limited	Finance	164	12.75	2,091.00	2 years	90 08 31		Expires

R E N T A L   I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Hangar 21, Gander Airport	3600	Transport Canada	Transportation (Air Services Division Plus (Airport Maintenance Charge)	190,226	(Land)	1.00 5,301.60	40 years	2027 07 31	None	
Gander Airport	3568	Transport Canada	Forest Resources & Lands (Site for new Forest Protection Centre)	103,907.4	-	1.00 + 2,895.92 (Airport Maint. Charge)	40 years	2024 07 31		
Gander Aviation Bldg., Gander	3587	Gander Aviation Ltd.	Culture, Rec. & Youth (Wildlife Division)(Freezer Facilities)	120	30.00	3,600.00	1 year	88 12 31		Expires
Burden Building Gander	3520	J. B. Holdings Limited	Rural, Agric. and Northern Develop. (Rural Development)	2,103	12.75	26,813.23	2 years	90 08 31		Expires
Burden Building Gander	3521	J. B. Holdings Limited	Municipal Affairs	2,408	12.75	30,702.00	2 years	90 08 31		Expires



R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Polaris Building, Gander	3511	Terra Nova Bldg. Ltd.	Justice (Magistrate's Office and Courtroom)	3,704	12.00	44,448.00	2 years	88 05 31	Expires	
Polaris Building, Gander	3511	Terra Nova Bldg. Ltd.	Provincial Court (EXTRA SPACE)	327	12.00	3,924.00	20 months	88 05 31	-	-
Lahey Building, Gander	3512	McCurdy Enterprises Ltd.	Justice (Gander District Court)	5,134	13.00	66,742.00	1 year	89 10 31	1 year	1 month
Gander Airport (Land)	3589	Transport Canada	Forestry (Mixing Plant for Insect Control Program)	17,223 (Land) +Airport Maint. Charge		Eff. May/87-1,008.00 Eff. May/88-1,152.00 480.00	3 years	89 05 14	None	
Building #TC-7 Gander Airport	3519	Transport Canada	Forest Resources (Forest Fire Base)	16,155 (Land)		1.00	5 years	89 05 31	None	

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Noseworthy Building Gambo	3504	Mr. Lloyd Noseworthy	Social Services	2,775	7.90	21,922.50	3 years	89 07 31	2 years	1 month
Hefferton Building Templeman, B. Bay	3575	Walter Hefferton, Templeman	Social Services (District Office)	1,500	9.99	14,985.00	3 years	88 00 08	2 years	3 months
Gander Bay Develop- ment Association Bldg., Davidsville	3509	Gander Bay/Hamilton Sound Development Assoc- iation	Forest Resources and Lands	120	13.00	1,560.00	Monthly	Tenancy		
Russell Building Carmanville	3508	Mr. Brett Russell	Social Services	1,608	11.00	17,688.00	3 years	89 04 30	2 years	2 months
Fraser Mall Gander	3590	Lundrigans-Comstock Ltd.	Justice (Crown Attorney's Office)	1,000	9.00	9,000.00	3 years	90 04 15	2 years	3 months
Fraser Mall Gander	3513	Lundrigans-Comstock Ltd.	Social Services	2,219	10.50	23,299.50	2 years	88 08 31		Expires
			(Additional Space)	1,320	10.50	13,860.00	12 1/2 Months	88 08 31		Expires
Blundon's Realty Building, Gander	3601	Blundon's Realty Ltd.	Health (Regional Office)	4,534	12.25	55,541.50	3 years	91 02 28	2 years	2 months

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Mrs. Mary MacDonald St. Albans	3564	MacDonald's Sports Centre	Health (Public Health Nurse)	522	12.00	6,264.00	2 years	89 09 30	Expires	
Former School Building, Glovertown	3501	James Paton Memorial Hospital Board, Gander	Justice (Magistrate's Office and Courtroom)	1,200	4.00	4,800.00	Indefinite Period			
Kean Building Glovertown	3584	Mr. Edward Kean, Traytown	Social Services	1,346	8.00	10,768.00	3 years	89 04 30	2 years	2 months
Kean Building Glovertown	3584	Mr. Edward Kean, Traytown	Social Services (EXTRA SPACE)	500	8.00	4,000.00	28 1/2 Months	89 04 30	2 years	2 months
Stroud Building Glovertown	3578	A. E. Stroud Ltd., Glovertown	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Wildlife Division)	242	9.00	2,178.00	3 years	89 01 01	2 years	1 month
Municipal Building Dover	3585	Town of Dover	Health (Public Health Nurse)	230	6.52	1,500.00	Monthly	Tenancy	Lease	

R E N T A L I N D E X  
 AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
 (Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
<u>CENTRAL REGION</u>										
Bann Enterprises Building, Milltown	3549	Bann Enterprises Limited	Culture, Recreation and Youth (Wildlife Division Storage)	150	4.00)	600.00	2 years	89 04 30		Expires
Bann Enterprises Building, Milltown	3546	Bann Enterprises Limited	Social Services	1,412	10.75)	15,179.00	2 years	89 04 30		Expires
Bann Enterprises Building, Milltown	3549	Bann Enterprises Limited	Culture, Recreation and Youth (Wildlife Division)	105	10.75	1,128.75	2 years	89 04 30		Expires

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Wiscombe Building Marystown	2546	Frederick D. Drover St. John's	Transportation (Motor Registration Division)	683	7.00	4,781.00	3 years	89 01 31	2 years	2 months
Wiscombe Building Marystown	2547	Frederick D. Drover St. John's	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Youth Ser- vices)	211	7.00	1,477.00	3 years	89 01 31	2 years	2 months
Wiscombe Building Marystown	2548	Frederick D. Drover St. John's	Fisheries	561	7.00	3,927.00	3 years	89 01 31	2 years	2 months
Moore's Pharmacy St. Lawrence	2535	Kenneth Moore	Health (Public Health Nurse)	380	12.63	4,800.00	Monthly	Tenancy		
Municipal Building Bay L'Argent	2523	Town Council, Bay L'Argent	Social Services	500	12.50	6,250.00	2 years	88 11 30	2 years	1 month
Lions Club Building Bay L'Argent	2543	Lions Club of Bay L'Argent	Health (Medical Clinic)	950	12.00	11,400.00	3 years	88 12 31	2 years	1 month
Municipal Building Arnold's Cove	2550	Town Council	Social Services	1,060	7.25	7,685.00	3 years	90 09 30	2 years	1 month

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
G & A Buffett Bldg., Grand Bank	2553	G & A Buffett Limited	Fisheries	590	11.50	6,785.00	3 years	90 10 31	2 years	2 months
G & A Buffett Bldg., Grand Bank	2556	G & A Buffett Limited	Social Services	1,840	11.50	21,160.00	3 years	90 10 31	2 years	2 months
G & A Buffett Bldg., Grand Bank	2554	G & A Buffett Limited	Health (Public Health Nurse)	880	11.50	10,120.00	3 years	90 10 31	2 years	2 months
G & A Buffett Bldg., Grand Bank	2555	G & A Buffett Limited	Health (Health In- spection Division)	880	11.50	10,120.00	3 years	90 10 31	2 years	2 months
G & A Buffett Bldg., Grand Bank	2552	G & A Buffett Limited	Transportation (Motor Registration Division)	280	11.50	3,220.00	3 years	90 10 31	2 years	2 months
Wiscombe Building Marystown	2545	Frederick D. Drover, St. John's	Social Services	3,545	7.00	24,815.00	3 years	89 01 31	2 years	2 months

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Clarenville Ocean Products Building	2542	Clarenville Ocean Products Limited	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Wildlife Division Cold Storage)	100	78.00	7,800.00	1 year	88 10 31	1 year	1 month
Town Council Building Catalina	2537	Town of Catalina	Health (Public Health Nurse)	268	3.36	900.00	Monthly	Tenancy		
Town Council Bldg. Badger's Quay	2536	Town of Badger's Quay	Health (Public Health Nurse)	400	8.16	3,265.20	1 year	88 12 31	Expires	
Regional Library Bldg., Grand Bank	2514	Regional Library Board	Labour (Hydro Inspection Office)	250	10.80	2,700.00	Monthly	Tenancy	Lease	
Municipal Building Grand LePierre	2515	Town Council, Grand LePierre	Health (Medical Clinic)(Public Health Nurse)	850	2.82	2,400.00	1 year	89 03 31	Expires	
St. Bernard's	2516	Community Council	Health (Medical Clinic (Public Health Nurse)	330	10.00	3,300.00	3 years	90 06 30	2 years	1 month
Almar Rentals Bldg. Clarenville	2551	Almar Rentals Limited	Environment	340	10.00	3,400.00	3 years	91 02 07	2 years	1 Month

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
<u>CLARENVILLE AND BURIN PENINSULA</u>										
Manitoba Professional Bldg., Clarenville	2538	Wirco Limited	Justice (Crown Attorney's Office and Adult Corrections)	575	9.95	8,706.25	2 years	90 01 31	Expires	
Pipeline Crossing Clarenville	2504	Canadian National	Education (D.V.S.)	-	-	5.00	Indefinite Period			
Cormack Building Clarenville	2549	Empire Development Group Limited	Social Services	3,400	9.95	33,830.00	3 years	89 03 31	2 years	2 months
Cholocks Building Clarenville	2509	Mr. Terrence Cholock	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Wildlife Office and Storage)	830	9.00	Lease renewed (awaiting signed renewal			Lease from Landlord)	
				3,300	5.00	23,970.00	3 years	88 03 03	Further Term	2 months
Dalfen's Building Clarenville	2539	Dalfen's Limited	Finance (Taxation Office)	2,386	9.95	23,740.70	2 years	90 02 28	Expires	
Dalfen's Building Clarenville	2540	Dalfen's Limited	Labour (Electrical Inspection Office)	352	9.95	3,502.40	2 years	90 02 28	Expires	



R E N T A L   I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Municipal Building Norman's Cove	1601	The Rural District of Norman's Cove/Long Cove	Health (Public Health Nurse)	171	14.00	2,400.00	Monthly Tenancy			
Crane Building Bay Bulls	1663	Mrs. Edith L. Crane Bay Bulls	Health (Public Health Nurse)	632	10.92	6,900.00	2 years	90 04 16	Expires	
Municipal Building St. Joseph's	1661	Community Council, St. Joseph's	Health (Public Health Nurse)	448	8.03	3,600.00	2 years	90 06 09	Expires	
Marvat Holdings Bldg., Kelligrews	1671	Marvat Holdings Ltd.	Health (Public Health Nurse)	2,364	13.75	32,505.00	3 years	89 01 31	2 years	3 months
Gebb Holdings Bldg., Kelligrews	1679	Gebb Holdings Limited	Social Services	3,990	14.91	59,490.90	3 years	89 06 30	2 years	2 months

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Light Station Cape St. Mary's	1606	Canadian Coast Guard	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Tourist Information Centre)	Lightkeepers Dwelling		1.00	5 years	90 04 30		
Wildlife Office, Trepassey	1595	Mr. C. Findlay	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Wildlife Div.)	Room in Private Dwelling		450.00	Monthly	Tenancy		
Medical Clinic St. Vincent's	1596	Mr. George Stamp	Health (Public Health Nurse)	600	8.50	5,100.00	3 years	91 03 31	2 years	1 month
Municipal Building Flatrock	1654	Town Council	Health (Public Health Nurse)	500	10.00	5,000.00	2 years	89 11 09	Expires	
Municipal Building St. Joseph's	1607	Community Council, St. Joseph's	Culture, Recreation and Youth	216	10.00	2,160.00	2 years	90 01 31	Expires	
Municipal Building Branch	1599	Community Council, Branch	Health (Public Health Nurse)	768	1.17	900.00	3 years	89 03 31	2 years	1 month

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Baltimore Medical Clinic, Ferryland	1619	Baltimore Realty Limited	Social Services	1,153	12.75	14,700.75	5 years	88 09 01	Expires	
			(STORAGE)	108	10.00	1,080.00	5 years	88 09 01	Expires	
Baltimore Medical Clinic, Ferryland	1520	Baltimore Realty Limited	Transportation (Motor Registration Division)	460	12.75	5,865.00	5 years	88 09 01	Expires	
Baltimore Medical Clinic, Ferryland	1519	Baltimore Realty Limited	Justice (Courtroom)	940	12.75	11,985.00	5 years	88 09 01	Expires	
Baltimore Medical clinic, Ferryland	1521	Baltimore Realty Limited	Health (Public Health Nurse)	488	12.75	6,222.00	5 years	88 09 01	Expires	

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Pipe Line Crossing Carbonear	1582	Canadian National	Education (D.V.S.)			10.00	Indefinite	Period		
Strong Building Old Perlican	1586	Mr. Thomas Strong	Health (Public Health Nurse)	650	10.00	6,500.00	3 years	89 05 31	2 years	1 month
Larner's Building Whitbourne	1589	Mr. K. J. Larner	Social Services	2,663	11.40	30,358.20	3 years	89 06 30	2 years	1 month
Trinity/Placentia Mall, Whitbourne	1590	Trinity/Placentia Mall Association	Rural, Agric. and Northern Development (Rural Development)	496	11.40	5,654.40	3 years	90 06 30	2 years	2 months
Cable Holding Co. Ltd. Bldg., Heart's Content	1704	Cable Holding Co. Ltd. Heart's Delight	Social Services (District Office)	1,862	9.25	17,223.50	3 years	90 09 30	2 years	2 months
Capstan Building, Mount Pearl	1685	Empire Development Group Limited	Health (Regional Office)	7,094	14.95	106,055.30	2 years	88 12 17	2/1 yr options	2 months

R E N T A L I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Taylor Building Harbour Grace	1701	Mr. Edward Taylor	Culture, Rec. and Youth (Youth Ser- vices)	240	9.40	2,256.00	3 years	1990 07 14	2 years	2 months
Taylor Building Harbour Grace	1702	Mr. Edward Taylor	Finance (S.S.A. Division)	220	9.40	2,068.00	3 years	1990 07 14	2 years	2 months
Taylor Building Harbour Grace	1687	Mr. Edward Taylor	Social Services (District Office)	3,995	9.40	37,553.00	3 years	1990 07 14	2 years	2 months
Taylor Building Harbour Grace	1669	E.I.L. Holdings Harbour Grace	Health (Public Health Nurse)	1,343	9.50	12,758.50	3 years	1988 10 23	2 years	3 months
Taylor Building Harbour Grace	1670	E.I.L. Holdings Harbour Grace	Health (Health Inspection)	1,157	9.50	10,991.50	3 years	1988 10 23	2 years	3 months
Garland Building Harbour Grace	1581	Garland Realty Limited	Social Services	3,165	9.75	30,858.75	3 years	1990 02 28	2 years	1 month

R E N T A L   I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Taylor Building Harbour Grace	1691	Mr. Edward Taylor	Fisheries	1,100	9.40	10,340.00	3 years	1990 07 14	2 years	2 months
Taylor Building Harbour Grace	1693	Mr. Edward Taylor	Transportation (Motor Registration Division)	605	9.40	5,687.00	3 years	1990 07 14	2 years	2 months
Taylor Building Harbour Grace	1700	Mr. Edward Taylor	Justice (Adult Pro- bation Officer)	663	9.40	6,232.20	3 years	1990 07 14	2 years	2 months
Taylor Building Harbour Grace	1697	Mr. Edward Taylor	R.A.N.D. (Agric- ulture)	1,020	9.40	9,588.00	3 years	1990 07 14	2 years	2 months
Taylor Building Harbour Grace	1696	Mr. Edward Taylor	R.A.N.D. (Develop- ment Office)	510	9.40	4,794.00	3 years	1990 07 14	2 years	2 months
Taylor Building Harbour Grace	1695	Mr. Edward Taylor	Forest Resources & Lands	385	9.40	3,619.00	3 years	1990 07 14	2 years	2 months
Taylor Building Harbour Grace	1699	Mr. Edward Taylor	Labour (Hydro In- spection Office)	685	9.40	6,439.00	3 years	1990 07 14	2 years	2 months

R E N T A L   I N D E X  
AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
(Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
Municipal Building Heart's Delight	1693	Town of Heart's Delight/ Islington	Forest Resources and Lands (Forest Ranger)	120	\$150.00 per month	1,800.00	1 year	89 04 30	Expires	
Saunders Building Bay Roberts	1568	William Saunders	Social Services	3,654	9.50	34,713.00	3 years	90 06 30	2 years	2 months
Bussey Building, Bay Roberts	1570	Mr. Louis Bussey	Health (Public Health Nurse & Health In- spection Office)	1,798	6.95	12,496.10	3 years	88 05 31	2 years	1 month
Murrin Building Spaniard's Bay	1664	Ray Murrin Limited	Culture, Rec. and Youth Office	Office 112	9.50	1,064.00	3 years	90 09 15	2 years	1 month
Murrin Building Spaniard's Bay	1664	Ray Murrin Limited	(Wildlife Division)	Storage 450	4.50	2,052.00	3 years	90 09 15	2 years	1 month
			(Wildlife Division)	Freezer 80	75.00	6,000.00	3 years	90 09 15	2 years	1 month

R E N T A L I N D E X  
 AS OF APRIL 27, 1988  
 (Standard Measurements)

BUILDING	BLDG. NO.	LANDLORD	DEPARTMENT	RENTAL AREA	RENTAL RATE	ANNUAL RENT	TERM OF LEASE	EXPIRY DATE	RENEWAL OPTION	OPTION NOTICE
<u>AVALON PENINSULA, EXCLUDING ST. JOHN'S</u>										
Mrs. Kevin Tobin, Holyrood	1649	D & K Enterprises	Justice (Magistrate's Office & Courtroom)	1,885	7.50	14,137.50	2 years	89 04 15		Expires
Hawco Building, Holyrood	1640	Lincoln Realties Limited	Motor Registration (Driver Examining Branch)	502	7.50	3,765.00	2 years	88 11 14		Expires
Hawco Building, Holyrood	1642	Lincoln Realties Limited	Health (Regional Office)	4,275	7.50	32,062.50	2 years	88 11 14		Expires
Hawco Building, Holyrood	1643	Lincoln Realties Limited	Labour (Electrical Inspection Office)	1,009	7.50	7,567.50	2 years	88 11 14		Expires
James C. Lewis, Holyrood	1636	Holyrood Fish Processors	Culture, Rec. & Youth (Wildlife Cold Storage)	225		7,800.00	Monthly	Tenancy		
Municipal Building Pouch Cove	1628	Town Council, Pouch Cove	Health (Public Health Nurse)	184	11.43	2,103.96	1 year	89 06 30	1 year	1 month



## CONTENTS

Monday, 2 May, 1988.

### Point of Privilege:

Mr. Tulk, Mr. Simms, Mr. Fenwick, Mr. Simmons.....	1387
Mr. Speaker, reserves ruling.....	1393

### Statements by Ministers

#### Aquaculture Act:

Mr. Rideout.....	1393
Mr. W. Carter.....	1395
Mr. Fenwick.....	1396

#### St. George's Gypsum Mines Ltd.:

Mr. Dinn.....	1398
Mr. Simmons.....	1398
Mr. Fenwick.....	1399

### Oral Questions

#### Helicopter Flight Simulator:

Status of project. Mr. Wells, Premier Peckford.....	1400
Official announcement in October stated the project was going ahead. Mr. Wells, Premier Peckford.....	1401
Explanation as to why project has not proceeded. Mr. Wells, Premier Peckford.....	1401

#### Press Reports of Job Offer:

House version vs. press version. Mr. Simmons, Premier Peckford.....	1402
Low interest corporate loan of \$100.800. Mr. Simmons, Premier Peckford.....	1403

#### Construction Jobs on Northern Sites:

Action of government to obtain jobs at these sites for Newfoundlanders. Mr. K. Aylward, Premier Peckford.....	1403
Seeks specific actions. Mr. K. Aylward, Premier Peckford.....	1405
Seeks tabling of correspondence. Mr. K. Aylward, Premier Peckford.....	1405

Sexual Orientation and Human Rights Code:

Newspaper article intimates omission of sexual orientation from the code would help fight AIDS.  
Mr. Fenwick, Dr. Collins.....1406  
Article alleges this would help combat AIDS.  
Mr. Fenwick, Dr. Collins.....1406  
Seeks government's position. Mr. Fenwick,  
Dr. Collins.....1407

Education Task Force:

Membership of Math task force. Mr. Decker,  
Mr. Hearn.....1408  
Task force promised in Throne Speech.  
Mr. Decker, Mr. Hearn.....1409  
Increase in Math instruction in junior high.  
Mr. Decker, Mr. Hearn.....1409

Canada-France Fishing Dispute:

Newfoundland input in selection of mediator.  
Mr. W. Carter, Mr. Rideout.....1410  
Suggests Newfoundland demand input.  
Mr. W. Carter, Mr. Rideout.....1410  
Suggests Newfoundland representation at  
conference to which mediator reports.  
Mr. W. Carter, Mr. Rideout.....1411

**Presenting Reports by  
by Standing and Special Committees**

Annual Report of Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro:

Mr. Windsor.....1412

**Answers to Questions  
for which Notice has been given**

Departmental car, NIS, Questions from Estimate Committee:

Dr. Twomey.....1412

**Petitions**

Wharf extension at McCallum:

Mr. Gilbert.....1412  
Mr. Rideout.....1414  
Mr. Simmons.....1415

## Orders of the Day

### First Readings, Bills Nos. 38 and 39:

Mr. Simms.....1416

### Notice of Motion, By Leave, Bill No. 37:

Mr. Simms.....1417

### Motion 3, The Meech Lake Accord:

Mr. Fenwick, resumes debate.....1417

Mr. Fenwick, Moves Dilatory Motion, Recess, defeated...1423

Mr. Fenwick, Moves Sub-amendment.....1423

Mr. Speaker, Recess, Rules Sub-amendment Out of Order..1426

Mr. Fenwick.....1426

Mr. Dinn.....1430

Mr. Dinn, adjourns debate.....1435

Adjournment Motion.....1436